



Page 4 1 Hybrid Hearing RE: Motion to Approve Transfer of Property. 2 Motion to Approve the Transfer of Property Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 105 and Rule 9019 of the Federal 3 Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure [Docket No. 2758, 2772, 2783]. 4 5 6 Hybrid Hearing RE: Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order (I) 7 Authorizing Christopher Ferraro to Act as Foreign 8 Representative and (II) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 9 2802, 2827]. 10 11 Hybrid Hearing RE: Debtors' Third Motion for Entry of an 12 Order (I) Extending the Debtors' Exclusive Period to Solicit 13 Acceptances of a Chapter 11 Plan Pursuant to Section 1121 of 14 the Bankruptcy Code and (II) Granting Related Relief [Docket 15 No. 2805, 2827, 2838, 2841]. 16 17 Hybrid Hearing RE: Motion of Euclid Financial Institution Underwriters, LLC, a Duly Authorized Agent of Certain 18 19 Underwriters at Lloyds of London and Republic Vanguard 20 Insurance Company for Relief from the Automatic Stay to the 21 Extent Applicable [Docket No. 2585, 2639, 2760, 2839, 2842, 22 2849, 2851, 2859, 2873]. 23 24 Hybrid Hearing RE: Motion for Relief from Stay [Docket No. 25 2760, 2763, 2781, 2839, 2842, 2849, 2851, 2873, 2585, 2763].

Page 5 1 2 Hybrid Hearing RE: Motion for Entry of an Order (I) allowing Non-Insider CEL Token Claim holders to join the Earn group 3 for equitable treatment and have access to the same 4 5 optionality of equity and liquid cryptocurrency at the 6 Petition Date Price of \$0.81565; if Otherwise, (II) Request 7 the Debtors to Submit Evidence Supporting Inequitable 8 Treatment of Unsecured Creditors in the Earn Group (III) 9 Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 2169, 2208, 2215, 2240, 10 2241, 2260, 2329, 2583, 2840, 2844 to 2846, 2848]. 11 Hybrid Hearing RE: Motion for Entry of an Order (I) to 12 Dollarize Non-Insider CEL Token Claims at the Petition Date 13 14 Price of \$0.81565; if Otherwise, (II) Request the Debtors to 15 Submit Evidence Supporting Inequitable Treatment of 16 Unsecured Creditors in the Earn Group (III) Granting Related 17 Relief [Docket No. 2216, 2327, 2584, 2593, 2840]. 18 19 Hybrid Hearing RE: First Interim Application for Interim 20 Professional Compensation of Delaware ADR, LLC for Fee 21 Examiner Sonchi, Other Professional Period from October 13, 22 2022 Through February 28, 2023, fee: \$137,400.00, expenses: 23 \$2046.28 [Docket No. 2622, 2624]. 24 25 Hybrid Hearing RE: First Application for Interim

Page 6 1 Professional Compensation as Attorneys for Fee Examiner for 2 Godfrey & Kahn, S.C., Other Professional, Period from October 13, 2022-February 28, 2023, fee: \$637,735.00, 3 expenses: \$7359.71 [Docket No. 2623, 2624]. 4 5 6 Adversary proceeding: 23-01010-mg Christopher Lee Shanks v. 7 Celsius Network LLC, et al. 8 Hybrid Status Conference [Doc# 1, 3 to 8, 12, 13] 9 10 Adversary proceeding: 23-01016-mg Georgiou, et al. v. 11 Celsius Network LLC, et al. Hybrid Pre-Trial Conference [Doc# 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10] 12 13 14 Adversary proceeding: 23-01016-mg Georgiou, et al. v. 15 Celsius Network LLC, et al. 16 Hybrid Status Conference RE: Motion to the Dismiss Complaint 17 [Doc# 5, 6, 7, 9, 11 to 13] 18 19 Adversary proceeding: 23-01104-mg Official Committee of 20 Unsecured Creditors v. Celsius Network Limited 21 Hybrid Pre-Trial Conference [Doc# 1, 2, 5, 6] 22 Hybrid Hearing RE: Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order (I) 23 24 Authorizing and Approving Certain Fees and Expenses for the 25 Backup Plan Sponsor, and (II) Granting Related Relief

```
Page 7
1
      [Docket No. 2774, 2825, 2831, 2847].
2
3
     Adversary proceeding: 23-01107 Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers v.
 4
     Celsius Network LLC, et al.
5
     Status Conference [Doc# 1 to 4]
 6
7
     Hybrid Hearing Re: Debtor's Motion Seeking Entry of an
8
     Order (I) Authorizing the Sale of Osprey BTC Shares and (II)
9
     Granting Related Relief. (Doc ## 2775, 2776, 2825)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
     Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde
```

1	1 9 0 01 147
	Page 8
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor
5	300 N La Salle Street
6	Chicago, IL 60654
7	
8	BY: CHRIS KOENIG
9	DAN LOTONA
10	
11	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer Feld, LLP
12	Special Counsel to Celsius
13	One Bryant Park
14	New York, NY 10036
15	
16	BY: MITCH HURLEY
17	
18	WHITE & CASE LLP
19	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
20	555 South Flower Street
21	Suite 2700
22	Los Angeles, CA 90071
23	
24	BY: AARON COLODNY
25	

	Page 9
1	MCCARTER ENGLISH, LLP
2	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers
3	245 Park Avenue
4	New York, NY 10167
5	
6	BY: DAVID ADLER
7	
8	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
10	201 Varick Street
11	New York, NY 10014
12	
13	BY: SHARA CORNELL
14	
15	ALSO APPEARING:
16	CHRIS FERRARO
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 10 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right, good 3 morning. Obviously, we're here on Celsius Network, LLC, 22-10964. 4 5 MR. KOENIG: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: One of the few times we've been in the 7 Courtroom. 8 MR. KOENIG: Good morning, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Koenig. 10 MR. KOENIG: Chris Koenig, Kirkland & Ellis for 11 the Debtors. It's great to be back in your Courtroom in 12 person again. We're looking forward to being in your 13 Courtroom more regularly in the future. We've been busy 14 since our last hearing before Your Honor via Zoom. 15 concluded the month-long auction. We selected The Fairbank 16 Group as the Plan Sponsor. We'll commence a NewCo that will 17 maximize the value of the Debtor's liquid and illiquid 18 assets to the benefit of the Creditors. We filed an updated 19 Chapter 11 Plan with Fahrenheit a few weeks ago and late on 20 Monday night, we filed the initial version of the Disclosure 21 Statement. As we discussed at the hearing a few months ago, 22 the Disclosure Statement includes a long Executive Summary that is intended to be a plain English description of the 23 24 Plan for accountholders to help -- to help explain the

complicated Plan transactions. That Executive Summary

Page 11 1 includes charts detailing recovery -- the recovery --2 THE COURT: Just slow down a little bit. MR. KOENIG: I'm sorry, Your Honor. -- including 3 charts detailing the recoveries for the accountholders for 4 each of the Debtors' programs or custody, loans, reports --5 6 THE COURT: What's the estimated recovery for it? 7 MR. KOENIG: Just shy of 70 percent, Your Honor. 8 Also, on Monday night, we announced a settlement between the 9 Debtors, the Committee and the Series B Holders. 10 litigation between the parties regarding the Series B 11 Holders' right to recover from the Debtor's estates has been 12 one of the most important and contested issues in these 13 cases, was it getting under confirmation. The litigation 14 was incredibly expensive and threatened to delay these 15 Chapter 11 cases. So, in exchange for a payment of \$25 16 million from the GK8 sale proceeds, which had been held in a 17 separate reserve pursuant to a prior Court Order, we're 18 going to resolve all the disputes between the parties. 19 We're very pleased to be able to reach this consensual 20 resolution, which cuts off the associated professional fee 21 burner, which was very significant and allows us to work 22 towards obtaining approval of our Disclosure Statement and 23 move on to confirmation. 24 Of course, that settlement, not for today's 25 hearing, is set for July 18th. We'll have plenty more to

say on that topic then, we wanted to highlight it given the importance of the dispute and I believe Your Honor was reserving a few days of your calendar in late July which you can now have back as the litigation schedule is on hold pending approval of the settlement in mid-July.

THE COURT: Let me ask, what impact, if any, assuming the settlement is approved, will that have on the Class Certification Motion and also issues of substantive consolidation?

MR. KOENIG: Yes, Your Honor. So, the settlement contemplates the substantive consolidation and/or when a company claim would be allowed pursuant to the settlement. The settlement resolves that dispute between the Series B Holders, the Debtors and the Committee. The Class Certification Motion is going to proceed in parallel. The Series B Holders have agreed not to object to that Class Certification Motion. But we think that there may, nonetheless, be benefits to the estate and to the Claims Resolution process by allowing that to proceed.

THE COURT: Just amplify the -- with respect to substantive consolidation. I think, obviously, the earned accountholders have been most concerned about, have obviously the decision that I had entered. What, if any, impact will this settlement have on the earned accountholders' ability to reach assets in the parent?

MR. KOENIG: They will be able to recover, in full, from the parent under the Chapter 11 Plan. Under the Chapter 11 Plan, we had already contemplated that all of the Debtor's assets, regardless of which box they were at, would be distributed to accountholders in one way or another, either through substantive consolidation or the allowance of an intercompany claim. This settlement allows that proposal from the Debtors to proceed in the Chapter 11 Plan subject to the \$25 million settlement payment being made in the Series B. THE COURT: Okay. Are there -- what's the amount of separate claims directly against the parent (indiscernible)? There was apart from that -- obviously, the earned accountholders' claims are direct -- were directly against LLC. What are the separate claims that have been asserted against the parent? MR. KOENIG: Sure, Your Honor. I don't have that number in front of me. Certainly, the vast majority of the claims are accountholder claims. There are certain loans that were made from C&L specifically, so the creditor body is not identical. That being said, given the size of the accountholder claims, we don't believe that the difference is significant. THE COURT: All right. So, essentially, if the settlement is approved, is that -- will that moot the issue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of substantive consolidation and having to -- we'll have a contested evidentiary hearing with respect to substantive consolidation.

MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, the Settlement Order that we proposed and attached in the 9019 Motion will provide for substantive consolidation of those two estates and so, after the Settlement Order is entered, that will be granted.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KOENIG: And anybody who opposes that relief should object to the Motion, the Settlement Motion.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KOENIG: The point being, Your Honor, that these cases have been going on for just under a year now.

From the Debtor's perspective, we've reached the endgame of these cases. We selected the Fahrenheit Group as the Plan Sponsor, and we've secured a backup option that provides us with optionality in case we need to pivot for any reason.

We filed a Plan and Disclosure Statement. We intend to promptly seek approval of the Disclosure Statement and if approved, solicit the Plan and seek confirmation. We're headed for the exit so that we can promptly return liquid cryptocurrency to accountholders and create the NewCo will be owned by accountholders. If I could just spend one moment on scheduling. I know at the prior hearing, Your Honor indicated that you wanted to read the Disclosure

Pg 15 of 147 Page 15 Statement before giving us a hearing. I don't know if you've had a chance to look at it, at all. THE COURT: I haven't. MR. KOENIG: But just wanted to check in on scheduling what the best way to proceed was. THE COURT: When would you like to have a -- put it this way, I do want to read the Disclosure. I have not read the Disclosure. I've been rather busy. Let's put it that way. I do want to read the Disclosure Statement. I'm certainly anxious to move this along as quickly as possible. Does the Debtor and the Committee -- have you consulted about a proposed date for a Disclosure Statement hearing? MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, we're prepared to proceed as promptly as possible. Obviously, we need 35 days' notice of the hearing for 28 days' notice for the objection deadline and then 7 days' notice of the hearing. We have a Motion that we could file in short order. I think we were seeking a date in early to mid-August, depending on what works with your calendar. We will work backwards from there. THE COURT: I think we'll make it work. I will endeavor to review the Disclosure Statement this weekend. MR. KOENIG: Okay. Very good. So, we'll go ahead

and prepare the Motion and then, would you like us to get a

hearing date from Chambers before filing it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 16 1 THE COURT: Yes, I would. 2 MR. KOENIG: Very good. Thank you. I know we 3 have a very long agenda --THE COURT: I think Deanna can give you a number 4 of suggested alternative dates, but I think we should be 5 6 able to move it along. 7 MR. KOENIG: Great. We will -- we will coordinate 8 with her and thank you. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. KOENIG: I know we have a long agenda this 10 11 So, unless you have any questions for me, I would morning. 12 propose to turn it over to Mr. Ferraro for a brief updating 13 of business. 14 THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you. 15 MR. KOENIG: Great. We filed a few slides last 16 night at Docket #2918 and Deanna, if you could, my 17 colleague, Nima Khosrabi is going to share the slides if you 18 can make him the co-presenter. 19 CLERK: Could you spell the last name please? MR. KOENIG: K-H-O-S-R-A-B-I. I think his hand is 20 21 raised on the Zoom. 22 CLERK: Yes, all right. He is a co-host. He can 23 present. 24 MR. KOENIG: Wonderful. Good morning, Mr. 25 Ferraro.

MR. FERRARO: Hello?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KOENIG: Good morning, Mr. Ferraro. Could you please tell the Court about the current status of the withdrawal process?

MR. FERRARO: Yeah. Hey, thanks, Chris and good morning, Your Honor. The company has continued with process withdrawals for (indiscernible). To date, we've completed 32 million of pure custody withdrawals for transfer of the preference threshold, totaling 80 percent of the distributed (indiscernible), with approximately 8 million (indiscernible) to be withdrawn. (indiscernible) remaining assets in the custody account that are not part of the pure custody tranche. Users (indiscernible) custody settlement before they get the approval of that line. They will receive 72.5 percent of their assets that will remain in the custody accounts in two payments of 36.25 percent, less (indiscernible) fees, (indiscernible) to withdraw the first 36.25 percent. Overall, more than 50 percent of the eligible users opted into the settlement and we began processing these withdrawals (indiscernible), 39 million withdrawals or 83 percent of the eligible value.

Sorry, give me one second. I had an incoming call that messed up my screen. Sorry about that. On April 20th, the Court approved a settlement among Celsius, the Withhold Ad Hoc Group and the UCC that provided participating members

of the Withhold Ad Hoc Group of any kind of distribution of 50 of their eligible withhold claim. With the remaining 85 percent of the value of (indiscernible) claim, it be converted to an early claim and treated as such under the Plan. To date, the company has processed withdrawals representing 89 percent of the eligible withhold value with Withhold Ad Hoc Group.

Finally, on May 25th, the company began to process the line to users who deposited on the petition date to withdraw those assets. As of June 25th, the company has processed withdrawals for over 70 percent of the eligible value. In summary, the company would process withdrawals of over \$72 million in value across 50+ clients for tens of thousands of accountholders across a wide range of jurisdictions and (indiscernible). Now turning to the next slide.

MR. KOENIG: Mr. Ferraro, can you please provide an update on the company's mining operations?

MR. FERRARO: Yeah, since April, we deployed

11,000 additional machines, representing an increase of 20

percent. We ended May with 64,000 (indiscernible) adjusted

EBIDTA of 1.5 million, relatively (indiscernible) month over

month. As a quick reminder, EBIDTA for this business is

effectively the pre-tax income --

THE COURT: If you could slow down a little and

just repeat that. You were breaking up a little bit. So, just try and repeat what you just said, slowly.

MR. FERRARO: Yeah, I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'll start with the (indiscernible) on this slide. Since April, we have declared 11,000 of these new machines representing an increase of 20 percent. We ended May with 64,000 rigs deployed. In May, we had an adjusted EBITDA of 1.5 million, relative (indiscernible) month. As a quick reminder, EBIDTA for this business is effectively the pre-tax income (indiscernible) to add back depreciation (indiscernible) revenue was 10.2 million in May, (indiscernible). The uptime or the percentage of time our machines are hashing decreases 61 percent in May and 70 percent (indiscernible). The reduction in uptime resulted from a scheduled maintenance outage at the heart of (indiscernible). That outage occurred from mid-May to early June. The power (indiscernible) mid-June.

Moving to the next, which notes the longer term (indiscernible). On the bottom left draft, we see the 64,000 (indiscernible) end of May and since the course of (indiscernible) contract rejection in early-January, our rigs deployed have increased steadily each month from 20,000 to 64,000 as of the other day, which is an increase of 128 percent since the rejection. Now moving to the next slide.

MR. KOENIG: And Mr. Ferraro, can you provide a

Page 20 1 quick update on the company's current financial position? 2 MR. FERRARO: Yeah. As a quick reminder, we started the case with 138 million in cash. We got 137 3 million on hand as of (indiscernible), which is a decrease 4 (indiscernible). That's all I have for you, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll turn the 8 lectern over to the Debtor's Special Counsel. THE COURT: Thank you. 9 10 MR. HURLEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Mitch 11 Hurley with Akin Gump Strauss Hauer Feld --12 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Hurley. 13 MR. HURLEY: -- Special Counsel to Celsius. Good 14 morning. Your Honor, I'm here today on the uncontested 15 Motion of Celsius to approve the transfer of property 16 pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105 and Rule 9019 of 17 the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Our Motion was filed on June 6, 2023. An Amended Notice of Motion was 18 filed on June 7, 2023, reflecting the Court's updated, 19 20 hybrid -- in-person and procedures for hearings. As you may 21 recall, on December 6th of last year, Your Honor entered a 22 9019 Order approving a Stipulation to settle and discontinue 23 the adversary proceeding between Celsius and Prime Trust. That was adversary Proceeding #22-01140, which has since 24 25 been closed. That Stipulation represented a significant

achievement in the Chapter 11 cases providing Celsius with virtually all the relief that it sought it its adversary proceeding with Prime Trust, including a return of coins that are worth around \$23 million in recent prices. As required under the Stipulation and the 9019 Approval Order, Prime Trust transferred the property subject to the Stipulation to Celsius on December 13, 2022.

Since that time and in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and Order, Celsius has stored that property and fully segregated designated Celsius wallets, and for over six months now, has not accessed, transferred, distributed or otherwise used the subject property. Stipulation contemplates that further Order of this Court is needed before Celsius may transfer or use the subject property. As the state property of the currently segregated assets, Celsius submits it should be available to Celsius in the ordinary course, including in connection with reaching corporate finance activities and Confirmed Plan of Reorganization. Accordingly, Celsius filed the Motion to Transfer seeking an Order authorizing it to transfer the subject property from the segregated designated Celsius wallets to Celsius Network deposit vaults and the Celsius Network, LLC U.S. workspace, and from there, to access, transfer and use the subject property in the ordinary course as it does with all other assets held in those accounts and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 22 consistent with the sound exercise of Celsius business judgment. As reflected in the Certificate of Service we filed on June 8, 2023, Celsius provided notice of the Motion to Transfer to all relevant parties, including the standard service list as set forth in the Court's Case Management Order, as well as all potentially impacted Celsius users who received notice via electronic mail to their last known email address. The deadline to object to the Motion to Transfer was June 21, 2023, and as reflected in the Certificate of No Objection filed on June 26, 2023, we are not aware of any objections having been filed. Since no objection had been filed, we submit that the relief requested in this Motion is warranted, we ask that Your Honor grant the Motion to Transfer the Assets. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Colodny, does the Committee have -- you didn't file a response so --MR. COLODNY: No, we do not, Your Honor and we've

18 19 spoken to Mr. Hurley in debrief.

THE COURT: All right. Does anybody else wish to be heard? Motion is granted.

MR. HURLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. LOTONA: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Dan Lotona, Kirkland & Ellis, on behalf of the

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

Celsius Debtors. The next item on the agenda is the

Debtor's Motion to Appoint Christopher Ferraro as Foreign

Representative in the High Court of Justice in the U.K.

pursuant to the Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations. Your

Honor, subsequent to the entry of the Order, the Debtors

will seek to recognize the Chapter 11 cases in the U.K. as

foreign main proceedings given that the center of main

interest is in the United States. Your Honor, this relief

is necessary to protect the Debtor's assets in the U.K.

Absent protection of those assets and the protection of the

Automatic Stay and various Orders concerning the ownership

of cryptocurrency, certain customers may seek self-help

remedies in the U.K. which conclude up to winding up this

Celsius Network, Ltd. entity in the U.K. This, of course,

would result in inequitable outcomes --

THE COURT: Has any action or proceeding been commenced against the English parent?

MR. LOTONA: Yes, Your Honor. There was a small claims court proceeding where the accountholder was awarded a judgment. That is currently in the process of being appealed. What we're concerned about is, if that judgment is affirmed on appeal, similar customers will seek similar self-help remedies in the U.K. Again, Your Honor, this relief is necessary to ensure equitable treatment for all accountholders across the world. Your Honor, the Debtors

received no objections. It had been coordinating with the Creditor's Committee on this relief.

THE COURT: Motion is granted.

MR. LOTONA: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on the agenda is the Debtor's Motion to sell their shares in the Osprey Bitcoin Trust. Your Honor, the Osprey Bitcoin Trust is a trust where the shares trade over the counter and allows individuals and other institutions to obtain indirect access to bitcoin without all the intended risks of bitcoin such as holding private keys and storing cryptocurrency in their wallets on the (indiscernible). Your Honor, the Debtors hold approximately 35 percent of the outstanding shares of the Osprey Bitcoin Trust. These shares were purchased in March of 2021 in two tranches for 1,000 At the time, the blended rate of bitcoin was approximately \$55,000 and the shared price of purchase ranged between \$16 and \$19. Your Honor, this is a highly illiquid asset, the daily average trade value is only approximately 16,000 shares per day. As a result, it would take the Debtors a significant portion of time to either unwind their position, which would be at a significant discount given the large holdings or it would take a significant amount of time to acquire enough shares to earn a majority voting interest where they could seek to elect to dissolve the trust, which again, it's not clear on the face

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the documents that that's even an option. Even if it were, it could result in protracted litigation that would tie up those shares for a protected period of time.

Your Honor, the Debtors received a third-party proposal to purchase the shares at the closing price as reflected on Bloomberg on the closing date, which would happen no later than July 10th. Your Honor, that would result in approximately \$15-20 million coming into the estates at the current price which is \$7 as of today. net asset value is currently \$10.18, which represents approximately a 30 percent discount. And that's where the Osprey Bitcoin Trust shares have traded historically between 30-40 percent discount (indiscernible). Your Honor, the benefits of this transaction will increase liquidity at a time where the Debtors are on the precipice of confirming these Chapter 11 cases. It sells an otherwise illiquid asset that would instead remain on the Debtor's balance sheet and is a sound exercise of the business judgment. The Debtors received no objections to this and the UCC supports the relief requested.

THE COURT: Mr. Colodny?

MR. COLODNY: Good morning, Your Honor. Aaron

Colodny for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

I agree with Mr. Lotona, it's a large illiquid position and we believe that this maximizes value. We've consulted with

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our investment bankers familiar with the securities and they believe this is a good transaction, so we're okay moving forward.

THE COURT: All right. Does anybody else wish to be heard? It's granted.

MR. LOTONA: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time,

I will cede the lectern to my partner, Mr. Koenig.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. KOENIG: Again, for the record, Chris Koenig for the Celsius Debtors. Your Honor, next is exclusivity. I'll be brief in my opening remarks given my comments at the start of the hearing. We've now concluded the auction, chosen a Plan Sponsor, filed a Plan and Disclosure Statement, we've worked to build consensus for the Plan, and we will continue to do so. We've worked closely with the Committee to develop the Plan and Disclosure Statement, which are both supported by the Committee. We've reached settlements with Custody, Withhold and now the Series B Preferred Holders. We're pleased to announce that we have a mediation session scheduled with Judge Wiles on July 17th. That mediation session will include the Committee, the Loan Ad Hoc Group, the Earned Ad Hoc Group and (indiscernible) to try to build additional consensus for the Plan. But we need additional time to seek approval of the Disclosure Statement and if approved, solicit the Plan and seek confirmation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, we've sought a 90-day extension of the solicitation exclusive period, which would take us through September 30th, which is our target date for confirmation and also the milestone in the Plan Sponsor Agreement. That's simply how much time we will need. We only received one objection to exclusivity from Mr. Adler on behalf of the Loan Ad Hoc Group. The Debtors and the Committee had worked with Mr. Adler for many weeks before the auction to try to reach an agreement.

THE COURT: I thought you had a settlement?

MR. KOENIG: Ultimately, we're unable to take that settlement and turn it into definitive documents, unfortunately. But as I mentioned, we've agreed to mediation with Mr. Adler in mid-July and hope to be able to reach a compromise that works for all parties. But as per his objection to exclusivity, his objection is essentially a confirmation objection and even he is not arguing that exclusivity should be terminated, instead, he's arguing that it should be limited to 60days. Again, Your Honor, we've asked for 90 days because we believe that that's how much time it will need to solicit -- that we will need to solicit and confirm the Plan. We don't think it makes sense to have to obtain another exclusivity extension midway through soliciting votes. And we believe an extension is clearly warranted here in light of all the progress that we've made

and it's notable that we only received one objection to exclusivity in light of how contested prior exclusivity hearings have been.

In short, we believe that cause has been demonstrated as required under the factors in this district. These cases are undoubtedly large and complex. We have made good faith progress toward reorganization by filing a Plan of Disclosure Statement and reaching various settlements. We need more time to obtain approval of the Disclosure Statement and the Plan. We're paying our bills on time and we're working to build additional consensus at mediation session in mid-July. Accordingly, we believe a 90-day extension is appropriate. We'd respectfully request that the Court provide us with the time to finish what we started.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Adler?

MR. ADLER: Good morning, Your Honor. David Adler from McCarter English on behalf of the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers. It's a pleasure to be back in your Courtroom. My first time in three years. We filed an Objection last Wednesday and we basically raised two issues that I think go to exclusivity, not -- the Debtors characterize it as a Plan objection, but we said essentially that the lack of communication in this case basically dropped off to nothing from April 23rd until June 13th and that the Borrowers --

the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers received nothing from the Debtor in terms of where we were, if the original proposal had fallen apart, what the alternatives are. And on June 13th we received a call, and we were told that we had to rush to mediation and my response, Your Honor, was, we should have done this. You obviously knew that the deal wasn't going to go forward, you should have done this back in April when the auction started or early May. So, the first issue is the lack of communication between the Debtor and the Ad Hoc Group. The second issue is the lack of progress. I mean obviously, last time that we were here for the second exclusivity extension, there was a Power Point put up by the Debtors showing that there was a settlement with the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers. That fell apart and now we've got all the way backwards and we have to go to a mediation and basically start from Square One with Judge Wiles. The Ad Hoc Group of Earned Creditors are going to be there as well, which shows that not too much consensus has been reached with them. And that really was our concern in objecting to exclusivity.

We do recognize, Your Honor, that this is a complex case and there are a lot of moving parts. But from the Ad Hoc perspective, I'm going to fall back on the point that these mediations or discussions could have started in May or in June, not rush, rush, rush, you know, we have to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

get into mediation when I get a phone call on June 13th.

And for that reason, we think that there should be a shorter time period for exclusivity of 60 days so that we can come back here and revisit where we are at that point. And unless Your Honor has any questions --

THE COURT: I don't.

MR. ADLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does anybody else want to be heard?

Mr. Colodny?

MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, Aaron Colodny for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. We support the Debtor's Motion for Exclusivity. I think the level of collaboration between the Debtors and the Committee has been unprecedented in these cases. That was shown in the auction, which was truly unique, and the Debtors took the Committee's comments, we consulted with them, and we were ultimately picking the management team that was going to lead a new company. Mr. Adler is entirely correct. Prior to the auction, we had extended discussions with a group of borrowers around a construct bid extended the loans. issue became we were not able to get to an agreement. agreement that was on the table had a significant amount of risk that the estates were not comfortable moving forward with and we didn't have the support of the Borrowers and it seemed that the support of the Earned Group was against that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sort of settlement. So, at the auction, we turned our focus to maximizing value for the estate. After the auction concluded, we are entirely focused on reaching an agreement with the borrowers.

I think consensus here is extremely important and prior to filing the Plan, we made a proposal to the Borrowers that had a framework. I've spoken with Mr. Adler since then and believe that we are committed to work together to find something that works, and we've posed mediation so that the Earned Group and the Borrower Group can be in the same room to discuss the treatment together. I think everyone with the Disclosure Statement, as Your Honor will see this weekend, sees that there is a very delicate balance here. If you take liquid cryptocurrency and give it one group, it comes out of the other groups too. And I am sure that the Earned Creditors are not happy with the amount of liquid cryptocurrency they are receiving, same as though the Borrowers are. Any discussion has to be based on the legal entitlements of the groups as they exist today, which is why I believe that bringing everybody together through mediation with Judge Wiles will help to level set the playing field and come to an agreed solution. At the same time, I don't want to wait until July 17th. As Mr. Adler said, we should start discussions if we can come to a framework that works for both parties before then and go to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that mediation with that framework in hand, I think it will be much more productive and we're committed to making that happen.

THE COURT: Thank you. Does anybody else wish to be heard? Okay.

MS. CORNELL: Good morning, Your Honor. This is
Shara Cornell with the Office of the United States Trustee.
The United States Trustee did not file an Objection to the
Extension of Exclusivity in this instance. I've spoken both
with counsel for the Debtors and counsel for the committee
with respect to the relief in motion. The United States
Trustee understands the need for an extension; however, for
a limited extension, less than 90 days would be our
preference. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anybody else wish to be heard? All right. The Objections to extending exclusivity are overruled. The Motion to Extend for 90 days is granted. Let me just comment. I was certainly -- I thought, from what had been discussed at prior hearings, that there had been a successful resolution with the Borrowers. Obviously, that fell apart. I don't want to get into what the reasons or whatever, there's no deal. I think it's really very important that a consensual resolution of the issues be reached. I think that confirmation -- if the Borrowers object to confirmation, I think it will complicate

considerably the issues that the Court is faced with. So,

I'm quite pleased that Judge Wiles has agreed to be the

mediator. I haven't talked to him, and I will not talk to

him about the mediation. He, obviously, is very

knowledgeable about crypto and I think even without regard

to that, he's an excellent choice as a mediator. So, I

appreciate his willingness to do this. He has a very busy

calendar and schedule, as well. So, please put all your

efforts into trying to reach a consensual agreement with the

Borrowers. I think it's an important set of issues.

But with respect to exclusivity, I really do view the objection as really, as Plan issues, not as really as to exclusivity. I think that the case is -- it's taken time to get here, but compared to a lot of cases, it's actually been a relatively brief time compared to many large, complicated cases. So, let's keep it moving forward. Your Motion is granted.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. We intend to do so. Up next is the Motion to Pay Certain Fees to a Backup Plan Sponsor. Your Honor, from the beginning of the auction process, the Debtors have been focused on maintaining optionality. The cryptocurrency industry is fast-moving and has been subject to regulatory scrutiny, and we want to make sure, of course, that we're fully regulatorily compliant in whatever transaction we propose.

So, we have been focused on making sure that we have an executable backup plan in case the Fahrenheit NewCo transaction cannot be completed for any reason. These cases are too expensive and have gone on for too long to have us have to go all the way back to the drawing board if we have to pivot to another transaction. We need to get out of bankruptcy promptly.

For that reason, the Plan we have filed contemplates two transaction structures, the Fahrenheit NewCo deal, which we believe is the best way to maximize the value of Celsius' liquid and illiquid assets for the benefit of our Creditors, and it also includes a pivot to an orderly wind down as a backup if this NewCo transaction cannot be completed for some reason. That way we don't have to start from scratch and file a new Plan and Disclosure Statement. We could quickly pivot to a wind down and promptly conclude these cases without having to start over. Of course, we don't presently see any reason why the NewCo Plan could not be completed. We've been in close contact with the regulators, we've answered their questions, but we need to have optionality just in case. We're very cognizant of what happened in Voyager, and it turned out to be very helpful that Voyager had backup wind down built into their Plan given what happened with finance. It allowed them to quickly pivot an exit. This optionality comes with a price,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of course.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Debtors and the Committee have selected The Brick as the backup Plan Sponsor. The Brick would take Celsius' mining business public and liquidate Celsius' other assets for the benefit of Celsius' Creditors. We've entered into a Plan Sponsor Agreement that obligates The Brick to serve as this backup bidder through the end of the year. But in order to be an effective backup, we need to be closely coordinated with them. We need to make sure that The Brick is ready to take over as Plan Sponsor and promptly make distributions if we need to pivot late in the process. So, that requires us to closely consult with The Brick on a host of different issues relating to the Backup Plan, from strategies on how to best convert the Debtor's all coin portfolio to bitcoin in need for distribution, how to best maximize the value the Debtor's illiquid assets, make sure that The Brick is ready to make distributions of liquid cryptocurrency to creditors and make sure that The Brick is ready to take the mining business public. In short, The Brick needs to be running alongside the Fahrenheit transaction in parallel so that they can step in if need be.

And of course, there's a cost to that work by The Brick, which the Debtors believe, in their business judgment, is a cost worth paying in order to secure a backup that preserves its optionality and prevents us from having

to further extend the cases if the Fahrenheit transaction cannot be completed. So, we've agreed to pay a backup commitment fee, pay certain expenses and pay consulting fees for ongoing consultation between the Debtors and The Brick to ensure that The Brick can be an effective backup option. We've structured these backup fees in a way that ensures that the Debtor's estates receive maximum value from The Brick, including a monthly fee that is earned out in exchange for Brick's ongoing consultation with us. And The Brick has a lot to offer the estate in that regard. One of the members is (indiscernible) Absolute Return Advisors, who is uniquely qualified to help the Debtors decide how to best convert their cryptocurrency into bitcoin (indiscernible) to maximize the value of our (indiscernible) portfolio. And Brick is partnered with Gemini's Distribution Agent, which is helpful as we finalize our plans for the Distribution Agent under any Plan.

But we believe that the fees are imminently reasonable in light of the potential cost of delay and the value of securing this backup. The cost of these cases is around \$20 million a month and having a backup transaction will likely save at least a month off the timeline if we had to pivot. And The Brick reduced their fees since the filing of the Motion. They reduced the Consultation Services Fee by \$50,000 a month and they waived that fee, in its

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

entirety, for May. They also clarified that their expense reimbursement through today's date will not exceed \$1.25 million in the aggregate. They also agreed to reduce their ongoing go-forward expense reimbursement to \$300,000 per month down from \$500,000 per month. And they also agreed to reduce their fees under the backup proposal itself by a total of \$7 million if we actually have to pivot to them.

Finally, as we disclosed in the Reply we filed yesterday, we received another bid for a wind down. Our Backup Plan Sponsor Agreement, of course, includes a broad fiduciary out if we identify a better offer that is more value maximizing than The Brick. But we believe it is important to lock in the Backup Proposal so that we have it in hand and can move towards the Disclosure Statement Hearing and Confirmation confidently that we have a backup if, for whatever reason, we need it. Again, as with exclusivity, we think it's notable that we only received one objection from the U.S. Trustee. None of the Debtor's economic stakeholders objected to the Motion. I'll respond in more detail after Ms. Cornell speaks, but we address her objection in the Reply that we filed yesterday. This is not the case of the Debtors paying an unsuccessful bidder as compensation for participating in the auction. Rather, these fees are for the work that they are doing to serve as a backup transaction which is very valuable to the Debtor's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

estates. The fees are appropriate to compensate The Brick for their commitment to serve as a backup for a six-month period of time and all the work that they need to do in order to ensure that they are an effective and available backup option for the Debtors. With that, unless Your Honor has questions for me at the outset, I'll turn the evidentiary portion of the motion.

THE COURT: So, I do have questions. So, you filed the Motion without a Declaration. My Chambers have been calling for weeks asking for a Declaration in support. We were told that the Motion references a Declaration by Christopher Ferraro. There is no Declaration by Christopher There's this late-filed Declaration by Mr. Ferraro. Schreiber from Alvarez and Marsal. So, I haven't had sufficient time to really focus on this because you were so late in filing anything in support and explain it. So, why don't you have to file a Motion to obtain Brick as a consultant? I mean, you -- that's what you ordinarily -you hire consultants, you've done this before in the case and it requires a Motion. You haven't done that. I don't understand -- there are lots of fees built into this, as I don't understand all the pieces of it. What's the aggregate amount of compensation that Brick is going to receive and how much for each of the categories of compensation they're going to receive? You've basically

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 39 1 dropped this on us without sufficient evidentiary support. 2 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, on the evidentiary 3 support, we apologize for being so late. 4 THE COURT: No, don't apologize. You know, you 5 think apologies are going to get you a decision today? 6 MR. KOENIG: No, look, Your Honor, we understand 7 you take the --8 THE COURT: Answer first about the requirement 9 that you have a consultant retained, the retention approved 10 by the Court? 11 So, The Brick is working on their MR. KOENIG: 12 They are the backup bidder, they are working for their 13 own account. 14 THE COURT: You're -- you've said they're going to 15 be a consultant to the Debtor for the purposes of this 16 backup bid, okay. You've said they're a consultant, to you, 17 you know, to the Debtor. You have to get them retained. 18 They have to file -- it's going to require to show they have 19 no conflicts. You know, I'm exasperated because I 20 understand what you're trying to accomplish but follow the rules. 21 22 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, we don't believe that 23 they're professional within the meaning of the Section 327 and 328. 24 25 THE COURT: Really?

1 MR. KOENIG: They are the backup bidder who would 2 provide us -- they would --3 THE COURT: Well then, they're not entitled to any consulting fees then, right? Because they're a backup 4 5 They're not a consultant, they're a backup bidder. 6 MR. KOENIG: Sure. So, what we did -- what we 7 tried to do, Your Honor. We could have structured the fees 8 as a one-time backup commitment fee or something of that 9 nature and avoided that issue. What we tried to do is 10 structure this in a way that the estate's got the maximum 11 bang for the buck by ensuring that they work with us as the 12 process goes along, to ensure that they're actually ready to 13 -- so that we can pivot to them any time, if needed. 14 THE COURT: Let's assume that the successful bid, 15 they're executed. What's the aggregate compensation that 16 Brick you expect will be entitled to under what you're 17 asking for? 18 MR. KOENIG: It depends on what --19 THE COURT: Put everything together, how much? 20 What's the range? 21 MR. KOENIG: It depends on when we exit from 22 bankruptcy, but it's a \$1.5 million commitment fee, plus 23 their expense reimbursement which, as we disclosed in the Reply, the expect to be about \$1.25 million so I'm up to 24 25 \$2.75 million and then they have a \$450,000 monthly fee

Pg 41 of 147 Page 41 1 through whenever we emerge. 2 THE COURT: What are they doing for the \$450,000 a 3 month? MR. KOENIG: We're talking to them about 5 converting the Debtor's (indiscernible) portfolio and 6 bitcoin (indiscernible) and utilizing the benefit of 7 (indiscernible) as a proprietary trading platform and a deep 8 knowledge in the space. We're consulting with them about 9 how they would distribute liquid cryptocurrency under their 10 Plan and making sure that they're ready to stand up the 11 mining business. All of this is something that any bidder needs to do. The difference is, Fahrenheit is the winning 12 13 bidder, and they expect to succeed. So, that's sweat equity 14 that is reasonable to expect them to do on their own. The 15 Brick is the backup bid and for them to be running 16 alongside, they don't have any expectation that they're 17 going to be the transacting counterparty at the end of the 18 They have to be ready if we call them on any given day 19 and say, "We need to pivot", we can't be starting from 20 scratch. That's the whole point of having a backup bidder. 21 THE COURT: But what are they going to do for 22 \$450,000 a month? 23 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, I went through the 24 consulting services that we think are necessary and

appropriate to make sure that we -- that they're ready to go

Page 42 1 if we need to call on them. 2 THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Colodny, do you 3 want to address it and then I'll give Ms. Cornell a chance. MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, Aaron Colodny for the 4 5 Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. I -- it's the 6 Debtor's Motion and to the extent that you require them to 7 follow and retain a professional. We defer --THE COURT: Don't you think that -- you don't 8 9 believe that this -- that they require retention as a 10 professional? 11 MR. COLODNY: As a --12 THE COURT: For a consultant fee of \$450,000 a 13 month? They don't have to be retained? 14 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor --THE COURT: What's the position of the Committee 15 16 on that issue? And then explain it. 17 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, I think it is 18 appropriate for all professionals in this case to be 19 conflict-free, to file a professional retention application 20 or not. If it's the Debtor's position that they are not 21 being professional within the meaning of 327(e) --22 THE COURT: And you believe that's correct? 23 Committee supports compensating them as a consultant for 24 \$450,000 a month, without retention, without approval, 25 without establishing they're conflict-free, the Committee

Page 43 1 believes that the Debtor should be able to pay these fees 2 and have them as a consultant without having them retained -3 MR. COLODNY: The way that I look at the economic 4 5 benefit of this, to the extents that we need to pivot and we 6 need to pivot quickly, I think that being able to move on a 7 couple days' notice saves \$20 million in this case, which to 8 me, when I looked at --9 THE COURT: So, let the move quickly and seek to 10 be retained. 11 MR. COLODNY: If that is what Your Honor decides, 12 that is perfectly --13 THE COURT: But I want to know what -- tell me, do you in your professional judgment, believe that as a 14 15 consultant to the Debtor, they're not required to be 16 retained? 17 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, as a professional for 18 the Debtor, I think they have to meet the requirements of 19 all other professionals. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Cornell? MS. CORNELL: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, this 21 22 is Shara Cornell at the Office of the United States Trustee 23 and thank you again for allowing me to appear virtually 24 today. I appreciate it. The motion (indiscernible) to pay 25 a backup bidder a variety of fees, including, as discussed

earlier, an expense reimbursement commitment fees and consultant fees.

There's no support for these biddings either in case law or the Bankruptcy Code. Instead, the Debtors rely solely on their business judgment, a statement that has been rejected for evaluating a punitive backup -- backup bidder fees. See (indiscernible) Environmental Energy, Inc., (indiscernible) F3D 527 (indiscernible) 1989; and (indiscernible) Energy Channel, LLP, (indiscernible) F3d 200. That's at (indiscernible) 2010.

Specifically, the considerations that underlie the Debtors' judgment may be relevant with the Bankruptcy

Court's determination on a request for breakup fees and expenses but the business -- the business judgment rule should not be applied as such in the bankruptcy context.

O'Brien 181 F3d 535.

The burden is on the Debtors to prove the necessity of and the benefit to the estates from the proposed fees. The Debtors have failed to meet this burden in demonstrating that Brick is entitled to the fees because the Debtors' (indiscernible) in having submitted a losing bid at the auction. The Debtors' (indiscernible) no legal authorities to support the payment of the fees. The bidder was never even marketed as a second sale, never even tested with the market. It's great that they got another bid, but

when they filed the motion they didn't say they were accepting further -- further offers just for a wind-down.

So, to the auction associated with that, backup bidders do not get opportunity costs. The Brick plan itself is an orderly wind-down to exchange assets and a reorganizing of the mining assets, which could also be accomplished in a Chapter 7, where mining is sold and the remaining (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: I don't -- I don't agree with that,

Ms. Cornell.

MR. COLODNY: The -- okay. But it calls into question as to the propriety of these views. And, again, with the consultation fees, as Your Honor pointed out earlier, how does the Debtor hire a potential purchaser as a consultant? They haven't addressed the potential conflict issues at all. How can they consult on a deal that they are involved in? How are they not (indiscernible)?

And if the Debtor truly needs their services, for whichever Brick entity is providing the services, should be engaged as a retained professional by the estate, not in an (indiscernible) consultation agreement. However, I'm still not convinced that the professionals that are currently retained cannot perform the proposed consultation services and keep the Brick bid on the same playing field as Fahrenheit or any other options.

We have competent investment bankers, financial analysts on both the Debtors and the committee. There are block (indiscernible) analysis. There are a lot of professionals already working in this case. The risk of duplication of efforts is serious and there is no mechanism for review because Brick is not going to be retained as a professional pursuant to the motion.

Moreover, under the Fahrenheit deal, the buyer also has to convert all claims to BTC and E. So, whether the buyer has to maximize the value of the liquid assets there as well, it appears as though the functions under the Brick consulting agreement will be duplicative even if we go forward with the Fahrenheit approach. How much do these services really cost? How many hours are you going to need to be expanded? Who will determine if the fees are appropriate? These are just a couple of the, you know, overarching questions that we really need to discuss.

Your Honor, I also wanted to address a case citation by the Debtors in their reply brief, if I may. The Debtors cite to In Re Bethlehem Steel Corp 2003 Westlaw 21738964 (S.D.N.Y. 2003). While it's true that the case does evaluate the payment of fees under 363(b) it (indiscernible) reviewing whether a debtor can reimburse a creditor's professional fees. There, the debtors were seeking to pick counsel for the union because their efforts

in negotiating were integral to formulating a workable plan.

Here, Brick is not a creditor. Brick is not similarly situated to the USWA and Bethlehem. Brick is a bidder in an auction. And, moreover, this really isn't a reimbursement motion either like Bethlehem. The motion includes so much more: Commitment fees, consultation fees and expense reimbursements. How a commitment or consultation fee fits into Bethlehem, well, I really don't see it myself.

And Judge Stein in evaluating a 503 substantial contribution claim in In Re SNY Enterprises, LLC, (indiscernible) 452, Bankruptcy E.D.N.Y 2012, found that a would-be purchaser consistently acted to further its own economic interest and not to advance an entire bankruptcy process. And at most, Conferred asserted only incidental benefits on the estate.

Brick is clearly acting to further its own
economic interest here and not to advance this bankruptcy
estate. And any benefit is incidental and therefore does
not rise to the level of substantial contribution. I'm
happy to answer any other questions Your Honor may have
regarding our -- our objection today.

THE COURT: Mr. Koenig? Well, before I -- anybody else want to be heard before Mr. Koenig comes back to the microphone? Go ahead.

Page 48 1 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor, again, Chris 2 So, look, again, the fees are to make sure that 3 they are ready to step in. They are not being hired as a consultant to the Debtors. We need to work with them --4 5 THE COURT: That sounds like you -- exactly the 6 opposite of what you told me before. 7 MR. KOENIG: I said -- I said that we were 8 speaking with them, we were consulting with them. That 9 doesn't make them a consultant. 10 THE COURT: You know, we don't have a transcript 11 that can be read back, but how many times you said the word 12 consultant? 13 MR. KOENIG: It doesn't make them a consultant of 14 the Debtors' fund. 15 THE COURT: Who are they consulting for then? 16 MR. KOENIG: We -- we are working with them to 17 ensure that they are ready to step in as the backup bidder. THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, look, we will -- we think 19 20 it's very important to have a backup bidder. We think that 21 there's an awful lot of value --22 THE COURT: That I agree with. MR. KOENIG: We think that there's an awful lot of 23 24 value, and that comes with a cost. 25 That I agree with. I agree it's THE COURT:

important, and I understand, you know, Mr. Schreiber's declaration I think pointed out that the Fahrenheit proposal, which is the selected bid, is really for a different construct. And I think -- I think Voyager, among others, has shown the importance of being able to pivot quickly to another alternative structure that can be included. Okay.

I don't -- contrary to what Ms. Cornell has said,

I do believe that Brick is entitled to be compensated.

Okay, the question is how much and for what? I'm -- the monthly consultation fee of 400,000 -- \$450,000, they're going to have to be retained as a professional in this case in order to be entitled -- and you're going to have to show that that's a reasonable fee for it. We've got lots of fees built into this proposal. It's truly not clear to me what's the aggregate amount of compensation that they would be entitled to if the Debtor winds up going forward, if Fahrenheit is the successful -- and it's confirmed and -- and, you know, when it goes effective, I don't know -- how much will Brick be entitled in the aggregate to have received by then? I don't know. Okay, I have real questions about it.

You've built in so many different fees and reimbursements and everything else. That's unacceptable to me at this point with not sufficient disclosure or

Page 50 1 information. I don't agree -- the point I don't agree with 2 -- I agree with Ms. Cornell that if they're going to be a 3 consultant, they need to be retained. You retain professionals. Mr. Colodny was choking on whether he was 4 5 going to answer my question as to whether they had to be 6 conflict-free, retain this as a professional. I understand 7 the committee is supporting this Brick proposal, okay, but 8 you're going to have to go back to the drawing board. 9 To be clear, I'm not opposed to approving an 10 arrangement where Brick is compensated. I need to 11 understand what they're doing for it and whether those are 12 reasonable amounts. And if it involves a consultation fee, 13 they're going to have to be retained. 14 MR. KOENIG: Understood, Your Honor. So, let us 15 go back to the drawing board with the Brick and the 16 committee and come back with a revised proposal. 17 THE COURT: So, this motion is denied without 18 prejudice. 19 MR. KOENIG: Understood. 20 THE COURT: Okay? MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOENIG: I'll turn over the lectern. I think 23 24 we're in the insurance automatic stay portion of the agenda. 25 THE COURT: Yes, okay.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you.

committee of unsecured creditors.

MR. WINDELS: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin Windels from Kaufman Dolowich & Voluck on behalf of Euclid Financial Providers, LLC. Your Honor, this is a motion for relief from the automatic stay to allow underwriters to advance and pay defense costs of certain insureds who have made claims under a direct (indiscernible) liability policy issued to Celsius. Your Honor, the motion has been opposed but --

THE COURT: Well, not really opposed.

MR. WINDELS: Well, kind of -- yes, Your Honor.

Well, it was opposed by one -- one other secured creditor,

Your Honor, and partially opposed by the bidder and

THE COURT: Let me kind of cut through it, okay? depending on what conditions are included in it, I believe the law supports granting the motion, okay. When I say the conditions with reporting requirements, etc., I just went through this in SVB Financial Group. I'd written on D&O issues before, so I think I understand the issues. But -- so, to the extent that I have a pro se creditor opposing the relief in full, I reject that position, okay? The question is what -- what are the appropriate conditions that are -- or requirements, reporting requirements that should be built into the order?

MR. WINDELS: Your Honor, we did not oppose the partial objections by the Debtor and committee of unsecured creditors on the reporting function. We have submitted a proposed revised order to the Court with our reply on Monday, which essentially indicated we would report to them in the same format that we would report to the U.S. Trustee. What we do have an issue with are two other conditions that they're seeking to implement, one of which is the implementation of a pro rata distribution under the policy, which is not provided for under the policy terms nor is it provided for any case that we know of ever seen, which literally would alter on an extra contractual basis the terms of the policy. So, we are certainly strongly opposed to the suggestion. THE COURT: What's the aggregate amount of insurance available from all levels of the tower? MR. WINDELS: Your Honor, I believe that was set forth on Page 5 of the Debtors' opposition and essentially Sides A, B and C in a total limit of 7.5 and -- from what they say, Your Honor, there's a total policy limit of \$30 million. THE COURT: Okay. MR. WINDELS: And, again, Your Honor --THE COURT: Regrettably, that gets eaten through pretty quickly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 53 1 MR. WINDELS: I'm sorry, Your Honor? 2 THE COURT: I said, regrettably, that will 3 potentially get eaten through pretty quickly. MR. WINDELS: I can't --4 5 THE COURT: It sounds like a lot of money, I know, 6 but --7 MR. WINDELS: Yeah, I can't forecast the future 8 but I can understand Your Honor's point. Okay, but, Your 9 Honor, simply what they're suggesting is not something that 10 we can ever agree to or could countenance. Simply put, the 11 policy does not provide for pro rata distribution. 12 THE COURT: So, one of the provisions that I've 13 been urged to include is a requirement that the individual insureds consent to the jurisdiction of this court. 14 15 MR. WINDELS: I'm sorry, I missed you again. 16 THE COURT: One of the conditions that has been 17 urged upon me is a requirement that the individual insureds 18 consent to the jurisdiction of this court. 19 MR. WINDELS: Your Honor, the objections filed 20 suggest that the Court should implement a condition of the 21 order which says that the Court should require the 22 individual to subject themselves to jurisdiction of this 23 Court for all purposes. I don't believe that that is something that -- that should be included in the order. It 24 25 certainly is not something that's in the insurance policy,

Page 54 1 for that matter. But I don't see the basis -- the legal 2 basis for such a condition being implemented. 3 THE COURT: Okay. You have, I take it, no 4 objection to the reporting requirements that they --5 MR. WINDELS: We do not, Your Honor. 6 (indiscernible) put into our proposed revised order. 7 THE COURT: You are opposed to the request for pro 8 rate allocation scheme for the reasons you said? 9 MR. WINDELS: We are firmly opposed to that, Your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay, all right, thank you. 12 MR. WINDELS: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: All right, who else wants to be heard? 14 MS. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor. Elizabeth 15 Jones of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. 16 Honor, as you correctly stated, we're not opposed with the 17 respect to lifting -- lifting the automatic stay or 18 addressing whether or not the proceeds are property of the 19 estate. We do think, based on the previous rulings in this 20 court and in others with respect to balancing the harms, 21 that it would be appropriate to put in two additional 22 protections on top of the reporting. One with respect to jurisdiction. Our main concern here is that we have certain 23 24 parties seeking to invoke the jurisdiction of the Court with 25 respect to their rights under the contract but not with

respect to any potential obligations in the future.

Page 55

2 THE COURT: What do you mean, with respect to any 3 obligations in the future? I -- I have no problem, and I 4 don't think the insurers have a problem, about requiring 5 just that the Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all 6 issues concerning the insurance. Any of the individuals who 7 receive policy proceeds are going to be subject to the 8 jurisdiction to the Court with respect to the insurance 9 issues. But how do you justify a hook that they submit to 10 jurisdiction that the bankruptcy -- I mean, if I have 11 jurisdiction, I have jurisdiction. If I don't, I -- you 12 know, this seems to me to be an improper condition you want 13 to impose. 14 MS. JONES: We understand, Your Honor, and our 15 main concern is what you outlined with respect to the 16 policy. I think our concern was if we start carving things 17 back, we might run into a -- to a gray area. THE COURT: Well, give me an example of what you -18 19 - let's assume that I agreed with the Debtors' proposal on 20 submission to jurisdiction. What -- what would the result

would receive policy persons?

be with respect to -- what jurisdiction would this Court

have with respect to any -- for claims against anyone who

21

22

23

24

25

that this has come up -- and some of these issues we saw a lot with MF Global and some issues with respect to whether the Court has personal jurisdiction to either -- for the extent, let's say they're -- the advanced payment provision comes into play. There is a claw-back. And if either Euclid or any of the other underwriters or the Debtors are seeking to enforce that claw-back, and the individual insureds that have received the funds argue that the Court doesn't have the authority to issue the order requirements of payback --

THE COURT: But that would be -- but if the order included a requirement that anyone receiving proceeds submit to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to anything related to insurance, the proceeds that they have received -- I don't have a particular problem about that.

What I'm reacting to is if the Debtor, or the committee, or if there's a trust post-confirmation brings an action against officers and directors who received insurance proceeds, the claim -- it doesn't relate to the insurance proceeds they received; it relates to alleged misconduct that they may have -- the Court either does -- you know, absent the insurance, the Court either does or doesn't have jurisdiction over them. I mean, I'm just -- I'm trying to understand how far you're trying to extend what they're -- what somebody would have to consent to.

MS. JONES: Yes, we understand, Your Honor. Our goal is, with respect to the policy, if our language and proposals aren't precise on that, we're happy to narrow it and make it clear that we think the conditions should be limited to respect -- with respect to receiving proceeds from the policy.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: With respect, Your Honor, to the pro rata point, our -- our concern here is -- we know these insurance internal matters come up frequently in a lot of cases. Here, we're a year in. There have been a number of claim submitted. Our understanding is that at this time, no approvals have been made so we're really at the very beginning of potentially how to distribute this policy.

One -- one point we wanted to clear -- clarify, there are -- there is about 30 million on the tower. Ten million is just for the independent directors, and then an additional about 13.75 million of the remaining 20 or so is for prior to the petition date. So, 6.25 million is for acts after the petition date. So, that's how it sort of breaks down.

And at this point, where we believe that the universe of claims are essentially known and we think that they are going to exceed the 1.5 million, all that we're asking, if that's clear after they've reviewed the claims

submitted to date, made their decisions on approval, that allocation is done fairly. While it's true that that's not a requirement in the contract, there is no requirement in the contract whatsoever on how to allocate (indiscernible) among parties.

THE COURT: I don't -- I'm trying to understand where I would derive the power to impose allocation requirements that don't appear in the policy. Either the individuals who are protected by the insurance are entitled to policy proceeds or they're not. And I understand what you might be trying to accomplish.

So, I know -- look, I read in the blogs that

Mashinski is still in the New York Attorney General suit. I

read yesterday in the ABI news that there's been an

amendment in the class securities class action bringing

someone else in as -- for (indiscernible) sales. I don't

know what the status of non-bankruptcy court litigation -- I

don't know how many of the officers and directors have been

named as defendants in any of them. But I'm -- I don't -
where do I derive the authority to impose any pro rata

requirements? If the proceeds -- if the policy proceeds are

there to protect the covered individuals, how do I decide

no, I'm sorry, you're only going to get this much of it?

MS. JONES: Yes. And it's something we've thought

with respect to both looking at 362 and 105 of the

Bankruptcy Code -- one with evaluating the (indiscernible)

factors of whether cause exists to lift the stay, there is a

factor of balancing the harms. And while it is not as clear

as the Court can fashion, sort of maybe in a pro rata

allocation --

THE COURT: How do you square it with either my MF Global decision or the more recent SVB Financial Group decision? I've written on insurance in other cases as well.

MS. JONES: Yeah, so we do think that this falls in line with sort of a reporting requirement to the extent that it is not -- we don't want to tell the insureds who they can and can't approve. That's exactly to your point. Our goal here is not to say that there should be certain insureds that are entitled to receive the benefits of the policy that aren't going to get it. Our goal here was something along those lines of there is a limited amount of resources to go around. Unlike SVB and MF Global, we don't have hundreds of millions of dollars in tower proceeds. have a very limited amount here. At this point, a year into the case, where, again, compared to SVB, we're very early and there is a lot of speculation. We know that there have been a lot of claims been submitted. The underwriters believe it's going to exceed the limits. And so where we have a much more clear insight into the universe of claims,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

when they are making the decisions of who to approve, with that decision also comes their ability to make a decision on how much, and in what amount, and who is going to get it.

We understand that --

THE COURT: I don't -- what I don't understand is where I get the -- where the authority for me -- once, as a legal matter, proceeds go to the individual insureds, what, as a legal matter, where do I get the authority to say so much -- only so much to this person and so much to that person? I don't know where that would come from.

MS. JONES: Right. And I know Section 105 is broad and it's used as a catch all. But our point here with doing it with respect to Side A is there -- and we've all reserved the issues with respect to A, B and C -- but our hope and our goal was to put in a process in place at the start of starting to eat into the insurance tower so that if we get to the points where we have to come back and we have to argue the issue of whether we think BNC should be -- and whether cause there exists to lift the stay, that we already have a process in place. That we're not changing our position or we're not altering that and getting into the issues of has this been thoughtful and fair and -- we understand that it's a little bit of a trickier point but we do think, based on the information we have and what we know, that we have a responsibility to at least advocate for an

Page 61 1 equitable distribution of those proceeds. And in 2 bankruptcy, where there a lot of equitable remedies 3 fashioned that may not exist outside of bankruptcy, we tried with the information we had to propose that solution. 4 That 5 didn't encroach on the insurers' rights and ability to 6 determine who even should be approved for proceeds. 7 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 8 MS. JONES: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: All right, who else wants to be heard? MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, Aaron Colodny on behalf 10 11 of the official committee of unsecured creditors. With 12 respect to the amount of insurance that you asked about, 13 there's not a lot here. \$30 million, as was described, 10 14 is for the special committee, 6.5 is for acts after the 15 petition date. And so, really, at the end of the day, 16 you're looking at about \$13-14 million. 17 THE COURT: That doesn't go very far. 18 MR. COLODNY: It does not go very far. With 19 respect to the jurisdictional question, Your Honor, if you 20 are comfortable limiting it to the insurance policy that's 21 fine with us. The point that we had was --22 THE COURT: Do I have authority to impose a 23 condition that in order to get insurance proceeds, they have 24 to generally submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy 25 Court for everything? I don't think so.

MR. COLODNY: I -- I believe a 362 gives you a broad ability to condition relief from the automatic stay. And to the extent someone comes to the Court asking for relief from the automatic stay, and here we have a former director asking for your relief and saying it was a limited appearance for a sole purpose, I think if you are hearing for that purpose, it is perfectly acceptable for this Court to say that in the event something were to happen in the future and I -- not -- yeah, everything has to be proven. That is abundantly clear.

It is perfectly fine to say we are -- okay, to the extent they're seeking relief from the Court, you have the ability to get that back if the contract provides, they are entitled to that.

THE COURT: Well, if the issue is whether I should have jurisdiction to rule on any claw-back claims if they received insurance proceeds, I'm not going to rule from the bench but, in theory, I don't have a problem with that.

It's linked to the insurance and the payments they've received by virtue of the stay being lifted and their receiving insurance proceeds.

If, for example, it was a determined -- in a non-Bankruptcy Court litigation, there was a determination that a particular insured acted in bad faith and was not entitled to receive policy proceeds, for example, where it's clawing

back insurance proceeds that have only been received because I lifted the stay for them to do it, I understand the basis for that. What I don't -- where I'm -- again, I'm not ruling -- where I have a problem is if you're trying -- if the collective group is trying to use this motion to impose a condition, that individuals insureds who receive any insurance proceeds submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court to determine any issues regarding that person's conduct -- that I have a problem with.

MR. COLODNY: So, I completely agree, Your Honor.

All we're receiving is with respect to the insurance

proceeds here. I will note that to the extent someone has

filed a proof of claim or filed a motion for relief --

THE COURT: That can be a different issue. You know, you submit equitable jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court when you submit a proof of claim.

MR. COLODNY: Correct, Your Honor. And then with respect to your question of Ms. Jones about what authority do you have to order this pro rata distribution, I think our point with respect to the pro rata distribution is — there's a pending motion before Your Honor asking the estate to pay some of the costs of cooperating witnesses. I think that that puts the estate property squarely in play with respect to this insurance policy. To the extent that all of the insurance is eaten up by one or two directors, and then

the estate has to pay for the cost of others, I think that we should have some sort of sharing. Again, I think 362 gives you very broad discretion to condition the stay. And to the extent that Your Honor is comfortable with some apportion mechanism, which -- which takes into account the potential the estate will have to pay for some of these costs --

THE COURT: So, the Court's -- I still haven't approved the -- the motion to compen -- reimburse employees in connection with their cooperation. It might well be I'm left, again, not ruling. I only -- haven't thought this through clearly. It might well be that if an employee receives reimbursement from the Debtor for its cooperation, and if the conditions on that were good faith -- etc., good faith by them, it may be that their receipt of the money from the Debtor will require them to submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court.

MR. COLODNY: I believe that's a condition to the agreement.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, what I would - again, I'm taking this under submission. I think -- let's

put the pro rata issue aside because I do have a real

problem about that. But with respect to submission to

jurisdiction by virtue or receiving insurance proceeds, I'd

like to see, hopefully, the Debtor and the committee can

work together and propose some language. And I'm going to hear from anybody else yet as to what specifically is being proposed on that. Okay.

MR. COLODNY: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Colodny.

Who else wants to be heard? Do any of the insureds or the representatives, do they wish to be heard on this? I mean, they're the ones who are most affected by whatever I rule here.

MR. VANTOL: Thank you, Your Honor, and good morning. Peter Vantol from Hogan Lovells representing Mr. Leon and Ms. Landis. I'd like to be heard on the pro rata allocation point because I think Your Honor has hit on it. Section 105's been around for a long time. It's the first thing I heard as a junior lawyer from the Bankruptcy Court. We could not find a single case where that was invoked to impose the kind of pro rata allocation that's being sought here.

I think the insureds have shown that it's a first come, first serve basis. We have no problem with reporting requirements, Your Honor. With respect to personal jurisdiction, again, I think you hit the nail on the head.

Mr. Leon has filed a proof of claim. He's here seeking proceeds. Our objection was the fact that they had said earlier submit to jurisdiction for all purposes.

So, Your Honor, for example, brought up the UCC adversary proceeding. That is to be determined. I'm not saying we will assert a personal jurisdiction defense, but it would seem to raise constitutional objections to have someone forcibly waive a defense.

So, with that, Your Honor, I would urge you with that one condition. We have no problem with reporting, and I urge you to grant the motion.

THE COURT: So, when I was a practicing lawyer, I did a lot of securities litigation defense, often officers and directors, and was keenly aware of the issues that would arise with respect to the insurance. My experience back then was where the aggregate would look at the tower, the proceeds were limited and rapidly being chewed up. I found that the insurers tried to coordinate the efforts of counsel to avoid duplication, to try and, to the extent possible, limit one insured getting all the money and leaving everybody high and dry without it. That obviously is for the counsel for those who expect to be drawing on policy proceeds, and the insurer to try and work out an acceptable arrangement. I think reporting -- at least make everybody keenly aware of what's happening. But I'd walk at -- unless someone points to specific authority for me to be able to impose pro rata requirements, I don't see where I have the authority to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. VANTOL: Thank you, Your Honor. And on that allocation point, it's my experience, too, that generally there is some rough justice done where the parties get together and we're certainly happy to do that, and we drop that reference in our reply brief. So, working out I think is the best way to make sure there is no run on the insureds' proceeds. THE COURT: Okay. MR. VANTOL: Thank you, Your Honor, I appreciate it. THE COURT: All right. Let me just suggest this: You ought to consult with Mr. Colodny and Mr. Koenig with respect to language, with respect to submission to jurisdiction. Obviously, if your client filed a proof of claim, that raises a set of issues. So, to the extent there's jurisdiction, there's jurisdiction. I just want to be careful how I cabin what the effect of a consent to jurisdiction. I do think it's appropriate that anything with respect to insurance, that they receive insurance proceeds. And is that a claw-back? Well, I do think that's appropriate. But why don't you consult with Mr. Koenig and Mr. Colodny about the proposed language? MR. VANTOL: Will do, Your Honor. Thank you very

www.veritext.com

Thank you very much. All right, who

much.

THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

else wants to be heard? Any of the other insureds wish to be heard? Okay, let me hear from the insurer's counsel again.

MR. WINDELS: Your Honor, just briefly. Kevin Windels for underwriters. We would just reiterate on the pro rata issue that we really do not believe that the Court should countenance what they're suggesting because it would just create a huge, huge problem with this case. It's not the policy. On top of everything else, it would exacerbate issues between insurance with excess insurers and probably most likely (indiscernible) coverage litigation which would create more chaos and havoc for the Court.

THE COURT: I just -- I don't have the authority to require it, but I urge -- I mean, there's not a lot of money that's available with a separate pot for the independent directors. So, that's not... It is what it is. I have no problem about that. But the money that's available otherwise is quite limited. I mean, it just -- and I would hope that the insurer would try to assure a fair allocation, cooperation, avoid -- you know, I used to have insurers' counsel saying, this was an unnecessary duplication, can't you all -- sure, there are some issues that are separate for each individual, but there are some that are very common and we're just not going to pay for everybody to do the same work. I'm just urging that that

Page 69 1 happen because there's just not that much to go around. 2 MR. WINDELS: Understood, Your Honor, thank you. 3 THE COURT: Okay, all right. So, I'm going to take it under submission and I do want to see -- I would 4 5 hope that you would all try and work out language for a 6 proposed retention of jurisdiction and submit it as soon as 7 possible, and I won't let this linger very long. Okay? 8 Thank you very much. 9 MR. WINDELS: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. VANTOL: Your Honor, we don't have any further 11 business for the Court. May we be excused? 12 THE COURT: You can. I just want to be sure, 13 before you seek to be excused, the agenda had a separate 14 Item 8, Leon and Landis Motion for Relief From Stay. It 15 seems to me exactly the same issue. 16 MR. VANTOL: It is, Your Honor, exactly the same 17 issue. Thank you so much. 18 THE COURT: Thank you very much. You're excused. 19 All right, let's deal with Number 9, Caceres motion for valuation of sell token. 20 21 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, that was a pro se motion 22 filed by Mr. Caceres. 23 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Caceres, go ahead. 24 MR. CACERES: Thank you, Your Honor. Santos Caceres, pro se creditor. I am before the Court today to 25

ask Debtors and the UCC to treat this (indiscernible) the same as all the 50 assets in this case. It's my understanding that bankruptcy law states that all assets must be (indiscernible) -- 81 cents on the day of the petition. On the (indiscernible) sheet, (indiscernible) the Debtors, the UCC who's supposed to be representing me and all other creditors, (indiscernible) and holders, demanded at 20 cent valuation for all (indiscernible) without sharing any justification requirements. Neither the bidder nor the Debtors have (indiscernible) to reduce the price from 81 cents with any of us.

This proposal hurts me directly as a non-insider (indiscernible) holder. This is a 75 percent reduction on my (indiscernible) open claim and the claims of approximately 37,000 (indiscernible). There's no apparent rationale for the (indiscernible) treatment of (indiscernible). I request this court to intervene to make sure the sell token claims are treated fairly and equitably, as any other (indiscernible) creditor in the other group.

I'm happy to file any evidence with the Court which clearly demonstrate that (indiscernible) not manipulated by Celsius and no customer funds were used to prop up the sell token as reported by the examiner. The examiner did not access any company records regarding sell token, stated on Page 97 of her report. Unfortunately, the

examiner mischaracterized \$600 million customer-requested OTC by orders as purchases of sell token using customer assets. All such transactions are recorded in emails from (indiscernible) OTC (indiscernible) network inbox and an Excel Spreadsheet (indiscernible) UCC, also which are held with the Debtor and upon information and belief have also been shared with the UTC.

We ask that the Debtor share all information relevant to sell token with the court and the UCC finishes the work analyzing and verifying all transactions that had been sent to them (indiscernible) justify sell token valuation of 81 cents. This includes the information that UCC has already stated that they received information from FTX regarding the short positions against sell token.

have already done the analysis and we'd be happy to send it

-- submit the evidence with the Court. The analysis will be
accompanied by multiple affidavits from OTC desk users
representing a number of transactions with the OTC desk. A
number of such large sell token holders were contacted
recently by the Debtors (indiscernible) UCC. We're
surprised to see the latest filing from the Debtors that
they have reached a settlement with the sell token holders.
This group of sell token holders representing more than 20
million sell tokens from non-insiders has avoided forming a

group and hiring counsel in hopes that we can resolve this outcome. All of their attempts to communicate with the UCC have been rejected.

In conclusion, Your Honor, I'm asking for a ruling on fair and equal treatment of sell token violation for all (indiscernible) accounts sell token holders. If you would like, Your Honor, I can provide one example that shows that no manipulation took place and no customer funds were used without express written permission. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Caceres, you addressed briefly the examiner's report which is -- it is hearsay and not competent evidence for this purpose. But the examiner I think briefly concluded that there was manipulation with respect to the price of the sell token. I referenced earlier in the hearing today just a report I read yesterday that was a securities lawsuit -- I think, is it New Jersey, Mr. Colodny?

MR. COLODNY: Correct.

THE COURT: In New Jersey, where the complaint was being amended to add an additional defendant with allegations about so-called wash sales. So, whether -- I'm not making any determination today whether the price of sell tokens was manipulated or not, but the fact that it may have appeared to have a market price of 81 cents on the petition date is rebuttable. That doesn't establish that that was

the price -- that's the value. It doesn't establish that it's the value for purposes of any plan or confirmation to the plan.

The -- you know, in the committee's response, they also raise the argument that the sell token was a security and, therefore, any claims with respect to the sell token would be subordinated under Section 510 of the Bankruptcy Code. You haven't had a chance to respond to that. It's a very sophisticated issue and I would be very reluctant to rule on it with pro se parties on one side and sophisticated counsel on the other side. So, I'm not prepared to rule on the issue that the committee raised in its response to your motion.

It does seem to me the issues you raise, Mr.

Caceres, are very important to you and many others. I don't doubt that and will have to be resolved. I think it's premature for the Court to have to do that now. It will either be a plan confirmation issue or it may arise in terms of the claims allowance process. You file a proof of claim, the Debtor, if it disagrees, or the committee, they can file a claim objection and it can be resolved in that context.

One of the thing that I'm -- so, I don't know whether you're prepared -- again, I'm really not -- I'm not going to decide at this stage. I think that the Court received quite a few. I think at last count, it was sort of

17 letters supporting your position, and the number may have grown since we last checked on it. It was just over a two to three hour period on Monday, there were 17 letters supporting your position. So, I know this is a very strongly held view of a large number of pro se creditors who have sell tokens. And you're entitled to a fair hearing and determination by the Court. So, I know just looking at the appearance list for today's hearing, and I don't expect necessarily to have any of these parties state their position today, but at least two lawyers have appeared for the New Jersey Bureau of Securities today -- were on the list at least. One from the Texas Attorney General's Office, from the Texas State Securities Board. Two lawyers from the SEC were on the appearance list for today.

I'm not ordering but would certainly like to receive any briefs that any of the securities regulators would submit with respect to whether their view as to whether the sell tokens are securities or not. I think the issues are complicated. Again, I'm not prepared to rule on it with only the committee. I think the Debtor supported the committee in this, but I think that people like yourself, Mr. Caceres, are entitled to have this issue fully aired.

So, I think that it's -- for today, it's premature and so I'm denying the motion that you've made. But I want

to make clear to you and the others who have raised this issue, this is an important issue. I'm not -- it's not going to be evaded. The Court is going to have to decide it either in the context of plan confirmation or the claims allowance process. You know, let me stop with that. I don't know, Mr. Caceres, if you want to say something else, please go ahead.

MR. CACERES: Thank you, Your Honor. Would it be

-- would it be fair to say that the United States Trustee

would need to get involved in probably creating a class -- a

(indiscernible) class here? I know we're late in the case

and I know --

THE COURT: No. Stop. I'm not saying that at all. We're going to have -- assuming that a disclosure statement is approved, there'll be plan confirmation. There can be objections to it and it is important, in my view, that those who are seeking to recovery 81 cents on the sell token be adequately represented in this issue. You're going to have to address the issue that the committee and the Debtor have raised that sale tokens are securities and subject to Section 510 of the Bankruptcy Code. Any claim for that will be subordinated. It's important issues.

I think from the Court's standpoint, I think I would hope it isn't going to be right now, because I'm

Page 76 1 denying the motion without prejudice, I think it's premature 2 -- I hope there will be adequate briefing on the issue of whether the sell tokens were securities. This issue of when 3 4 -- what crypto, whether it's securities or not may depend on 5 the nature of the specific crypto asset that we're talking 6 about. So, let me stop there. 7 Ms. Cordry, are you seeking to be heard? I saw your name flash across my screen. 8 9 MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I'm not sure 10 why it flashed up but no, I'm not. 11 THE COURT: Okay, okay. 12 MS. CORDRY: (indiscernible) that you're raising 13 there but I'm not --14 THE COURT: I would be happy to hear from you on 15 this issue, Ms. Cordry. 16 MS. CORDRY: I would agree (indiscernible) 17 regulators that may have a thought on it but we're not 18 seeking (indiscernible) at this point. 19 THE COURT: Okay. But, Ms. Cordry, let me just 20 say I hope you will talk with your client base and with the 21 other counsel representing state securities regulators to 22 discuss this issue and whether the regulators are -- want to take a position on this issue. It's an important issue and 23 24 I just -- I may have commented on this before, I used this 25 phrase, I want it to be a fair fight. I don't think it

Page 77 1 should be just a group of pro se creditors who feel very 2 strongly about it but don't have counsel at this point. MR. COLODNY: Your Honor --3 THE COURT: Mr. Colodny, go ahead. 5 MR. COLODNY: Yeah. So, Your Honor, I completely 6 agree with you and I want to be clear that we weren't filing 7 our objection to try to sandbag anybody to have it heard on 8 seven days' notice. 9 These pro se -- two pro se objections have been 10 pending for two to three months --11 THE COURT: Well, there were at least 17 letters 12 in a two-hour period on Monday this week supporting their 13 position so... 14 MR. COLODNY: Correct. But my point is not that 15 people don't feel strongly about this, people have written 16 abdicating their position. My point is that the committee 17 did not intend to move forward today without a full record before Your Honor. What we did was we filed an objection to 18 19 make our position clear and I think that some of the pro se 20 creditors raised that this was dropped at the eleventh hour. 21 I disagree with that. 22 We had a call where we spoke with Mr. Santos and many other pro se creditors. It was some time ago and they 23 24 were adamant about 81 cents when we talked to them about the

subordination issue. It was in our second exclusivity

Page 78 1 It's in the plan. And what we wanted to do was lay 2 out the arguments so that everybody --3 THE COURT: I'm not faulting you for raising the 4 argument, Mr. Colodny. 5 MR. COLODNY: I know. 6 THE COURT: I really am not. 7 MR. COLODNY: I have drawn a lot of personal ink 8 for this so I want to make it clear that I agree with your 9 fair fight comment. 10 THE COURT: I'm not faulting it. It needs to be a 11 fair fight. 12 MR. COLODNY: Correct. I agree. Thank you, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: So, look, as I say, I'm denying you 15 the motion without prejudice because I think it's premature, 16 but that doesn't mean that in the middle of a confirmation 17 hearing we're going to have however many days are going to 18 be taken up with this issue of whether the sell token is a 19 security, whether it's going to be subordinated and what the 20 value is. What I would hope would happen is that the committee, the Debtors -- Debtors and other counsel with an 21 22 interest or pro ses who are interested in this would try and 23 work out whether it's immediately prior to the start of the 24 confirmation -- in the confirmation hearing. This is 25 important. This is separate -- it really is a separate

Page 79 1 issue that can be dealt with. 2 If it's left to the claims allowance process, that 3 raises potential issues. People knowing really what are 4 they going to get, what's their recovery going to be. Okay, 5 so please confer and see if you can come up with an 6 acceptable proposal for timing and how to deal with this. 7 And, Ms. Cordry, I hope you and your 8 constituencies will --9 MS. CORDRY: Look, Your Honor, just let me say 10 official for the record, Karen Cordry from the National 11 Association of Attorneys General, so you have it there. 12 And, yes, I will pass this question and this issue back to 13 my group -- and if they're going to take a position on the 14 securities issue. 15 THE COURT: And the SEC may want to take a 16 position on it too. They haven't taken a position on 17 anything in this case yet but they have filed some recent 18 actions against Coinbase and Binance, so a slightly different issue. Not slightly. A different issue. 19 20 okay. MR. COLODNY: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. MR. LOTONA: Your Honor, if I may be briefly 23 24 heard on this topic? 25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

Page 80 1 MR. LOTONA: Dan Lotona, Kirkland & Ellis on 2 behalf of Celsius Debtors. We realize that this has been a 3 very divisive issue in these Chapter 11 cases. Your Honor mentioned the support for 81 cents. There are equally 4 5 passionate arguments on the other side of that that --6 THE COURT: I don't doubt it at all. 7 suggesting that -- I have no idea what the value of the sell 8 token was and the position is. 9 MR. LOTONA: Right. Exactly. And what the 10 Debtors and the committee were trying to do was strike a 11 balance in between those two positions to avoid a protracted 12 and costly confirmation fight, which it looks like we may be 13 headed for. And the Debtors will be prepared to carry their 14 burden, whichever position we take in the plan 15 reorganization. And we do agree with Your Honor that we 16 want it to be a fair fight. 17 So, we and the committee will seek build 18 consensus, which has been very important for us in these 19 Chapter 11 cases and will continue to do that. So, thank 20 you. 21 MR. ABREU: Your Honor, this is Artur Abreu. 22 Could I just give you some --23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. ABREU: About (indiscernible) trading of

course on cryptocurrencies in every single asset today

because of the lack of regulation. And a very important aspect that I have to mention to you is that FTX, the FTX International Exchange, currently in Chapter 11, had massive short positions which is demonstrably proven and it's public. Just to give you some perspective, on June 11, they were paying an interest for you to open a position on the wrong side. So, there was -- there were so many people thinking that the price was going down. That for you to take an opposite position, they were paying you 1,144 percent.

Additionally, on June 17h, they were paying 3,500 percent, and this keeps through the entire process.

Additional, this is on the derivative markets, also on the spot market they were paying an interest for you to short the token to 3,000 -- 2,600 percent.

I just want to highlight that the pressure to downside on the price of sell was completely out of this world and according to watching that data from the FTX exchange, they did not -- they did not hold the short positions of the token that they are saying. So, they basically were selling tokens that there was no proven that these tokens ever existed on the blockchain.

There was enormous volumes in the overall 500 million (indiscernible) markets to bring the price of this token down. So, I -- I think it's domestically proven on

the blockchain and on the exchanges that there was massive short positions to the down side. And eventually the price actually -- there is a position that there is no (indiscernible) that represented the tokens that FTX was telling that they were selling. This would be called what you might say a naked short position to the tune of 50 million tokens.

I think on the examiner's report and I think the UCC also mentions that over 95 percent of the token was dropped on the platform. So, there is not much tokens to be even sold. This is like the issue that I think the judge in the coming procedures should raise -- what is the size of the downside pressure that was being applied to this token and if this was (indiscernible) realize this. Again, just to (indiscernible) FTX is in Chapter 11. There aren't much of the assets that they told they had. And they had previous proceedings against the manipulation as well.

So, I did put a letter -- I think Docket 2882 in support of Mr. Santos' motion to highlight some debt (indiscernible) and it's demonstrably proven. Also to highlight that, according to the examiner's report, I think Raj Bolger, the current chief financial officers, met with the FTX exchange and the FTX exchange also has a trading branch which is called Alameda, so Alameda traded against their users. They took market positions. And it's

Page 83 1 suspicious (indiscernible) that a few others (indiscernible) after this meeting position on the short spot of sell token 3 is open, which has no assets backing on the blockchain. These are things that should be followed by the UCC and 4 questioned about -- for the FTX (indiscernible) I think the 5 UCC has put some questions but it's very, very important to 7 mention the derivative markets. That's where most of the 8 pressure to the downside came because there was no spot sale 9 on the market. And I just wanted to say this. 10 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Abreu. 11 MR. ABREU: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Let me just ask Mr. Lotona because --13 so, or actually ask -- well, I don't know whether Mr. 14 Caladny or Mr. Lotona -- if I understand the position of the 15 committee, if the sell tokens are securities, the result 16 under Section 510(b) is that the claims of the holders of 17 the sell token would be subordinated to the unsecured 18 creditors in the case. Is that your position? 19 MR. LOTONA: Correct, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. And under almost any 21 imaginable scenario, unsecured creditors are not going to be 22 repaid in full and, therefore, holders of sell tokens, if 23 their claims are subordinated, would receive nothing. 24 that correct?

MR. LOTONA: Correct.

25

2

THE COURT: So, I see two separate -- well,

potentially two separate issues. First is either sell token

securities. Because if they are, the holders' claims would

be whatever the value of the sell token -- whether it's 20

cents or 81 cents would be subordinated and they'd recover

nothing. If there's a consensual plan that proposes to

resolve the disputes as to whether sell tokens are

securities or not and it's resolved consensually and the

Court has asked to approve a settlement, that approved

recovery by sell token holders, whether it's 20 cents, 50

cents, I'm not -- whatever that amount is, it would obviate

the need for determination by this court or an appellate

court as to whether or not the sell token is a security. Is

that a fair assessment? Mr. Colodny?

MR. COLODNY: I think that may be a fair assessment with respect to the claims on the platform.

There's the separate issue of claims for fraud related to the cell token. All right, so there's -- when I think about it, there are claims for the return of cell token, based off of balances and accounts. As the examiner's report showed, there is also a potential and alleged fraud related to the price of cell token. And so, individuals who purchase cell token, on the OTC market, may make claims that they were defrauded and bought at a higher price and have damages against (indiscernible).

THE COURT: But your position would be 510b, that the claim arising from the purchase or sale of a security for damages arising from the purchase or sale, would include fraud damages and your -- I take it, am I'm correct that your argument would be that even the fraud claim in connection with the cell token would be a claim that's subordinated under 510b? Is that --MR. COLODNY: Correct. But the -- the current proposal under the plan is that compounds get 20 cents. THE COURT: Correct. MR. COLODNY: Not that fraud claims are 510b So, it may be that even if we're able to reach a settlement with respect to the claims related to account balances, the Court may need to determine 510b, with respect to a potential larger universe of claims connected to the fraud, in connection with the purchase of cells. THE COURT: Okay. It's complicated. MR. COLODNY: It's complicated. And -- and luckily the Second Circuit's still (indiscernible) disallow, I think we said this in our objection. We have Second Circuit precedent from the Leeman Brother's case. I think there is two different Second Circuit opinions on the purchase of sale security, which covers 510b, but the issue of whether cell token is a security is a unique issue, which has not been determined by anyone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 86 1 THE COURT: Right. All right. But I -- I --2 would you agree, subject to the approval of the Court on any settlement that was reached, as part of a plan, the Debtor 3 with committee support, could consensually agree to settle 4 5 those issues and the settlement would have to be approved as 6 part of the plan? 7 MR. COLODNY: Correct. 8 MR. CACERES: Your Honor, may I say something? 9 THE COURT: Mr. Caceres, go ahead. Please, go 10 ahead. 11 MR. CACERES: Did you say to me? THE COURT: Yes. 12 13 MR. CACERES: Yes, I've been a Committee member 14 since 2019. It's my understanding that the state regulators 15 of New Jersey have filed a ceased and desist order to 16 Celsius. It's also my understanding that if we're going to 17 decide cell token being a security, we also need to look at all of the (indiscernible) accounts with other tokens and we 18 19 can conclude as well that those earn accounts issued by 20 Celsius represent also securities. So, if we're thinking 21 about subordinating cell token holder claims, we are 22 stepping into a danger zone here where we can 23 (indiscernible) and generating Celsius issued claims as 24 accounts, I'm sorry.

Are you -- are you ready -- do you

THE COURT:

Page 87 1 have an owned account as well? 2 MR. CACERES: Yes, sir. Yes. Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: I think we've gone as far with this as we can today. These are difficult issues 4 5 MR. IOVINE: Your Honor, can I say one thing, 6 please? 7 THE COURT: Go ahead Mr. IOVINE. 8 MR. IOVINE: Okay. The Debtor's counsel, Kirkland 9 and Owens, almost a representative wager. VGX was 10 determined to be -- or while the STC says is a security. 11 But they still paid out petition date prices. So, what's 12 the difference here? 13 THE COURT: These issues are all premature for 14 today, we've got lots of time to think about that much time. 15 MR. IOVINE: Well, then I request that the estate 16 17 THE COURT: No, I'm sorry -- no -- no. 18 MR. IOVINE: -- counsel --19 THE COURT: No -- no. Stop. You have not filed a 20 motion. I'm denying, without prejudice, the motions that 21 were pending before me with respect to valuation of the cell 22 It will be dealt with in the future, I've heard tokens. 23 enough. Let's move on. 24 MR. IOVINE: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 MR. LOTONA: Your Honor, I'll turn them up and

(indiscernible) in order to appease him.

THE COURT: Okay. Is the fee examiner, fee application and the fee examiner counsel fee application, who's going to argue on that?

MR. SANTICHI: Good -- good morning, Your Honor, this is Chris Santichi. Ms. Stadler is on as well, I believe she's going to make the presentation to the Court.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. STADLER: Yes, I am, thank you, Judge. As
Your Honor knows, we've completed our first round. Oh,
Katherine Stadler of Godfrey and Kahn, on behalf of the Fee
Examiner. As you know, we completed our reporting on the
first interim fee cycle back in April, with an order entered
for the consensual award of fees. We have two pending
applications for the first interim fee period that are
deferred to the July 18th hearing and then we have a large
group of second interim fee applications, plus those two
deferred applications, schedule to go forward with
recommendations to the Court in connection with the July
18th fee hearing.

The process has gone smoothly. The professionals have all been cooperative, our fee applications have been accurate, but we are continuing to be engaged on behalf of the fee examiner, and to complete our work through February, which was mostly -- most of the work on the first interim

- fee period reporting. So, if you have questions about any of that, I'm happy to answer. Otherwise, the fee applications will speak for themselves.
 - THE COURT: I -- I do have some questions about the examiner's application. So, the examiner's application seeks allowance of \$137,400.00 in compensation, and \$2,046.28 in expense reimbursement. When I look at Exhibit A to the examiner's application, it shows invoice numbers, invoice period, total fees, shows a hold back. The total fees that it shows, so October 22 through February 23, as \$59,100.00.
 - So, I'm trying to understand what is it in the application that I can look at that -- that backs up to the \$130 -- you know, that comes -- that down to the \$137,400?

 I'm just looking for the support for the -- in the application for the numbering.
 - MS. STADLER: So, you're talking about the fee examiner's application, not the checkbook?
 - THE COURT: Yes, I'm talking about the fee -- fee examiner's application.
 - MS. STADLER: Yes. Okay. So, the fee examiner fee application incorporates the four -- I'm sorry, five monthly fee statements filed on most of their summary sheet. The fee examiner was paid 80 percent of fees, and 100 percent of expenses on those five applications. So, the

Page 90 1 exhibit is an effort to delineate what portion has been held 2 back and what portion has been paid pursuant to the order. THE COURT: But that exhibit --3 MS. STADLER: Your (indiscernible) --4 5 THE COURT: -- that exhibit --6 MS. STADLER: (Indiscernible) --7 THE COURT: -- shows the holdback --MS. STADLER: (Indiscernible) --8 9 THE COURT: -- that Exhibit A shows the hold back 10 as \$11,820.00. 11 MS. STADLER: Right. 12 THE COURT: I'm trying to understand -- I just 13 want, you know --14 MR. SANTICHI: I think it -- I'll have to act --15 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Santichi. 16 MR. SANTICHI: I think it's -- frankly, Your 17 Honor, I think it's a mistake and we'll have to circle back 18 on that, because my memory of those five months is 19 consistent with the amount that's in that Exhibit A and I'm 20 having trouble looking at it here on my computer, please 21 excuse me. Oh, for goodness sake, I just had it. And I --22 it's interesting that you mentioned that, because I was 23 prepping for when you got -- I looked at the number in the 24 application and thought well, I'm doing better than I 25 thought, because that's not what I thought (indiscernible)

	Page 91
1	what I had billed, so I think we may just have a mistake
2	there.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Just look, check it over,
4	submit it. There were no objections that that were
5	filed. I don't think it's going to be necessary to have
6	another hearing. I just want to make sure that I understand
7	exactly what you're asking for
8	MR. SANTICHI: Yes.
9	THE COURT: and what the support for it is.
10	Okay?
11	MR. SANTICHI: Yes, because if it is correct if
12	this is the correct number, we will provide you what
13	representation as to the backup for that.
14	THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. Okay.
15	MR. SANTICHI: I apologize for the mistake.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	MS. STADLER: As do I, Judge.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MS. STADLER: We'll get to the bottom of it.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right, with respect to Ms.
21	Stadler, your firms, fees, you're seeking \$637,735.00 in
22	compensation, that's a blended hourly rate of \$578.15.
23	Blended hourly rate for all timekeepers of \$590.50. I
24	didn't have any questions about your application. Does this
25	anybody else want to be heard with respect to this?

Page 92 1 Okay. So, yours is approved, and again, with Mr. Santichi, 2 I just need to understand and see the appropriate support for it and I'm sure I'll be able to enter an order on it 3 4 without having another hearing. Okay? 5 MS. STADLER: Yes, thank you very much, Judge. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you 7 Mr. Santichi. 8 MR. SANTICHI: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Colodny. 10 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, we have our co-counsel 11 (indiscernible) let me get over here for the cell motion, 12 may they be excused? 13 THE COURT: Yes, absolutely. 14 MR. COLODNY: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. We're up to the status 16 conferences. First is for Shanks v Celsius Adversary 17 Proceeding 23-01010. 18 MR. D'D'ANTONIO: Good morning, Your Honor, Joe 19 D'ANTONIO, Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of the Debtor's. 20 We're here on three status conferences. 21 THE COURT: Right. So, we have Shanks, Giorgio, 22 which is adversary proceeding 23-01016, and --MR. D'ANTONIO: The Ad Hoc --23 24 THE COURT: Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers v Celsius 25 Network, adversary proceeding 23-01007.

Page 93 1 MR. D'ANTONIO: That's correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Don't tell me your (indiscernible) 3 tell me your name again? MR. D'ANTONIO: Joe D'Antonio, Kirkland and Ellis. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 MR. D'ANTONIO: And as Your Honor's aware in the 7 Shanks and Giorgio adversary proceedings, the Debtor's 8 supply all dispositive motions to dismiss that at this 9 point, are fully briefed and the Debtor's believe are ready 10 for resolution and in the Ad Hoc Borrower's group, let me 11 discuss a little bit about the negotiations. The Borrowers 12 filed a complaint in early February. The Debtors have never 13 been served with a summons or complaint, nonetheless the 14 Debtors have engaged counsel to the Borrowers in 15 negotiations. We got to the auction and following the 16 auction and as discussed earlier --17 THE COURT: I thought it was done. 18 MR. D'ANTONIO: We'd -- I'll let my other counsel 19 discuss -- I was not involved in the negotiations 20 themselves. But we do have a mediation date set with Judge 21 Wiles for next month. And that will be alone with the 22 counsel for the Committee, as well as the Ad Hoc Group of 23 Current Account Holders. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Well let's talk about Shanks 25 and Giorgio then.

MR. D'ANTONIO: Yes. And we understand that Your Honor, wished to hear these in connection, given the overlap of the --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. D'ANTONIO: -- loan in terms of the use issues. It's the Debtor's position, based on their motions to dismiss, and I understand, Your Honor, doesn't want to hear merits arguments today, but it's our understanding and belief, based on those motions to dismiss that the Court could rule on those and resolve these complaints, without reference or interpretation to the underlying loan terms of use. We believe that we've made arguments clear with respect to the law of the case in interim order and our belief that both those cases fall under the gambit of that order. With that in mind, we're happy to take questions from Your Honor, or hear any other issues you may have or thoughts with respect to the most efficient way to resolve these.

THE COURT: Sure. Mr. Shanks, I see you on screen, go ahead.

MR. SHANKS: Yes, sir, I'm -- really trying to claim is the -- the deposits that -- the excess deposits back into my account. When Celsius froze everything, I had and liquidated my loan, I had no access to either withdraw or have an opportunity from any access bitcoin, unless

Pg 95 of 147 Page 95 1 whenever we tried to come back. 2 THE COURT: Do you agree that the motion to 3 dismiss is fully briefed, is there anything else that -- I 4 mean, I'll schedule arguments. This is -- I'm not hearing 5 argument today. I'm not going to decide it without giving 6 you an opportunity to address the issues during argument. 7 But do you -- do you agree that it's fully briefed? 8 MR. SHANKS: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Are the arguments in Shanks and 10 Giorgio the same? 11 MR. D'ANTONIO: They overlap with the law of the 12 case issue would be the same in both, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MR. D'ANTONIO: I believe they're also overlapping 15 claims in each -- in each complaint that would, you know, 16 certain the argument would be similar. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Shanks, where -- where do you 18 reside? I'm just trying to understand. I don't know 19 whether there's a time change or whether you're in the East 20 Coast, or somewhere else. I'm just trying to figure out with regards to time -- time to be scheduling a time of 21 22 argument. 23 MR. SHANKS: No, that's fine, sir, in Colorado.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We -- we have a

www.veritext.com

24

Page 96 1 do is schedule the time for argument on Shanks and Giorgio 2 for the afternoon. I have something else at 2:00. schedule it for 3:00 on Tuesday, July 18th, and Mr. Giorgio 3 4 you can -- since you're in Colorado, I'll permit you to 5 appear by zoom, you don't have to appear in person. Are you 6 able to do it then? 7 MR. D'ANTONIO: Looking at Mr. Shanks --I'm sorry, Mr. Shanks, are you able to 8 THE COURT: 9 do it at 3:00 on July 18th? So, it'll be 1:00 your time. 10 MR. SHANKS: July 18th, 1:00, yes sir. 11 THE COURT: Okay. That's when we'll set it for. 12 MR. D'ANTONIO: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay? MR. SHANKS: Thank you. 14 15 THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. 16 MR. SHANKS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And you know, I will -- I've seen 18 these papers already, but I will have reviewed the papers 19 completely -- both sides papers before the hearing, but I 20 want to give you an opportunity to say anything else that 21 you want to say, okay? 22 MR. SHANKS: Thank -- thank you, Your Honor, I 23 have nothing else further to say. 24 THE COURT: okay. All right. Thank you, very 25 much. Let me just make myself a note. Okay. So, let's

Page 97 1 deal with Giorgio. 2 MR. D'ANTONIO: Yes --3 THE COURT: And it is -- is Mr. or Ms. Giorgio, I don't know. 4 5 MR. D'ANTONIO: It's three plaintiffs represented 6 by; I believe his counsel was on zoom. 7 THE COURT: All right. Is the counsel for --MR. ZAREH: Yes, Your Honor, good morning -- or 8 good afternoon, Your Honor, my name is Omid Zareh, Weinberg 9 10 Zareh Malkin and Price. We represent the Giorgio parties. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And is your -- this motion 12 fully briefed? 13 MR. ZAREH: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So, I won't -- are you 15 available for argument on the same time I've just said, 3 16 p.m., July 18th? I'll hear Shanks first and you immediately 17 following it? 18 MR. ZAREH: Yes, Your Honor, we are available for 3 p.m. on July 18th. My -- we're a New York firm, does Your 19 20 Honor, want us to be in person or will this be virtual? 21 THE COURT: I would prefer that it be in person. 22 Look, I've just -- this is a general comment. I'm trying to 23 move more and more of our hearings to in person or hybrid, 24 as we're doing today. And so, since Mr. Shanks is in 25 Colorado, I'm perfectly fine, per se to have the hearing

remote. Since you're in New York, let's plan on doing it in the courtroom. Okay?

MR. ZAREH: Absolutely, Your Honor, we look forward to it.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, now let's deal with the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers. Mr. Adler.

MR. ADLER: Good morning, or good afternoon, Your Honor, it's David Adler, from Carter English on behalf of the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers. I'd like to say first, that with respect to Mr. Shanks' adversary proceeding, that is adversary proceeding 23-1010 and it was filed, I believe on February 22nd. It is a duplicate copy of the Ad Hoc Group of Borrower's complaint which is 23-1007. So, it was filed afterwards, about two weeks, if I recall correctly. I think that's -- as -- as noted by counsel, that this motion, the complaint has not been scheduled. It's not been set with it yet. We would ask for that. But we would also ask for the opportunity to have all of these matters considered together because there's so much overlap. Especially, I mean, Shanks' is verbatim from the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers. Giorgio is a little bit different, but it involves the same issues of interpretation with respect to lending terms of So, that's our position. You know, we have --THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. But go I'm sorry, I cut you off. ahead and finish.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 MR. ADLER: No.

THE COURT: Have you spoken with Shanks and Giorgio? Well, it was Giorgio, has counsel.

MR. ADLER: I believe I spoke with one pro se party, it may have been Mr. Shanks over the complaint. I'm not 100 percent certain, but I recall that that individual lived in Florida who had issues that were nearly -- that were overlapping with the Borrower's complaint. But it was not anything substantive, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let -- let me ask, because I agree -just that the issues are the same, I'd like to resolve them
at the same time. And you've been active throughout this
case and what I would ask you to do is communicate with Mr.
Zareh, counsel for Giorgio -- Giorgio, et al, and with Mr.
Shanks; and see if you can consensually work out an
agreement to defer the argument. You know, it raises a
special -- obviously you're about to embark on mediation.
And the outcome in mediation I think will hopefully resolve
the issues for all. I'm, you know, I've got two fully
briefed motions in front of me. I don't want to deny them a
day in court. See if you can communicate with them and see
if you can work out an agreement with them for those -- for
those all to be heard together. I don't know whether Mr.
Zareh -- is he included in the mediation?

MR. ADLER: He is not, Your Honor, no. He's not a

Page 100 1 member of the Ad Hoc to --THE COURT: Okay. 2 3 MR. ADLER: -- the best of my knowledge. THE COURT: Well, let's see what you can work out, 4 5 and I'll decide it -- it may be that I'll hear argument and 6 not decide it. You know, I've not been resolving things at 7 the hearing, I usually been taking things under submission, 8 on most things. So, I'm -- I'm -- all the issues, if 9 (indiscernible) the issues are the same, they ought to be 10 decided together. I don't want to disadvantage the Ad Hoc 11 Group by deciding in one adversary proceeding, and 12 particularly if it's a pro se, or even with counsel 13 representing them. 14 MR. ADLER: Okay. It has to be a fair fight, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: It has to be a fair fight. 17 MR. ADLER: What I would say is maybe in that 18 regard, we should set a schedule with respect to the Ad Hoc 19 complaint, so that we can -- so that we can have everything, 20 you know --21 THE COURT: Well, why don't you work with Debtor's 22 counsel, I agree with that. Try and see if we can iron out 23 a schedule so that Mr. Shanks and Mr. Zareh, on behalf of 24 the Giorgio plaintiffs, don't think they're just being put 25 off indefinitely. So, there's a concrete schedule.

Page 101 1 they'd be more likely to agree, I think and -- and they may 2 well be quite agreeable if they think that collectively you 3 have a strong position than might be asserted separately. 4 MR. ADLER: Understood, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that. 6 MR. ADLER: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. I think 8 that leaves us with one last status conference. The 9 Committee v --10 MR. ZAREH: Your Honor, forgive me, Your Honor, 11 this is Omid Zareh, forgive me. THE COURT: Yes. 12 13 MR. ZAREH: I'm just trying to put a button on 14 this. So, we're on for -- we're on for July 18th at 3 p.m. 15 16 THE COURT: Unless I change it. 17 MR. ZAREH: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 18 19 MR. HERMANN: Your Honor, if I may, excuse me, I'm 20 so sorry, but this is Immanuel Hermann. THE COURT: No, Mr. Hermann. Mr. Hermann, stop. 21 22 Stop. MR. HERMANN: Okay, it's just there's common 23 24 issues here, but you can (indiscernible) --25 THE COURT: Stop. I have two adversary -- I have

three adversary proceedings in front of me. That's what I'm dealing with at the moment. Okay. Mr. Zareh, talk with Mr. Adler. Mr. Shanks should talk with Mr. Adler. We'll see whether we can agree -- whether there can be an agreement on a common schedule. I think, Mr. Zareh, I don't want to decide these issues piecemeal, I think collectively you'd have a stronger position than separately. So, let's do that. Mr. Koenig, with respect to the Committee v Celsius Network Limited --MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor, again, Chris Koenig, for from Kirkland for -- for Celsius. So, this is the fraudulent transfer complaint that relates back to the 919 motion that I mentioned at the top of the hearing. Mr. Colodny reminded me, we have briefing in that -- in those

disputes that we should stay -- I mean, in light of the settlement, all parties have agree to stay that litigation, we just wanted that point to be clear. I don't think there would be anything else that we need to decide. I think this

19 status conference can be continued. I think that this --

this matter will be mooted by the settlement of the case.

THE COURT: Well, let me suggest this. With respect to this adversary, file a stipulation adjourning the dates sine die, just so the record's clear.

MR. KOENIG: Wonderful.

If I have to bring it back, but that THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1 way it just doesn't fall off the radar screen completely. 2 MR. KOENIG: Wonderful. All right, thank you so 3 much. And just quickly, it's not on the agenda, we'd filed the stipulation with the Committee about conversion of the 4 5 Debtor's all coins in the bit coin (indiscernible) -- we 6 submitted an amended form on stipulation earlier this week 7 that related to (indiscernible) from the SEC. We received no objection. We'll just go ahead and submit that to 8 9 chambers. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Just submit it to chambers. 11 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Hermann, if you want to be heard, 13 I'll listen to you, briefly. 14 MR. HERMANN: Okay, Your Honor, thank you. 15 Immanuel Hermann, pro se creditor. 16 THE COURT: I like your shirt -- I like your 17 shirt, Mr. Hermann. Thank you, I'm sorry, it's not more 18 MR. HERMANN: 19 formal today, Your Honor. But I'm glad you like it. So, I 20 just wanted to quickly note that similar to Mr. Adler's 21 comments, and that actually, you know, I have an adversary 22 proceeding that would have been up today, but I consented to adjourning it. But this issue of law of the case is common 23 24 to basically all adversary proceedings that are before you. And I would hope that the parties can come to some mutual 25

agreement to brief this issue, along with the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers, then also my adversary complaints has overlap issues with the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers, and with these other two complainants and that a lot of these complaints copied elements of existing adversary proceedings, including ones filed by Ad Hoc, the other one's filed by myself. So, I would hope that, basically, we can come to some way to I don't consider the matter fully briefed. It's an interrogatory order for one thing, and I don't think that's been briefed. Here an order that is, you know law of the I'll have to put more into that, but what I would propose is, you know, we -- yeah, come to some kind of mutual agreement about briefing it and deal with it in one efficient proceeding that deals with it with respect to all of the adversary proceedings. THE COURT: So, I'm here to resolve disputes. you can agree on a schedule, you'll submit it and if it's acceptable to me, I'll approve it. Okay? Let me put it that way. I also --MR. HERMANN: I (indiscernible) --THE COURT: I -- I certainly much prefer parties to consensually agree on a schedule and I think that's largely happened in all the matters you've been involved in, so far, Mr. Hermann, which I appreciate. Okay.

Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. HERMANN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 105 THE COURT: Okay. All right, Mr. Koenig, do you have anything else for today? MR. KOENIG: No, thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. It's nice to see people in the courtroom. MR. KOENIG: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay, we're adjourned. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded.)

	Pg 106 of 147		
		Page	106
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULING	PAGE	LINE
4			
5	Motion to Transfer the Assets, GRANTED	22	21
6	Motion to Appoint Christopher Ferraro		
7	as Foreign Representative, GRANTED	24	3
8	Motion to Extend for 90 days, GRANTED	32	17
9	Motion Put Forth by Santos Caceres,		
10	DENIED	74	24
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 107 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Sonya M. deslarski Hyd-7 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: July 3, 2023

[& - 2760] Page 1

&	11,820.00.	2	2216 5:17				
	90:10		2240 5:9				
& 6:2 8:3,18	1121 4:13	2 6:21	2241 5:10				
10:10 22:25	11501 107:23	2,046.28 89:7	2260 5:10				
51:3 54:15	12 6:8	2,600 81:15	22nd 98:12				
80:1	12151 107:7	2.75 40:25	23 21:4 89:10				
0	128 19:23	20 18:20 19:6	23-01007 92:25				
0.81565 5:6,14	13 5:21 6:3,8	36:21 43:7	23-01010 1:11				
1	6:17 21:7	57:18 70:8	6:6 92:17				
1 6:8,12,21 7:5	13-14 61:16	71:24 84:4,10 85:9	23-01016 1:19				
1,000 24:14	13.75 57:18	20,000 19:22	6:10,14 92:22				
1,144 81:9	130 89:14	20,000 19.22 200 44:10	23-01104 2:2				
1.25 37:2 40:24	137 20:3	200 44.10 2003 46:20,21	6:19				
1.5 18:22 19:7	137,400 89:14	2003 40.20,21 201 9:10	23-01107 2:10				
40:22 57:24	137,400.00	2010 44:10	7:3				
10 6:12 61:13	5:22 89:6	2010 44:10 2012 47:12	23-1007 98:13				
10.18 25:10	138 20:3	2012 47:12 2019 86:14	23-1010 98:11				
10.2 19:11	13th 28:25	2021 24:14	2327 5:17				
100 89:24 99:6	29:4 30:1	2022 5:22 6:3	2329 5:10				
10004 2:21	15-20 25:8	21:7	23rd 28:25				
10014 9:11	16 24:17	2023 2:23 5:22	24 106:7,10				
10036 8:14	16,000 24:19	6:3 20:18,19	245 9:3				
10167 9:4	17 74:1,3 77:11	22:4,10,11	25 11:15 13:9				
105 4:3 20:16	106:8	107:25	2583 5:10				
59:1 60:11	17h 81:11	2046.28 5:23	2584 5:17				
105's 65:14	17th 26:20	20th 17:23	2585 4:21,25				
10964 10:4	31:23	21 22:10 106:5	2593 5:17				
10:03 2:24	181 44:16	2169 5:9	25th 18:8,10				
10th 25:7	18th 11:25	21738964	26 22:11				
11 4:13 6:17	88:16,20 95:25	46:21	2622 5:23				
10:19 11:15	96:3,9,10	22 10:3 89:10	2623 6:4				
13:2,3,8 21:1	97:16,19	106:5	2624 5:23 6:4				
23:6 25:16	101:14	22-01140 20:24	2639 4:21				
80:3,19 81:3,5	19 24:17	22-10964 1:3	2700 8:21				
82:15	1989 44:8	2208 5:9	2758 4:4				
11,000 18:20	1:00 96:9,10	2215 5:9	2760 4:21,25				
19:5							
Veritext Legal Solutions							

[2763 - ability] Page 2

2763 4:25,25	30 25:11 52:20	510 73:7 75:21	72.5 17:15
2772 4:4	57:16 61:13	83:16	7359.71 6:4
2774 7:1	30-40 25:13	510b 85:1,7,11	74 106:10
2775 7:9	300 8:5 107:22	85:14,23	75 70:13
2776 7:9	300,000 37:4	527 44:8	8
2781 4:25	30th 27:3	535 44:16	8 6:8,12 17:10
2783 4:4	32 17:8 106:8	55,000 24:16	22:4 69:14
28 2:23 5:22	327 39:23	555 8:20	80 17:9 89:24
6:3 15:15	42:21	578.15. 91:22	81 70:4,10
2802 4:9	328 39:24	59,100.00.	71:12 72:24
2805 4:15	330 107:21	89:11	75:17 77:24
2825 7:1,9	35 15:14 24:12	590.50. 91:23	80:4 84:5
2827 4:9,15	36.25 17:16,18	6	83 17:21
2831 7:1	362 59:1 62:1	6 6:12,17,21	85 18:2
2838 4:15	64:2	20:18	89 18:6
2839 4:21,25	363 46:22	6.25 57:19	
2840 5:10,17	37,000 70:15	6.5 61:14	9
2841 4:15	39 17:20	60 30:3	9 6:17 69:19
2842 4:21,25	3:00 96:3,9	600 71:1	90 27:1,20
2844 5:10	4	60654 8:6	28:12 32:13,17
2846 5:10	4 6:12 7:5	60days 27:19	106:8
2847 7:1	400,000 49:11	61 19:13	90071 8:22
2848 5:10	450,000 49.11 450,000 40:25	637,735.00 6:3	9019 4:3 14:5
2849 4:22,25	41:2,22 42:12	91:21	20:16,22 21:5
2851 4:22,25	42:24 49:11	64,000 18:21	919 102:13
2859 4:22	452 47:12	19:6,20,23	95 82:9
2873 4:22,25		6th 20:21	97 70:25
2882 82:18	5		a
2918 16:16	5 6:17,21 52:18	7	aaron 8:24
2:00 96:2	50 17:18 18:2	7 6:17 15:16	25:22 30:10
3	18:13 70:2	20:19 25:9	42:4 61:10
3 6:8 97:15,19	82:6 84:10	37:7 45:7	abdicating
101:14 106:7	50,000 36:25	7.5 52:19	77:16
107:25	500 81:23	70 11:7 18:11	abi 58:14
3,000 81:15	500,000 37:5	19:13	ability 12:25
3,500 81:13	503 47:10	72 18:13	60:2 61:5 62:2
0,500 01.11			62:13
			· <u>-</u>

	I		
able 11:19 13:1	accountholder	93:22 98:6,9	100:17 101:4,6
16:6 27:14	13:19,22 23:19	98:12,20 100:1	102:3,3
30:21 43:1,6	accountholders	100:10,18	adler's 103:20
49:5 66:23	10:24 11:4	104:1,3,6	adr 5:20
85:12 92:3	12:22,25 13:5	adamant 77:24	adv 1:11,19 2:2
96:6,8	13:14 14:22,23	add 19:10	2:10
abreu 80:21,21	18:14 23:25	72:20	advance 47:14
80:24 83:10,11	accounts 17:16	additional	47:18 51:6
absent 23:10	21:25 72:6	18:20 26:23,24	advanced 56:4
56:22	84:20 86:18,19	28:11 54:21	adversary 6:6
absolute 36:11	86:24	57:18 72:20	6:10,14,19 7:3
absolutely	accurate 88:23	81:13	20:23,24 21:2
92:13 98:3	107:4	additionally	66:2 92:16,22
abundantly	achievement	81:11	92:25 93:7
62:10	21:1	address 22:9	98:10,11
acceptable	acquire 24:23	37:20 42:3	100:11 101:25
62:7 66:20	act 4:7 90:14	46:18 75:19	102:1,22
79:6 104:18	acted 47:13	95:6	103:21,24
acceptances	62:24	addressed	104:2,5,15
4:13	acting 47:17	45:15 72:10	advisors 36:11
accepting 45:2	action 23:16	addressing	advocate 60:25
access 5:4	56:18 58:15	54:18	affected 65:8
21:23 24:9	actions 79:18	adequate 76:2	affidavits
70:24 94:24,25	active 99:12	adequately	71:18
accessed 21:11	activities 21:18	75:18	affirmed 23:22
accompanied	acts 57:20	adjourned	afternoon 96:2
71:18	61:14	105:7	97:9 98:7
accomplish	actually 33:14	adjourning	agenda 16:3,10
39:20 58:11	37:7 40:12	102:22 103:23	23:1 24:5
accomplished	82:3 83:13	adjusted 18:21	50:24 69:13
45:7	103:21	19:7	103:3
accordance	ad 2:12 7:3 9:2	adler 9:6 27:6	agent 4:18
21:8	17:25 18:1,7	27:8,14 28:16	36:15,17
account 17:12	26:22,22 27:6	28:17,17 30:7	aggregate 37:3
39:13 64:5	28:18 29:1,10	30:18 31:7,24	38:23 40:15
85:13 87:1	29:14,17,23	98:6,7,8 99:1,4	49:16,20 52:15
93:23 94:23	92:23,24 93:10	99:25 100:3,14	66:13
	Varitant Lac		

ago 10:19,21	al 1:16,21,25	amendment	apparent
77:23	2:8,16 6:7,10	58:15	70:15
agree 25:24	6:11,14,15 7:4	amount 13:11	appeal 23:22
45:9 48:22,25	99:14	24:23 30:22	appealed 23:21
48:25 50:1,1,2	alameda 82:24	31:17 38:23	appear 43:23
53:10 63:10	82:24	49:16 52:15	58:8 96:5,5
76:16 77:6	allegations	59:17,20 60:3	appearance
78:8,12 80:15	72:21	61:12 84:11	62:6 74:8,14
86:2,4 95:2,7	alleged 56:20	90:19	appeared
99:10 100:22	84:21	amounts 50:12	72:24 74:10
101:1 102:4,16	allocate 58:4	amplify 12:20	appearing 9:15
104:17,22	allocation 54:8	analysis 46:3	appears 46:11
agreeable	58:2,7 59:6	71:16,17	appease 88:1
101:2	65:13,17 67:2	analysts 46:2	appellate
agreed 12:16	68:20	analyzing	84:12
27:13 31:22	allow 51:5	71:10	applicable
33:2 36:2 37:3	allowance 13:6	angeles 8:22	4:21
37:5 55:19	73:19 75:5	announce	application
agreement	79:2 89:6	26:19	5:19,25 42:19
27:4,9 30:21	allowed 12:12	announced	88:3,3 89:5,5,8
30:22 31:3	34:24	11:8	89:13,16,18,20
33:9 35:6	allowing 5:2	answer 39:8	89:22 90:24
37:10 45:21	12:19 43:23	47:21 50:5	91:24
46:12 64:19	allows 11:21	89:2	applications
99:16,22 102:4	13:7 24:8	answered	88:15,17,18,22
104:1,13	alongside	34:20	89:3,25
ahead 15:23	35:20 41:16	anxious 15:10	applied 44:15
47:25 48:18	alter 52:12	anybody 14:9	82:13
69:23 75:7	altering 60:21	22:20 26:4	appoint 23:2
77:4 79:25	alternative	30:8 32:4,15	106:6
86:9,10 87:7	16:5 49:6	47:23 65:2	apportion 64:5
90:15 94:4,20	alternatives	77:7 91:25	appreciate
98:25 103:8	29:3	apart 13:13	33:7 43:24
aired 74:23	alvarez 38:14	29:3,14 32:21	67:9 101:5
akin 8:11	amended 20:18	apologies 39:5	104:24
20:11	72:20 103:6	apologize 39:3	approach
		39:4 91:15	46:13

appropriate	argue 56:8	35:5,16 45:5,6	21:20
28:13 38:1	60:18 88:4	46:10 70:2,3	automatic 4:20
41:25 42:18	arguing 27:17	71:3 82:16	23:11 50:24
46:16 51:23	27:18	83:3 106:5	51:5 54:17
54:21 67:18,21	argument 73:5	associated	62:2,4
92:2	78:4 85:5 95:5	11:20 45:3	available 21:16
approval 11:22	95:6,16,22	association	38:4 52:16
12:5 14:19	96:1 97:15	79:11	68:15,18 97:15
17:14 21:5	99:16 100:5	assume 40:14	97:18
26:24 28:9	arguments	55:19	avenue 9:3
42:24 58:1	78:2 80:5 94:8	assuming 12:7	average 24:18
86:2	94:12 95:4,9	75:14	avoid 66:16
approvals	arising 85:2,3	assure 68:19	68:20 80:11
57:13	arrangement	attached 14:5	avoided 40:9
approve 4:1,2	50:10 66:21	attempts 72:2	71:25
20:15 59:13	artur 80:21	attorney 58:13	award 88:14
60:1 84:9	aside 64:22	74:12	awarded 23:19
104:18	asked 27:20	attorneys 6:1	aware 22:12
approved 12:7	61:12 84:9	8:4 9:2,9 79:11	66:11,22 93:6
13:25 14:20	asking 38:10	auction 10:15	awful 48:21,23
17:24 26:25	40:17 57:25	26:12 27:8	b
39:9 61:6 64:9	62:3,5 63:21	29:8 30:15,19	b 3:1 11:9,10
75:15 84:9	72:4 91:7	31:1,2 33:21	12:13,16 13:10
86:5 92:1	aspect 81:2	37:23 44:22	16:20 26:18
approving	assert 66:3	45:3 47:4	46:22 52:19
6:24 20:22	asserted 13:16	93:15,16	60:14 83:16
50:9	47:15 101:3	august 15:18	back 10:11
approximately	assessment	authorities	12:4 19:10
17:10 24:12,16	84:14,16	44:23	28:19 29:7,23
24:19 25:8,11	asset 24:18	authority 56:9	30:4 34:5
70:15	25:10,17 76:5	58:20 60:6,8	47:24 48:11
april 17:23	80:25	61:22 63:18	50:8,15,16
18:19 19:4	assets 10:18	66:23,25 68:13	55:17 56:5,7
28:25 29:8	12:25 13:4	authorized	60:17 62:13,16
88:13	17:12,15 18:10	4:18	63:1 66:12
area 55:17	21:16,25 22:15	authorizing	67:20 79:12
	23:9,10 34:11	4:7 6:24 7:8	88:13 89:9
	Veriteyt I ed		

90:2,9,17	61:2,3,24	52:17 53:23	billed 91:1
94:23 95:1	62:23 63:8,15	57:22 59:24	bills 28:10
102:12,25	64:17 65:15	62:1 64:18	binance 79:18
backing 83:3	70:3 73:7	68:6 88:7 93:9	bit 11:2 19:1
backs 89:13	75:21	94:12 95:14	60:23 93:11
backup 6:25	base 76:20	97:6 98:11	98:21 103:5
14:16 33:20	based 31:18	99:4	bitcoin 24:6,6
34:2,13,23	54:19 60:24	believes 43:1	24:9,9,13,15
35:3,7,8,13,24	84:19 94:6,9	bench 62:18	24:15 25:12
36:2,5,6,20,21	basically 28:21	benefit 10:18	35:15 36:13
37:6,10,13,15	28:24 29:16	34:11 35:5	41:6 94:25
37:25 38:2,5	38:25 81:21	41:6 43:5	blended 24:15
39:12,16 40:1	103:24 104:7	44:18 47:19	91:22,23
40:4,5,8 41:15	basis 52:12	benefits 12:18	block 46:3
41:20 43:25	54:1,2 63:2	25:14 47:16	blockchain
44:6,6 45:3	65:20	59:15	81:22 82:1
48:17,20 91:13	began 17:19	best 15:5 34:10	83:3
backwards	18:8	35:14,15 36:12	blogs 58:12
15:19 29:15	beginning	67:6 100:3	bloomberg
bad 62:24	33:20 57:14	bethlehem	25:6
balance 25:17	behalf 22:25	46:20 47:3,5,8	bnc 60:18
31:14 80:11	27:6 28:18	better 37:11	board 34:5
balances 84:20	51:3 54:15	90:24	50:8,15 74:13
85:14	61:10 80:2	bid 30:20 37:9	body 13:20
balancing	88:11,23 92:19	39:16 40:14	bolger 82:22
54:20 59:4	98:8 100:23	41:15 44:22,25	border 23:4
bang 40:11	belief 71:6 94:9	45:24 49:3	borrower
bankers 26:1	94:14	bidder 35:7	31:10
46:1	believe 12:2	37:22 39:12	borrower's
bankruptcy	13:22 25:25	40:1,5,5 41:11	93:10 98:13
1:1 2:19 3:3	26:2 27:20,24	41:13,20 43:25	99:8
4:3,4,14 20:16	28:4,12 31:8	44:6,23 47:4	borrowers
20:17 34:7	31:20 34:10	48:17,20 51:13	2:12 7:3 9:2
40:22 44:4,12	35:23 36:18	70:9	28:19,25 29:1
44:15 47:12,14	37:12 39:22	bidders 45:4	29:14 30:20,24
47:18 55:10	42:9,22 43:14	biddings 44:3	31:4,7,18
58:17 59:2	49:9 51:16		32:20,24 33:10

92:24 93:11,14	103:13	c	65:16 68:8
98:6,9,20	briefs 74:16	c 8:1 10:1	70:2 75:11
104:2,3	bring 81:24	52:19 60:14	79:17 83:18
bottom 19:19	102:25	107:1,1	85:21 94:13
91:19	bringing 31:20	c&l 13:20	95:12 99:13
bought 84:24	58:15	ca 8:22	102:20 103:23
bowling 2:20	brings 56:17	cabin 67:17	104:11
box 13:4	broad 37:10	caceres 69:19	cases 11:13,15
branch 82:24	60:12 62:2	69:22,23,24,25	14:13,15 21:1
breaking 19:1	64:3	72:10 73:15	23:6 25:16
breaks 57:21	brother's	74:22 75:6,8	28:6 30:14
breakup 44:13	85:21	86:8,9,11,13	33:14,16 34:3
brick 35:3,3,6	brought 66:1	87:2 106:9	34:17 36:1,20
35:10,12,17,18	bryant 8:13	caladny 83:14	57:11 59:9
35:20,23 36:4	btc 7:8 46:9	calendar 12:3	80:3,19 94:14
36:5,8,10,15	buck 40:11	15:19 33:8	cash 20:3
36:23 37:12	build 26:14,23	call 17:22 29:4	catch 60:12
38:1,17,23	28:11 80:17	30:1 41:18	categories
39:11 40:16	built 34:23	42:1 77:22	38:24
41:15 44:20	38:21 49:15,23	called 72:21	cause 28:4 59:3
45:4,19,24	51:24	82:5,24	60:19
46:6,12 47:2,2	burden 44:17	calling 38:10	ceased 86:15
47:3,17 49:9	44:19 80:14	calls 45:11	cede 26:7
49:20 50:7,10	bureau 74:11	careful 67:17	cel 5:3,13
50:15	burner 11:21	carry 80:13	cell 84:18,19
brick's 36:9	business 16:13	carter 98:8	84:22,22 85:6
brief 16:12	18:23 19:9	carving 55:16	85:24 86:17,21
26:11 33:15	22:1 25:18	case 1:3,11,19	87:21 92:11
46:19 67:5	35:4,19,23	2:2,10 8:18	cells 85:16
78:1 104:1,8	41:11 44:5,14	14:17 20:3	celsius 1:7,16
briefed 93:9	44:14 69:11	22:6 28:24	1:25 2:8,16 6:7
95:3,7 97:12	busy 10:13	29:22 33:13	6:11,15,20 7:4
99:20 104:8,10	15:8 33:7	34:2,21 37:22	8:12 10:3
briefing 76:2	button 101:13	38:19 42:18	17:24 20:13,15
102:14 104:13	buyer 46:8,10	43:7 44:4 46:4	20:23 21:1,7,9
briefly 68:4		46:18,21 49:12	21:10,14,16,16
72:10,13 79:23		52:11 59:21	21:19,21,22,22
	Varitavt I a		

	I	I	1
22:1,4,7 23:1	change 95:19	circuit's 85:19	57:4,15,25
23:14 26:10	101:16	circumstance	59:4,25 62:10
34:11 35:4,4,5	changing	55:24	75:1 77:6,19
51:8 70:22	60:20	citation 46:19	78:8 94:12
80:2 86:16,20	channel 44:9	cite 46:20	102:17,23
86:23 92:16,24	chaos 68:12	claim 5:3 12:12	clearly 27:24
94:23 95:25	chapter 4:13	13:7 18:2,3,4	47:17 64:12
102:8,11	10:19 11:15	47:11 56:19	70:21
cent 70:8	13:2,3,8 21:1	57:12 63:13,16	clerk 16:19,22
center 23:7	23:6 25:16	65:23 67:15	client 67:14
cents 70:4,11	45:7 80:3,19	70:14 73:19,21	76:20
71:12 72:24	81:3 82:15	75:21 85:2,5,6	clients 18:13
75:17 77:24	characterize	94:22	close 34:19
80:4 84:5,5,10	28:22	claims 5:13	closed 20:25
84:11 85:9	charts 11:1,4	12:18 13:12,14	closely 26:15
certain 4:18	check 15:4	13:15,19,19,22	35:9,12
6:24 13:19	91:3	23:19 46:9	closing 25:5,6
23:12 33:19	checkbook	51:7 55:22	coast 95:20
36:3 51:6	89:18	57:23,25 59:23	code 4:3,14
54:23 59:14	checked 74:2	59:25 62:16	20:16 44:4
95:16 99:6	chewed 66:14	70:14,18 73:6	59:2 73:8
certainly 13:18	chicago 8:6	73:19 75:4	75:21
15:10 32:18	chief 82:22	79:2 83:16,23	cognizant
52:13 53:25	choice 33:6	84:3,16,17,19	34:21
67:4 74:15	choking 50:4	84:23 85:11,12	coin 35:14
104:21	chosen 26:13	85:13,15 86:21	103:5
certificate 22:3	chris 8:8 9:16	86:23 95:15	coinbase 79:18
22:11	10:10 17:5	clarified 37:1	coins 21:3
certification	26:9 48:1 88:6	clarify 57:15	103:5
12:8,15,17	102:10	class 12:8,14	collaboration
certified 107:3	christopher	12:16 58:15,15	30:13
chambers	1:13 4:7 6:6	75:10,11	colleague
15:25 38:9	23:2 38:12,12	claw 56:5,7	16:17
103:9,10	106:6	62:16 67:20	collective 63:5
chance 15:2	circle 90:17	clawing 62:25	collectively
42:3 73:8	circuit 85:21	clear 24:25	101:2 102:6
	85:22	49:15 50:9	
		ral Calutions	

aaladny 9,24	aomina 25.9	101:9 102:8	05.15 09.12 16
colodny 8:24	coming 25:8 82:12	101.9 102.8	95:15 98:13,16
22:16,18 25:21			99:5,8 100:19 102:12
25:22,23 30:9	commence	committee's	
30:10,10 42:2	10:16	30:16 73:4	complaints
42:4,4,11,14	commenced	common 68:24	94:10 104:2,4
42:17 43:4,11	23:17	101:23 102:5	complete 88:24
43:17 45:11	comment	103:23	completed 17:7
50:4 61:10,10	32:18 78:9	communicate	34:3,14,19
61:18 62:1	97:22	72:2 99:13,21	36:2 88:10,12
63:10,17 64:18	commented	communicati	completely
65:4,5 67:12	76:24	28:24 29:9	63:10 77:5
67:22 69:21	comments	company 4:20	81:17 96:19
72:17,18 77:3	26:11 30:16	12:12 17:6	103:1
77:4,5,14 78:4	103:21	18:5,8,10,12	complex 28:6
78:5,7,12	commitment	30:18 70:24	29:22
79:21 84:14,15	36:3 38:2 40:8	company's	compliant
85:8,11,18	40:22 44:1	18:18 20:1	33:25
86:7 92:9,10	47:6,7	compared	complicate
92:14 102:14	committed	33:14,15 59:21	32:25
colorado 95:23	31:8 32:2	compen 64:9	complicated
96:4 97:25	committee 2:4	compensate	10:25 33:15
come 30:3	6:19 8:19 11:9	38:1	74:19 85:17,18
31:22,24 50:16	12:14 15:11	compensated	compounds
56:1 57:10	22:17 24:2	49:9 50:10	85:9
60:10,17 65:20	25:23 26:16,17	compensating	compromise
79:5 95:1	26:21 27:7	42:23	27:15
103:25 104:7	30:11,13 32:10	compensation	computer
104:12	35:2 42:5,15	5:20 6:1 37:23	90:20
comes 31:15	42:23,25 46:2	38:23,25 40:15	concern 29:19
34:25 47:24	50:7,16 51:14	49:16 89:6	54:23 55:15,16
48:24 56:5	52:2 56:17	91:22	57:9
60:2 62:3	61:11,14 64:25	competent	concerned
89:14	73:12,20 74:20	46:1 72:12	12:22 23:21
comfortable	74:21 75:19	complainants	55:25
30:23 61:20	77:16 78:21	104:4	concerning
64:4	80:10,17 83:15	complaint 6:16	23:11 55:6
	86:4,13 93:22	72:19 93:12,13	

-	•		
conclude 23:13	78:24,24 80:12	considered	48:8,15
34:16 86:19	confirmed	98:18	contact 34:19
concluded	21:18 49:18	consistent 22:1	contacted
10:15 26:12	confirming	90:19	71:20
31:3 72:13	25:15	consistently	contemplated
105:8	conflict 42:19	47:13	13:3
conclusion	42:25 45:15	consolidation	contemplates
72:4	50:6	12:9,11,21	12:11 21:13
concrete	conflicts 39:19	13:6 14:1,3,6	34:9
100:25	connected	constituencies	contested
condition	85:15	79:8	11:12 14:2
53:20 54:2	connection	constitutional	28:2
55:12 61:23	21:17 64:10	66:4	context 44:15
62:2 63:6 64:3	85:6,16 88:19	construct	73:21 75:4
64:18 66:7	94:2	30:20 49:4	continue 26:15
conditions	consensual	consult 35:12	80:19
51:16,18,23	11:19 32:23	45:16 67:12,21	continued 17:6
52:7 53:16	33:9 84:6	consultant	102:19
57:4 64:14	88:14	38:18 39:9,15	continuing
conduct 63:9	consensually	39:16 40:5	88:23
confer 79:5	84:8 86:4	42:12,23 43:2	contract 19:21
conference 6:8	99:15 104:22	43:15 44:2	54:25 58:3,4
6:12,16,21 7:5	consensus	45:15 48:4,9	62:13
101:8 102:19	26:14,23 28:11	48:12,13 50:3	contractual
conferences	29:18 31:5	consultants	52:12
92:16,20	80:18	38:19	contrary 49:8
conferred	consent 53:14	consultation	contribution
47:15	53:18 56:25	36:4,9,24	47:11,20
confidently	67:17	45:13,21,23	conversion
37:15	consented	47:6,8 49:11	103:4
confirm 27:22	103:22	50:12	convert 35:14
confirmation	consider 104:8	consulted	36:13 46:9
11:13,23 14:20	considerably	15:11 25:25	converted 18:4
26:25 27:3,17	33:1	30:16	converting
32:24,25 37:15	considerations	consulting	41:5
56:17 73:2,18	44:11	36:3 40:4 41:8	convinced
75:4,15 78:16		41:24 46:12	45:22

acanamating	aastly 90.12	20:12 21:13	60.22 25 70.17
cooperating 63:22	costly 80:12 costs 45:4 51:6	22:16,20,23	69:23,25 70:17 70:20 71:9,17
	63:22 64:7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
cooperation		23:3,16,19	72:10,19 73:17 73:24 74:7
64:10,13 68:20	counsel 8:12	24:3 25:21	
cooperative	20:8,13 32:10	26:4,8 27:10	75:3,13 76:11
88:22	32:10 46:25	28:14,16 30:6	76:14,19 77:4
coordinate	66:15,19 68:2	30:8 32:4,15	77:11 78:3,6
16:7 66:15	68:21 72:1	33:1 38:8 39:4	78:10,14 79:15
coordinated	73:11 76:21	39:8,10,14,25	79:22,25 80:6
35:9	77:2 78:21	40:3,14,19	80:23 83:10,12
coordinating	87:8,18 88:3	41:2,21 42:2,8	83:20 84:1,9
24:1	92:10 93:14,18	42:12,15,22	84:12,13 85:1
copied 104:5	93:22 97:6,7	43:9,13,20	85:10,14,17
copy 98:12	98:15 99:3,14	45:9 47:23	86:1,2,9,12,25
cordry 76:7,9	100:12,22	48:5,10,15,18	87:3,7,13,17
76:12,15,16,19	count 73:25	48:22,25 50:17	87:19 88:2,7,8
79:7,9,10	countenance	50:20,22,25	88:19 89:4,19
cornell 9:13	53:10 68:7	51:10,15 52:4	90:3,5,7,9,12
32:6,7 37:20	counter 24:7	52:15,22,24	90:15 91:3,9
42:3 43:20,21	counterparty	53:2,5,12,14	91:14,16,18,20
43:22 45:10	41:17	53:16,18,20,21	92:6,9,13,15
49:8 50:2	country 107:21	53:23 54:3,7	92:21,24 93:2
corp 46:20	couple 43:7	54:11,13,20,24	93:5,17,24
corporate	46:16 58:25	55:2,5,8,18,21	94:4,9,19 95:2
21:18	course 11:24	55:25 56:3,8	95:9,13,17,24
correct 30:18	19:20 21:17,24	56:11,13,21,22	96:8,11,13,15
42:22 63:17	23:14 33:24	57:7 58:6,17	96:17,24 97:3
72:18 77:14	34:17 35:1,22	59:5,7 60:5	97:7,11,14,21
78:12 83:19,24	37:10 80:25	61:7,9,17,22	98:5,24 99:2
83:25 85:4,8	court 1:1 2:19	61:25 62:3,7	99:10,21 100:2
85:10 86:7	10:2,6,9 11:2,6	62:12,15,23	100:4,16,21
91:11,12 93:1	11:17 12:6,20	63:8,14,16	101:5,7,12,16
correctly 54:16	13:11,24 14:8	64:8,17,20	101:18,21,25
98:14	14:11 15:3,6	65:5,15 66:9	102:21,25
cost 35:22,24	15:21 16:1,4,9	67:8,11,25	103:10,12,16
36:19,20 46:14	16:14 17:3,24	68:6,12,13	104:16,21
48:24 64:1	18:25 20:6,9	69:3,11,12,18	105:1,4,7

court's 20:19	23:12 24:10	dan 8:9 22:25	dealt 79:1
22:6 44:13	31:14,17 33:22	80:1	87:22
64:8 75:24	35:18 36:13	danger 86:22	deanna 16:4,16
courtroom	41:9	data 81:18	debrief 22:19
10:7,11,13	current 17:3	date 5:6,13	debt 82:19
28:19 98:2	20:1 25:9	15:12,18,25	debtor 1:9 8:4
105:5	82:22 85:8	17:7 18:5,9	15:11 29:2,9
coverage 68:11	93:23	25:6 27:3 37:2	39:15,17 43:1
covered 58:22	currently	57:19,20 58:1	43:15,18 45:14
covers 85:23	21:15 23:20	61:15 72:25	45:18 46:23
create 14:22	25:10 45:22	87:11 93:20	49:17 52:2
68:8,12	81:3	107:25	56:16 64:13,16
creating 75:10	custody 11:5	dates 16:5	64:25 71:6,8
creditor 13:20	17:8,12,13,13	102:23	73:20 74:20
47:2 51:12,21	17:16 26:18	david 9:6	75:20 86:3
69:25 70:19	customer	28:17 98:8	debtor's 7:7
103:15	70:22 71:1,2	day 19:23	10:17 11:11
creditor's 24:2	72:8	24:19 27:1	13:4 14:14
46:24	customers	28:12 41:18,18	20:8 23:2,9
creditors 2:4	23:12,22	61:15 70:4	24:5 25:17
5:8,16 6:20	cut 51:15 98:25	99:21	30:12 35:14,16
8:19 10:18	cuts 11:20	days 12:3	36:7 37:18,25
25:23 29:17	cycle 88:13	15:14,15,16	41:5 42:6,20
30:11 31:16	d	27:20 30:3	87:8 92:19
34:12 35:5,18	d 10:1 106:1	32:13,17 43:7	93:7,9 94:6
42:5 51:14	d&o 51:19	77:8 78:17	100:21 103:5
52:3 61:11	d'antonio	106:8	debtors 4:6,11
70:7 74:5 77:1	92:19,23 93:1	deadline 15:16	4:12 5:7,14
77:20,23 83:18	93:4,4,6,18	22:9	6:23 10:11
83:21	94:1,5 95:11	deal 29:6 32:22	11:5,9 12:14
cross 23:4	95:14 96:7,12	34:10 45:16	13:8 23:1,5,25
crypto 33:5	97:2,5	46:8 69:19	24:12,20 25:4
76:4,5	d'd'antonio	79:6 97:1 98:5	25:15,19 26:10
cryptocurren	92:18	104:13	27:7 28:22
80:25	daily 24:18	dealing 102:2	29:13 30:13,15
cryptocurrency	damages 84:24	deals 104:14	32:10 33:21
5:5 14:22	85:3,4		35:2,23 36:4
	Varitant I ad		

·	,		\mathcal{E}
36:12 37:22	defendants	depend 76:4	develop 26:16
38:5 44:4,12	1:17,24 2:7,15	depending	die 102:23
44:17,19,21,22	58:19	15:18 51:16	difference
46:2,19,20,24	defense 51:6	depends 40:18	13:22 41:12
48:4,14 52:18	66:3,5,10	40:21	87:12
54:15 55:19	defer 42:7	deployed 18:19	different 35:13
56:6 70:1,6,10	99:16	19:7,22	49:4,23 63:14
71:21,22 78:21	deferred 88:16	deposit 21:22	79:19,19 85:22
78:21 80:2,10	88:18	deposited 18:9	98:21
80:13 93:12,14	definitive	deposits 94:22	difficult 87:4
december	27:12	94:22	direct 13:14
20:21 21:7	defrauded	depreciation	51:7
decide 36:12	84:24	19:10	directly 13:12
58:22 73:24	delaware 5:20	derivative	13:15 70:12
75:3 86:17	delay 11:14	81:13 83:7	director 62:5
95:5 100:5,6	36:19	derive 58:7,20	directors 56:18
102:6,18	delicate 31:14	described	57:17 58:18
decided 100:10	delineate 90:1	61:13	63:25 66:11
decides 43:11	demanded	description	68:16
deciding	70:7	10:23	disadvantage
100:11	demonstrably	designated	100:10
decision 12:23	81:4 82:20	21:10,21	disagree 77:21
39:5 59:8,9	demonstrate	desist 86:15	disagrees
60:2,2	70:21	desk 71:18,19	73:20
decisions 58:1	demonstrated	detail 37:20	disallow 85:19
60:1	28:5	detailing 11:1	disclosed 37:8
declaration	demonstrating	11:4	40:23
38:9,10,11,12	44:20	determination	disclosure
38:13 49:2	denied 50:17	44:13 62:23	10:20,22 11:22
declared 19:5	106:10	72:22 74:7	14:18,19,25
decrease 20:4	deny 99:20	84:12	15:7,8,9,12,22
decreases	denying 74:25	determine	26:13,16,24
19:13	76:1 78:14	46:15 61:6	28:8,9 31:12
deep 41:7	87:20	63:8 85:14	34:15 37:14
defendant	department	determined	49:25 75:14
72:20	9:8	62:22 66:2	discontinue
		85:25 87:10	20:22
		1014	

1 0	•		
discount 24:22	district 1:2	duplication	ecro 3:5
25:11,13	28:5	46:5 66:16	effect 67:17
discretion 64:3	divisive 80:3	68:22	effective 35:8
discuss 31:11	doc 6:8,12,17	duplicative	36:5 38:4
46:17 76:22	6:21 7:5,9	46:12	49:19
93:11,19	docket 4:4,8,14	e	effectively
discussed	4:21,24 5:9,17	e 3:1,1 8:1,1	18:24 19:9
10:21 32:19	5:23 6:4 7:1		efficient 94:17
43:25 93:16	16:16 82:18	10:1,1 42:21	104:14
discussion	documents	46:9 106:1 107:1	effort 90:1
31:18	25:1 27:12		efforts 33:9
discussions	doing 37:24	e.d.n.y 47:12 earlier 44:1	46:5,25 66:15
29:24 30:19	41:2 50:11	45:14 65:25	either 13:6
31:24	60:13 90:24		24:20 44:3
dismiss 6:16	97:24 98:1	72:15 93:16	47:5 56:3,5,21
93:8 94:7,9	dollarize 5:13	103:6	56:22 58:8
95:3	dollars 59:19	early 15:18	59:7 73:18
dispositive	dolowich 51:3	18:4 19:16,21	75:4 84:2
93:8	domestically	29:8 59:21	94:24
dispute 12:2,13	81:25	93:12	elect 24:24
disputes 11:18	doubt 73:16	earn 5:3,8,16 24:23 86:19	electronic 22:8
84:7 102:15	80:6		elements 104:5
104:16	downside	earned 12:21 12:24 13:14	eleventh 77:20
dissolve 24:25	81:17 82:13	26:22 29:17	eligible 17:19
distribute 41:9	83:8		17:21 18:2,6
57:14	draft 19:19	30:25 31:10,16 36:8	18:11
distributed	drawing 34:5	east 95:19	elizabeth 54:14
13:5 17:9	50:8,15 66:19	east 93:19 eat 60:16	ellis 8:3 10:10
21:12	drawn 78:7	eat 60:16 eaten 52:24	22:25 54:15
distribution	drop 67:4	53:3 63:25	80:1 92:19
18:1 35:15	dropped 28:24	ebidta 18:22	93:4
36:15,16 52:9	39:1 77:20	18:23 19:8	email 22:9
53:11 61:1	82:10	ebitda 19:7	emails 71:3
63:19,20	dry 66:18	economic	embark 99:17
distributions	duly 4:18		emerge 41:1
35:11,17	duplicate	37:19 43:4	employee
	98:12	47:14,18	64:12
		1014	

	itabiej		•
employees 64:9	74:22	30:23 36:7	examiner's
encroach 61:5	entitlements	38:1 44:18	72:11 82:8,21
endeavor	31:19	estimated 11:6	84:20 89:5,5,8
15:22	entity 23:14	et 1:16,21,25	89:18,20
ended 18:21	45:19	2:8,16 6:7,10	example 55:18
19:6	entry 4:6,11	6:11,14,15 7:4	62:22,25 66:1
endgame 14:14	5:2,12 6:23 7:7	99:14	72:7
energy 44:7,9	23:5	euclid 4:17	exasperated
enforce 56:7	environmental	51:3 56:6	39:19
engaged 45:20	44:7	evaded 75:3	exceed 37:2
88:23 93:14	equal 72:5	evaluate 46:22	57:24 59:24
english 9:1	equally 80:4	evaluating	excel 71:5
10:23 23:17	equitable 5:4	44:6 47:10	excellent 33:6
28:18 98:8	23:24 61:1,2	59:2	excess 68:10
enormous	63:15	event 62:8	94:22
81:23	equitably	eventually	exchange
ensure 23:24	70:18	82:2	11:15 36:9
36:5 38:4	equity 5:5	everybody	45:5 81:3,19
40:12 48:17	41:13	31:20 66:18,21	82:23,23
ensures 36:6	especially	68:25 78:2	exchanges 82:1
ensuring 40:11	98:19	evidence 5:7	exclusive 4:12
enter 92:3	essentially	5:15 70:20	27:2
entered 12:23	13:24 27:16	71:17 72:12	exclusivity
14:7 20:21	28:23 52:5,18	evidentiary	26:10 27:6,16
35:5 88:13	57:23	14:2 38:7 39:1	27:18,23 28:2
enterprises	establish 72:25	39:2	28:2,22 29:12
47:11	73:1	exacerbate	29:20 30:3,12
entire 47:14	establishing	68:9	32:9,16 33:11
81:12	42:25	exactly 48:5	33:13 37:17
entirely 30:18	estate 12:18	59:13 69:15,16	77:25
31:3	31:2 36:10	80:9 91:7	excuse 90:21
entirety 37:1	45:20 47:16,19	examiner 5:21	101:19
entitled 40:3	54:19 63:21,23	6:1 70:23,24	excused 69:11
40:16 44:20	64:1,6 87:15	71:1 72:12	69:13,18 92:12
49:9,13,17,20	estate's 40:10	88:2,3,12,24	executable
58:9 59:15	estates 11:11	89:21,24	34:2
62:14,24 74:6	14:6 25:9		

[executed - file] Page 16

		1	1
executed 40:15	106:8	74:6 75:9	89:2,17,19,19
executive	extended 30:19	76:25 78:9,11	89:21,22,23,24
10:22,25	30:20	80:16 84:14,15	feel 77:1,15
exercise 22:1	extending 4:12	100:14,16	fees 6:24 17:17
25:18	32:16	fairbank 10:15	33:19 36:3,6
exhibit 89:7	extension 27:1	fairly 58:2	36:18,23 37:6
90:1,3,5,9,19	27:23,24 28:13	70:18	37:24 38:1,21
exist 31:19	29:12 32:9,12	faith 28:7	40:4,7 43:1,25
61:3	32:13	62:24 64:14,15	44:1,2,7,13,19
existed 81:22	extent 4:21	fall 29:23	44:20,23 45:13
existing 104:5	42:6 51:21	94:14 103:1	46:15,22,24
exists 59:3	56:4 59:11	fallen 29:3	47:6,6 48:2
60:19	62:3,12 63:12	falls 59:10	49:14,23 88:14
exit 14:21	63:24 64:4	familiar 26:1	89:9,10,24
34:25 40:21	66:16 67:15	far 56:24 61:17	91:21
expanded	extents 43:5	61:18 87:3	feld 8:11 20:11
46:15	extra 52:12	104:24	fell 29:14 32:21
expect 40:16	extremely 31:5	fashion 59:5	ferraro 4:7
40:24 41:13,14	\mathbf{f}	fashioned 61:3	9:16 16:12,25
66:19 74:8	f 3:1 107:1	fast 33:23	17:1,2,5 18:17
expectation	f3d 44:8,9,16	faulting 78:3	18:19 19:3,25
41:16	face 24:25	78:10	20:2 23:2
expense 37:1,4	faced 33:1	february 5:22	38:12,13 106:6
40:23 44:1	fact 65:24	6:3 88:24	fiduciary
47:7 89:7	72:23	89:10 93:12	37:11
expenses 5:22	factor 59:4	98:12	field 31:22
6:4,24 36:3	factors 28:5	federal 4:3	45:24
44:14 89:25	59:3	20:17	fight 76:25
expensive	fahrenheit	fee 5:20,22 6:1	78:9,11 80:12
11:14 34:4	10:19 14:15	6:3 11:20 36:3	80:16 100:14
experience	34:2,9 35:20	36:8,24,25	100:16
66:12 67:2	36:1 41:12	40:8,22,25	figure 95:20
explain 10:24	45:25 46:8,13	42:12 47:8	file 15:17 22:17
38:16 42:16	49:2,18	49:11,14 50:12	32:8 34:15
express 72:9	failed 44:19	88:2,2,3,3,11	38:17 39:18
extend 32:17	fair 60:22	88:13,15,17,20	42:19 70:20
36:1 56:24	68:19 72:5	88:22,24 89:1	73:19,20

[file - giorgio] Page 17

	I	I	1
102:22	finishes 71:9	formal 103:19	fully 21:10
filed 10:18,20	firm 97:19	format 52:6	33:24 74:22
14:18 16:15	firmly 54:9	former 62:4	93:9 95:3,7
20:18,19 21:19	firms 91:21	forming 71:25	97:12 99:19
22:4,11,12,13	first 5:19,25	formulating	104:8
26:13 28:20	17:17 28:20	47:1	function 52:3
34:8 37:8,21	29:9 39:8	forth 22:6	functions
38:9,13 45:1	65:14,19,20	52:18 106:9	46:11
53:19 63:13,13	84:2 88:10,13	forward 10:12	fund 48:14
65:23 67:14	88:15,25 92:16	26:3 29:7	funds 56:8
69:22 77:18	97:16 98:9	30:23 33:16	70:22 72:8
79:17 86:15	fits 47:8	37:4 46:13	further 21:13
87:19 89:23	five 89:22,25	49:17 77:17	36:1 45:2,2
91:5 93:12	90:18	88:18 98:4	47:13,17 69:10
98:11,13 103:3	flash 76:8	found 47:12	96:23
104:6,6	flashed 76:10	66:14	future 10:13
filing 15:25	florida 99:7	four 89:22	53:7 55:1,3
28:7 31:6	flower 8:20	framework	62:9 87:22
36:23 38:16	focus 31:1	31:7,25 32:1	g
71:22 77:6	38:15	frankly 90:16	g 10:1
finalize 36:16	focused 31:3	fraud 84:17,21	gambit 94:14
finally 18:8	33:21 34:1	85:4,5,11,16	gemini's 36:15
37:8	follow 39:20	fraudulent	general 58:13
finance 21:18	42:7	102:12	79:11 97:22
34:24	followed 83:4	free 42:19,25	general's 74:12
financial 4:17	following	50:6	generally
20:1 46:1 51:4	93:15 97:17	frequently	61:24 67:2
51:19 59:8	forcibly 66:5	57:10	generating
82:22	forecast 53:7	front 13:18	86:23
find 31:9 65:16	foregoing	99:20 102:1	georgiou 1:21
fine 16:14	107:3	froze 94:23	6:10,14
61:21 62:11	foreign 4:7	ftx 71:14 81:2	getting 11:13
88:8 91:14,14	23:2,7 106:7	81:2,18 82:4	60:21 66:17
95:23 97:25	forgive 101:10	82:15,23,23	giorgio 92:21
finish 28:14	101:11	83:5	93:7,25 95:10
98:25	form 103:6	full 13:2 51:22	96:1,3 97:1,3
		77:17 83:22	97:10 98:21
		ral Calutions	77.10 70.21

[giorgio - heard] Page 18

99:3,3,14,14	goes 40:12	granted 11.7	37:14
100:24	49:19	granted 14:7 22:21 24:3	happen 25:7
give 16:4 17:22	going 11:18	26:5 32:17	32:3 62:8 69:1
31:15 42:3	12:15 14:13	33:17 106:5,7	78:20
55:18 80:22	16:17 29:7,17	106:8	happened
81:5 96:20	29:23 30:17	granting 4:8	34:22,24
given 12:1	38:23,25 39:5	4:14 5:9,16	104:23
13:21 23:7	39:14,18 41:17	6:25 7:9 51:17	happening
24:22 26:11	41:21 46:6,14	gray 55:17	66:22
34:24 41:18	49:12,13,17	great 10:11	happy 31:16
94:2	50:2,5,8,13	16:7,15 44:25	47:21 57:3
gives 62:1 64:3	55:7 57:24	green 2:20	67:4 70:20
giving 15:1	58:23 59:16,24	group 2:12 5:3	71:16 76:14
95:5	60:3 62:17	5:8,16 7:3 9:2	89:2 94:15
gk8 11:16	65:1 68:24	10:16 14:15	harms 54:20
glad 103:19	69:3 73:24	17:25 18:1,7	59:4
glenn 3:2	75:3,3,14,18	26:22,22 27:7	hashing 19:12
global 56:2	75:25 78:17,17	28:18 29:1,10	hauer 8:11
59:8,18	78:19 79:4,4	29:14,17 30:19	20:11
go 15:23 28:21	79:13 81:8	30:25 31:10,10	havoc 68:12
29:7,15 31:25	83:21 86:16	31:15 51:19	head 65:22
34:5 37:4	88:4,7 91:5	59:8 63:5	headed 14:21
41:25 46:12	95:5	70:19 71:15,24	80:13
47:25 48:18	good 10:2,5,8,9	72:1 77:1	hear 42:2 65:2
50:8,15 59:18	15:23 16:2,24	79:13 88:17	68:2 76:14
60:7 61:17,18	17:2,5 20:10	92:24 93:10,22	94:2,8,16
69:1,23 75:7	20:12,13 22:24	98:6,9,12,20	97:16 100:5
77:4 79:25	25:22 26:2	100:11 104:1,3	heard 22:21
86:9,9 87:7	28:7,17 32:6	groups 31:15	26:5 30:8 32:5
88:18 90:15	51:2 54:14	31:19	32:16 47:24
94:4,20 98:24	64:14,14 65:10	grown 74:2	54:13 61:9
103:8	88:5,5 92:18	gump 8:11	65:6,7,12,15
goal 57:2 59:14	97:8,9 98:7,7	20:11	68:1,2 76:7
59:16 60:15	goodness 90:21	h	77:7 79:24
godfrey 6:2	grant 22:15	h 16:20	87:22 91:25
88:11	66:8	hand 16:20	99:23 103:12
		20:4 32:1	

[hearing - hour] Page 19

hearing 4:1,6	high 23:3	holding 24:10	68:4 69:2,9,10
4:11,17,24 5:2	66:18	holdings 24:22	69:16,21,24
5:12,19,25	higher 84:24	hon 3:2	71:15 72:4,7
6:23 7:7 10:14	highlight 12:1	honor 10:5,8	75:8 76:9 77:3
10:21 11:25	81:16 82:19,21	10:14 11:3,7	77:5,18 78:13
14:2,24 15:1	highly 24:17	12:2,10 13:17	79:9,21,23
15:12,15,16,25	hire 38:19	14:4,12,25	80:3,15,21
26:12 37:15	45:14	15:13 17:6	83:19 86:8
62:6 72:15	hired 48:3	19:3 20:5,7,10	87:2,5,24,25
74:6,8 78:17	hiring 72:1	20:14,21 22:15	88:5,10 90:17
78:24 88:16,20	historically	22:18,22,24	92:8,10,18
91:6 92:4 95:4	25:12	23:5,8,18,23	93:1 94:2,7,16
95:25 96:19	hit 65:13,22	23:25 24:4,6	95:8,12 96:12
97:25 100:7	hoc 2:12 7:3	24:11,17 25:4	96:16,22 97:8
102:13	9:2 17:25 18:1	25:7,13,22	97:9,13,18,20
hearings 20:20	18:7 26:22,22	26:6,10 27:19	98:3,8 99:9,25
28:3 32:19	27:6 28:18	28:17 29:5,21	100:15 101:4
97:23	29:1,10,14,17	30:5,7,10	101:10,10,17
hearsay 72:11	29:23 92:23,24	31:13 32:6,14	101:19 102:10
heart 19:15	93:10,22 98:6	33:18,20 38:5	103:11,14,19
held 11:16	98:9,12,20	39:2,6,22 40:7	104:25 105:3
21:25 71:5	100:1,10,18	41:23 42:4,14	honor's 53:8
74:5 90:1	104:1,3,6	42:17 43:11,17	93:6
hello 17:1	hogan 65:11	43:21 45:13	hook 55:9
help 10:24,24	hold 12:4	46:18 47:21	hope 27:14
23:12,23 31:21	24:12 81:19	48:1,19 50:14	60:15 68:19
36:12	89:9 90:9	50:21 51:2,4,8	69:5 75:25
helpful 34:22	holdback 90:7	51:11,13 52:1	76:2,20 78:20
36:16	holder 70:13	52:17,20,23	79:7 103:25
hermann	86:21	53:1,9,19 54:5	104:7
101:19,20,21	holders 5:3	54:10,14,16	hopefully
101:21,23	11:9,11 12:14	55:14 57:1,8	64:25 99:18
103:12,14,15	12:16 26:19	58:25 61:10,19	hopes 72:1
103:17,18	70:7 71:15,20	63:10,17,21	host 16:22
104:20,24,25	71:23,24 72:6	64:4 65:4,10	35:13
hey 17:5	83:16,22 84:3	65:13,21 66:1	hour 74:3
	84:10 93:23	66:6 67:1,9,23	77:12,20

[hourly - initial] Page 20

hourly 91:22	impact 12:6,24	including 11:3	45:17,21 46:3
91:23	impacted 22:7	21:3,17 22:5	46:23 47:12
hours 46:14	implement	36:8 43:25	51:7 54:6 58:4
huge 68:8,8	52:8 53:20	104:5	58:16 59:2
hundreds	implementati	income 18:24	68:11 70:1,4,5
59:19	52:9	19:9	70:5,7,8,10,13
hurley 8:16	implemented	incoming	70:14,15,16,17
20:10,11,12,13	54:2	17:22	70:19,21 71:4
22:19,22	importance	incorporates	71:4,5,11,21
hurts 70:12	12:2 49:5	89:22	72:6 75:11
hybrid 4:1,6	important	increase 18:20	76:12,16,18
4:11,17,24 5:2	11:12 31:5	19:6,23 25:14	80:24 81:24
5:12,19,25 6:8	32:23 33:10	increased	82:4,14,15,20
6:12,16,21,23	37:13 48:20	19:22	83:1,1,5 84:25
7:7 20:20	49:1 73:15	incredibly	85:19 86:18,23
97:23	75:2,16,22	11:14	88:1 90:4,6,8
hyde 7:25	76:23 78:25	indefinitely	90:25 92:11
107:3,8	80:18 81:1	100:25	93:2 100:9
i	83:6	independent	101:24 103:5,7
idea 80:7	impose 55:13	57:17 68:16	104:20
identical 13:21	58:7,20 61:22	indicated	individual
identify 37:11	63:5 65:17	14:25 52:5	53:13,17,22
ii 4:8,14 5:6,14	66:24	indirect 24:8	56:7 60:7
6:25 7:8	improper	indiscernible	68:23 99:6
iii 5:8,16	55:12	13:13 17:7,10	individuals
il 8:6	inbox 71:4	17:11,11,13,17	24:8 55:6 58:9
illiquid 10:17	incidental	17:17,20 18:3	58:22 63:6
24:18 25:16,24	47:15,19	18:15,21,22	84:22
34:11 35:16	include 26:21	19:4,8,10,10	industry 33:22
imaginable	53:13 85:3	19:11,13,15,17	inequitable 5:7
83:21	included 49:7	19:19,20,21	5:15 23:15
immanuel	51:16 53:24	20:4,5 24:11	information
101:20 103:15	56:12 99:24	25:13 26:22	50:1 60:24
immediately	includes 10:22	36:11,13,14	61:4 71:6,8,12
78:23 97:16	11:1 34:12	41:5,6,7 43:24	71:13
imminently	37:10 47:6	44:7,8,8,9,9,10	initial 10:20
36:18	71:12	44:21,22 45:8	
		ral Calutions	

[ink - june] Page 21

ink 78:7	integral 47:1	iovine 87:5,7,8	99:19 100:8,9
insider 5:3,13	intend 14:18	87:15,18,24	101:24 102:6
70:12	33:18 77:17	iron 100:22	104:3
insiders 71:25	intended 10:23	issue 13:25	it'll 96:9
insight 59:25	24:9	29:9,10 30:21	item 23:1 24:4
insolvency	intercompany	40:9 42:16	69:14
23:4	13:7	52:7 56:9	i
instance 32:9	interest 23:8	60:18 62:15	january 19:21
institution	24:24 47:14,18	63:14 64:22	jersey 72:16,19
4:17	78:22 81:6,14	68:6 69:15,17	74:11 86:15
institutions	interested	73:9,12,18	joe 92:18 93:4
24:8	78:22	74:22 75:2,2	join 5:3
insurance 4:20	interesting	75:18,19 76:2	join 5.5 jones 54:14,15
50:24 52:16	90:22	76:3,15,22,23	55:14,24 57:1
53:25 55:6,8	interim 5:19	76:23 77:25	57:8 58:24
56:14,18,19,22	5:19,25 88:13	78:18 79:1,12	59:10 60:11
57:10 58:9	88:15,17,25	79:14,19,19	61:8 63:18
59:9 60:16	94:13	80:3 82:11	judge 3:3
61:12,20,23	internal 57:10	84:17 85:23,24	26:20 29:16
62:17,19,21	international	95:12 103:23	31:21 33:2
63:1,7,11,24	81:3	104:1	47:10 82:11
63:25 64:24	interpretation	issued 51:8	88:9 91:17
66:12 67:19,19	94:11 98:22	86:19,23	92:5 93:20
68:10	interrogatory	issues 11:12	judgment 22:2
insured 62:24	104:9	12:8 28:21	23:20,21 25:18
66:17	intervene	32:23 33:1,10	35:24 43:14
insureds 51:6	70:17	33:12 35:13	44:5,12,14
53:14,17 56:8	investment	45:16 51:20,20	july 11:25 12:3
59:12,15 60:7	26:1 46:1	55:6,9 56:1,2	12:5 25:7
63:6 65:6,19	invoice 89:8,9	60:14,22 63:8	26:20 27:14
67:7 68:1	invoke 54:24	66:11 67:15	28:12 31:23
insurer 66:20	invoked 65:16	68:10,22 73:14	88:16,19 95:25
68:19	involved 45:17	74:19 75:22,23	96:3,9,10
insurer's 68:2	75:10 93:19	79:3 84:2 86:5	97:16,19
insurers 55:4	104:23	87:4,13 94:6	101:14 107:25
61:5 66:15	involves 50:12	94:16 95:6	june 2:23
68:10,21	98:21	98:22 99:7,11	18:10 19:16,17
		ral Calutions	

[june - lending] Page 22

			ı
20:18,19 22:4	keep 33:16	knowing 79:3	69:5
22:10,11 28:25	45:24	knowledge	large 24:22
29:3,25 30:1	keeps 81:12	41:8 100:3	25:24 28:6
81:5,11	kevin 51:2 68:4	knowledgeable	33:15 71:20
junior 65:15	keys 24:10	33:5	74:5 88:16
jurisdiction	khosrabi 16:17	known 22:8	largely 104:23
53:14,18,22	kind 18:1	57:23	larger 85:15
54:23,24 55:5	51:11,15 65:17	knows 88:10	late 10:19 12:3
55:8,10,11,11	104:12	koenig 8:8 10:5	35:11 38:13,16
55:20,21 56:3	kirkland 8:3	10:8,9,10,10	39:3 75:11
56:13,23 61:24	10:10 22:25	11:3,7 12:10	latest 71:22
62:16 63:7,15	54:15 80:1	13:1,17 14:4,9	law 44:4 51:17
64:17,24 65:22	87:8 92:19	14:12 15:4,13	70:3 94:13
65:25 66:3	93:4 102:11	15:23 16:2,7	95:11 103:23
67:14,16,16,18	knew 29:6	16:10,15,20,24	104:10
69:6	know 14:24	17:2 18:17	lawsuit 72:16
jurisdictional	15:1 16:2,10	19:25 20:7	lawyer 65:15
61:19	29:25 39:4,17	26:7,9,9 27:11	66:9
jurisdictions	39:19 43:13	33:18 39:2,6	lawyers 74:10
18:15	46:16 48:10	39:11,22 40:1	74:13
justice 9:8 23:3	49:1,19,19,21	40:6,18,21	lay 78:1
67:3	52:11 53:5	41:4,23 47:23	lead 30:18
justification	55:12,25 56:21	47:24 48:1,2,7	leaves 101:8
70:9	57:9 58:12,17	48:13,16,19,23	leaving 66:17
justify 55:9	58:18 59:22	50:14,19,21,23	lectern 20:8
71:11	60:10,11,24	51:1 67:12,21	26:7 50:23
k	63:15 68:20	102:8,10,11,24	ledanski 7:25
k 16:20	73:4,22 74:4,7	103:2,11 105:1	107:3,8
kahn 6:2 88:11	75:5,6,11,12	105:3,6	lee 1:13 6:6
karen 3:5	78:5 83:13	1	leeman 85:21
79:10	88:12 89:14	la 8:5	left 19:19
katherine	90:13 95:15,18	lack 28:23 29:9	64:11 79:2
88:11	96:17 97:4	29:10 81:1	legal 31:19
kaufman 51:3	98:23 99:16,19	landis 65:12	44:22 54:1
keenly 66:11	99:23 100:6,20	69:14	60:7,8 107:20
66:22	103:21 104:10	language 57:2	lending 98:22
00.22	104:12	65:1 67:13,22	
		rol Colutions	

leon 65:12,23	liquidate 35:4	longer 19:18	m
69:14	liquidated	look 15:2 39:6	machines
letter 82:18	94:24	43:4 48:2,19	18:20 19:5,12
letters 74:1,3	liquidity 25:14	58:12 66:13	made 13:9,20
77:11	list 22:6 74:8	78:14 79:9	27:25 28:6
level 30:12	74:12,14	86:17 89:7,13	31:6 51:7
31:21 47:20	listen 103:13	91:3 97:22	57:13 58:1
levels 52:16	literally 52:12	98:3	74:25 94:12
liability 51:7	litigation 11:10	looked 43:8	mail 22:8
lift 59:3 60:19	11:13 12:4	90:23	main 23:7,7
lifted 62:20	25:2 58:17	looking 10:12	54:23 55:15
63:2	62:23 66:10	59:1 61:16	maintaining
lifting 54:17,17	68:11 102:16	74:7 89:15	33:22
light 27:25	little 11:2	90:20 96:7	maintenance
28:2 36:19	18:25 19:1	looks 80:12	19:15
102:15	60:23 93:11	los 8:22	majority 13:18
likely 36:22	98:21	losing 44:21	24:24
68:11 101:1	lived 99:7	lot 29:22 33:14	make 15:21
limit 52:19,20	llc 1:7,16,25	36:10 46:3	16:18 33:24
66:17	2:8,16 4:18	48:21,23 53:5	35:9,11,16,17
limited 6:20	5:20 6:7,11,15	56:2 57:10	35:18 41:25
27:19 32:13	7:4 10:3 13:15	59:22,23 61:2	48:2,9,13 57:4
57:5 59:17,20	21:23 47:11	61:13 66:10	60:2 66:21
62:5 66:14	51:4	68:14 78:7	67:6 70:17
68:18 102:9	lloyds 4:19	104:4	75:1 77:19
limiting 61:20	Ilp 8:3,11,18	lotona 8:9	78:8 84:23
limits 59:24	9:1 44:9	22:24,25 23:18	88:7 91:6
line 17:14 18:9	loan 26:21 27:6	24:4 25:24	96:25
59:11 106:3	94:5,11,24	26:6 79:23	makes 27:22
lines 59:17	loans 11:5	80:1,1,9 83:12	making 32:2
linger 69:7	13:19 30:20	83:14,19,25	34:1 41:10
linked 62:19	lock 37:13	87:25	60:1 72:22
liquid 5:5	london 4:19	lots 38:21	malkin 97:10
10:17 14:21	long 10:15,22	49:14 87:14	management
31:14,17 34:11	16:3,10 34:4	lovells 65:11	22:6 30:17
35:17 41:9	65:14 69:7	luckily 85:19	manipulated
46:10			70:22 72:23
			10.44 14.43

manipulation 68:14,18 78:16 mg 1:3,11,19 mitch 8:16 72:8,13 82:17 95:4 98:19 102:15 6:14,19 moment 14:24 market 44:25 meaning 39:23 42:21 moment 14:24 82:25 83:9 mechanism 46:5 64:5 mid 12:5 15:18 11:8 52:5 74:3 marketed 42:24 mechanism 26:02,21 27:14 28:12 middle 78:16 money 53:5 markets 81:13 28:11 29:5,16 midway 27:23 68:15,17 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 midlon 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 36:25 37:5,5 mastive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 matter 1:5 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 monthly 36:8 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 monthly 36:8 maximize 100:1 members millions 59:19 month 10:21				
march 24:14 102:15 6:14,19 moment 14:24 market 44:25 meaning 39:23 microphone 47:25 moday 10:20 72:24 81:14 42:21 47:25 moday 10:20 84:23 46:5 64:5 mid 12:5 15:18 11:8 52:5 74:3 marketed mediation 28:12 money 53:5 44:24 26:20,21 27:14 middle 78:16 6:15,617 money 53:5 markets 81:13 28:11 29:5,16 midway 27:23 month 10:15 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 martin 3:2 99:24 71:120 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 36:21,32 massive 81:3 mediations 19:22 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 40:22,24,25 42:13,24 42:13,24 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 42:13,24 42:13,24 42:11 75:16,17,18,19 monthy 90:18 matters	manipulation	68:14,18 78:16	mg 1:3,11,19	mitch 8:16
market 44:25 meaning 39:23 microphone 47:25 moday 10:20 82:25 83:9 mechanism 46:5 64:5 mid 12:5 15:18 11:8 52:5 74:3 marketed mediation 26:20,21 27:14 midelle 78:16 money 53:5 44:24 26:20,21 27:14 midelle 78:16 64:15 66:17 month 10:15 marsal 38:14 30:1 31:10,21 milestone 27:4 month 10:15 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 marsinski 99:24 milstone 27:4 month 10:15 massive 81:3 29:24 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 82:1 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 36:25 37:5,5 54:1 60:7,8 mediator 33:6 40:22,24,25 42:13,24 93:21 matter 1:5 33:6 42:14 25:8 40:25 49:11 maximize 10:1 meeting 83:2 7 mothh 10:21	72:8,13 82:17	95:4 98:19	2:2,10 6:6,10	20:10
72:24 81:14 42:21 47:25 monday 10:20 82:25 83:9 mechanism 19:16,17 27:14 77:12 77:12 marketed mediation 28:12 money 53:5 42:24 26:20,21 27:14 middle 78:16 68:15,17 markets 81:13 28:11 29:5,16 middle 78:16 68:15,17 marsal 38:14 30:1 31:10,21 milestone 27:4 month 10:15 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 matin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 54:1 60:7,8 met 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 matters 57:16,17,18,19 90:18 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 meber 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 21:11 77:10	march 24:14	102:15	6:14,19	moment 14:24
82:25 83:9 mechanism mid 12:5 15:18 11:8 52:5 74:3 marketed mediation 28:12 money 53:5 markets 81:13 28:11 29:5,16 middle 78:16 64:15 66:17 markets 31:13 28:11 29:5,16 midway 27:23 68:15,17 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 98:18 104:23 members millions 59:19 moott 13:25 maximizes	market 44:25	meaning 39:23	microphone	102:2
84:23 46:5 64:5 mediation 19:16,17 27:14 27:14 77:12 money 53:5 44:24 26:20,21 27:14 28:11 29:5,16 midway 27:23 milestone 27:4 markets 81:13 81:24 83:7 30:1 31:10,21 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 23:2 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 23:2 42:13,24 93:21 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:13,24 93:21 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 met 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 monthly 36:8 met 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 monthly 36:8 monthl	72:24 81:14	42:21	47:25	monday 10:20
marketed mediation 28:12 money 53:5 44:24 26:20,21 27:14 middle 78:16 64:15 66:17 markets 81:13 28:11 29:5,16 midway 27:23 month 10:15 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 19:22 36:21,22 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 42:13,24 93:21 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 40:25 49:11 82:1 mediator 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 82:1 metta 43:7 52:21 89:23 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 member 88:2 months 10:21 10:17 34:10 member millions 59:19	82:25 83:9	mechanism	mid 12:5 15:18	11:8 52:5 74:3
44:24 markets 26:20,21 27:14 middle middle 78:16 midway 64:15 66:17 midway 72:23 month 68:15,17 month 10:15 month 10:21 month 22 36:21,22 month 36:21 month 37:3,7 monthly 40:25 49:11 month 36:8 40:22,24,25 month 40:25 49:11 month 40:25 49:11 month 40:25 49:11 month 40:25 49:11 month 40:21 month 21:11 77:10 month 40:12 month 40:12 month 40:12 month 40:12 month	84:23	46:5 64:5	19:16,17 27:14	77:12
markets 81:13 28:11 29:5,16 midway 27:23 68:15,17 marsal 38:14 30:1 31:10,21 milestone 27:4 month 10:15 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 monthly 36:8 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 90:18 maximize 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 10:25,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2	marketed	mediation	28:12	money 53:5
81:24 83:7 30:1 31:10,21 milestone 27:4 month 10:15 marsal 38:14 32:1 33:4 million 11:16 18:22,23 19:8 martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 10:1 82:7 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mineola 107:23 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing 90:22 1	44:24	26:20,21 27:14	middle 78:16	64:15 66:17
marsal 38:14 martin 32:1 33:4 martin million 11:16 lis:22,23 19:8 lis:23:23:23:22:23:22:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:	markets 81:13	28:11 29:5,16	midway 27:23	68:15,17
martin 3:2 93:20 99:17,18 13:9 17:8,10 19:22 36:21,22 mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 40:25 49:11 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 10:5,8,9 16:11 46:10 memory 90:18 minogla 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 71:1 51:2 54:	81:24 83:7	30:1 31:10,21	milestone 27:4	month 10:15
mashinski 99:24 17:20 18:13,22 36:25 37:5,5 58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 meeting 83:2 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 members millions 59:19 mooted 10:220 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 minod 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 minod 94:15 morning 10:3 maximizes mention 81:2 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14	marsal 38:14	32:1 33:4	million 11:16	18:22,23 19:8
58:13 mediations 19:7,11 20:3,4 38:2 41:3,22 massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 40:25 49:11 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 moot 13:25 maximize 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 10:220 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 maximizing mentions 82:9 mischaracter 71:1	martin 3:2	93:20 99:17,18	13:9 17:8,10	19:22 36:21,22
massive 81:3 29:24 21:4 25:8 42:13,24 93:21 82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mineola 107:23 mooted 102:58,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 mecarter	mashinski	99:24	17:20 18:13,22	36:25 37:5,5
82:1 mediator 33:3 36:21 37:3,7 monthly 36:8 matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 months 10:21 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 82:7 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 35:4,19 41:11 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65	58:13	mediations	19:7,11 20:3,4	38:2 41:3,22
matter 1:5 33:6 40:22,24,25 40:25 49:11 54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 20:10,12,14 22:24 25:22 27:13 80:4 71:1 51:2 54:14 51:2 54:14 51:2 54:14 51:2 54:14 56:20 92:18 97:8 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 90:17 4:11,17,24 5:2	massive 81:3	29:24	21:4 25:8	42:13,24 93:21
54:1 60:7,8 meet 43:18 43:7 52:21 89:23 102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 82:7 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 miscale 53:15 98:7 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 4:11,17,2	82:1	mediator 33:3	36:21 37:3,7	monthly 36:8
102:20 104:8 44:19 57:16,17,18,19 months 10:21 matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 82:7 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 10:220 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 morning 10:3 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mecarter 9:1 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:11,17,24 5:2 <td>matter 1:5</td> <td>33:6</td> <td>40:22,24,25</td> <td>40:25 49:11</td>	matter 1:5	33:6	40:22,24,25	40:25 49:11
matters 57:10 meeting 83:2 57:24 61:13,16 21:11 77:10 98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 82:7 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mecarter 9:1 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11	54:1 60:7,8	meet 43:18	43:7 52:21	89:23
98:18 104:23 member 86:13 71:1,25 81:24 90:18 maximize 100:1 82:7 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 28:17 32:6 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 90:1	102:20 104:8	44:19	57:16,17,18,19	months 10:21
maximize 100:1 82:7 moot 13:25 10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	matters 57:10	meeting 83:2	57:24 61:13,16	21:11 77:10
10:17 34:10 members millions 59:19 mooted 102:20 35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	98:18 104:23	member 86:13	71:1,25 81:24	90:18
35:16 36:14 17:25 36:11 mind 94:15 morning 10:3 46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes 83:7 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	maximize	100:1	82:7	moot 13:25
46:10 memory 90:18 mineola 107:23 10:5,8,9 16:11 maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	10:17 34:10	members	millions 59:19	mooted 102:20
maximizes mention 81:2 mining 18:18 16:24 17:2,6 25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	35:16 36:14	17:25 36:11	mind 94:15	morning 10:3
25:25 83:7 35:4,19 41:11 20:10,12,14 maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	46:10	memory 90:18	mineola 107:23	10:5,8,9 16:11
maximizing mentioned 45:6,7 22:24 25:22 31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	maximizes	mention 81:2	mining 18:18	16:24 17:2,6
31:2 37:12 27:13 80:4 mischaracter 28:17 32:6 maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	25:25	83:7	35:4,19 41:11	20:10,12,14
maximum 36:7 90:22 102:13 71:1 51:2 54:14 40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	maximizing	mentioned	45:6,7	22:24 25:22
40:10 mentions 82:9 misconduct 65:11 88:5 mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	31:2 37:12	27:13 80:4	mischaracter	28:17 32:6
mccarter 9:1 merits 94:8 56:20 92:18 97:8 28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	maximum 36:7	90:22 102:13	71:1	51:2 54:14
28:18 messed 17:23 missed 53:15 98:7 mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	40:10	mentions 82:9	misconduct	65:11 88:5
mean 29:11 met 82:22 mistake 90:17 motion 4:1,2,6 38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	mccarter 9:1	merits 94:8	56:20	92:18 97:8
38:18 55:2,10 mf 56:2 59:7 91:1,15 4:11,17,24 5:2	28:18	messed 17:23	missed 53:15	98:7
	mean 29:11	met 82:22	mistake 90:17	motion 4:1,2,6
56:23 65:7 59:18 5:12 6:16,23	38:18 55:2,10	mf 56:2 59:7	91:1,15	4:11,17,24 5:2
	56:23 65:7	59:18		5:12 6:16,23

7:7 12:8,15,17	multiple 71:18	needed 21:14	notable 28:1
14:5,10,10	mutual 103:25	40:13	37:17
15:17,24 20:15	104:13	needs 35:20	note 63:12
20:17,18 21:19	n	41:12 45:18	96:25 103:20
22:4,9,14,15	n 8:1,5 10:1	78:10	noted 98:15
22:21 23:2	106:1 107:1	negotiating	notes 19:18
24:3,5 30:12	nail 65:22	47:1	notice 15:14,15
32:11,17 33:16	nah 03.22 naked 82:6	negotiations	15:16 20:18
33:19 36:24	name 16:19	93:11,15,19	22:4,8 43:7
37:19 38:7,9	76:8 93:3 97:9	neither 70:9	77:8
38:11,17,20	named 58:19	net 25:10	number 13:18
42:6 43:24	narrow 57:3	network 1:7,16	16:4 57:11
45:1 46:7 47:5	national 79:10	1:25 2:8,16 6:7	69:19 71:19,20
47:5 50:17	nature 40:9	6:11,15,20 7:4	74:1,5 90:23
51:4,8,17 63:5	76:5	10:3 21:22,23	91:12
63:13,21 64:9	nearly 99:7	23:14 71:4	numbering
66:8 69:14,19	necessarily	92:25 102:9	89:16
69:21 73:13	74:9	never 44:24,24	numbers 89:8
74:25 76:1	necessary 23:9	93:12	ny 2:21 8:14
78:15 82:19	23:24 41:24	new 1:2 2:21	9:4,11 107:23
87:20 92:11	91:5	8:14 9:4,11	0
95:2 97:11	necessity 44:18	19:5 30:18	o 3:1 10:1
98:15 102:13	need 14:17	34:15 58:13	16:20 107:1
106:5,6,8,9	15:14 26:23	72:16,19 74:11	o'brien 44:16
motions 87:20	27:5,21,21	86:15 97:19	object 12:16
93:8 94:6,9	28:9 32:12	98:1	14:10 22:9
99:20	34:6,20 35:8,9	newco 10:16	32:25
move 11:23	35:11,15,21	14:22 34:2,10	objected 37:19
15:10 16:6	37:16 38:3	34:13,18	objecting
37:14 43:6,9	41:19 42:1	news 58:14	29:20
77:17 87:23	43:5,6 46:14	nice 105:4	objection
97:23	46:17 48:4	night 10:20	15:15 22:11,13
moving 19:18	50:3,10 75:10	11:8 16:16	27:5,16,16,17
19:24 26:2	84:12 85:14	nima 16:17	28:1,20,23
29:22 30:23	86:17 92:2	non 5:3,13	32:8 33:12
33:16,23	102:18	58:17 62:22	37:18,21 47:22
		70:12 71:25	54:4 65:24
	Veriteyt Leo		

[objection outsta	91		8
73:21 77:7,18	42:5 61:11	ones 65:8	22:7 23:5 35:8
85:20 103:8	79:10	104:6	35:24 38:4
objections	oh 88:10 90:21	ongoing 36:4,9	49:13 51:25
22:12 24:1	okay 13:11	37:4	52:4 53:21,24
25:19 32:16	14:8,11 15:23	open 70:14	54:6 56:9,11
52:2 53:19	26:2 32:5	81:6 83:3	61:23 63:19
66:4 75:16	39:16 45:11	opening 26:11	86:15 88:1,13
77:9 91:4	49:7,10,21	operations	90:2 92:3
obligates 35:6	50:7,20,25	18:18	94:13,15 104:9
obligations	51:15,17,22	opinions 85:22	104:10
55:1,3	52:22 53:8	opportunity	ordering 74:15
obtain 24:8	54:3,11 57:7	45:4 94:25	orderly 34:12
27:23 28:9	61:7 62:11	95:6 96:20	45:5
38:17	64:20 65:3,4	98:18	orders 23:11
obtaining	67:8 68:2 69:3	oppose 52:1	71:2
11:22	69:7 76:11,11	opposed 50:9	ordinarily
obviate 84:11	76:19 79:4,20	51:8,10,12,13	38:18
obviously 10:3	85:17 87:8	52:13 54:7,9	ordinary 21:17
12:21,23 13:13	88:2 89:21	54:16	21:24
15:14 29:6,11	91:3,10,14,18	opposes 14:9	original 29:2
32:20 33:4	91:20 92:1,4,6	opposing 51:21	osprey 7:8 24:6
66:18 67:14	93:24 95:9,24	opposite 48:6	24:6,13 25:12
99:17	96:11,13,21,24	81:9	otc 71:2,4,18
occurred 19:16	96:25 97:11,14	opposition	71:19 84:23
october 5:21	98:2,5 100:2	52:18	ought 67:12
6:3 89:10	100:14 101:5,7	opted 17:19	100:9
offer 36:10	101:18,23	option 14:16	outage 19:15
37:11	102:2 103:10	25:1 36:5 38:5	19:16
offers 45:2	103:14 104:18	optionality 5:5	outcome 72:2
office 32:7	104:24 105:1,4	14:17 33:22	99:18
43:22 74:13	105:7	34:21,25 35:25	outcomes
officers 56:18	old 107:21	options 45:25	23:15
58:18 66:10	omid 97:9	order 4:6,12	outlined 55:15
82:22	101:11	5:2,12 6:23 7:8	outset 38:6
official 2:4	once 60:6	11:17 14:4,7	outside 61:3
6:19 8:19	one's 104:6	15:17 20:22	outstanding
25:23 30:11		21:5,9,13,20	24:12

[overall - plan] Page 27

		I	
overall 17:18	partial 52:2	pending 12:5	persons 55:23
81:23	partially 51:13	63:21 77:10	perspective
overarching	participating	87:21 88:14	14:14 29:23
46:17	17:25 37:23	people 74:21	81:5
overlap 94:2	particular	77:15,15 79:3	peter 65:11
95:11 98:19	56:15 62:24	81:7 105:5	petition 5:6,13
104:2	particularly	percent 11:7	18:9 57:19,20
overlapping	100:12	17:9,15,16,18	61:15 70:5
95:14 99:8	parties 11:10	17:18,21 18:3	72:24 87:11
overruled	11:18 22:5	18:6,11,21	phone 30:1
32:17	27:15 31:25	19:6,13,13,24	phrase 76:25
owens 87:9	54:24 58:5	24:12 25:11,13	pick 46:25
own 39:12,13	67:3 73:10	70:13 81:10,12	picking 30:17
41:14 47:13,17	74:9 97:10	81:15 82:9	piecemeal
owned 14:23	102:16 103:25	89:24,25 99:6	102:6
87:1	104:21	percentage	pieces 38:22
ownership	partner 26:7	19:12	pivot 14:17
23:11	partnered	perfectly 43:12	34:6,12,16,25
р	36:15	62:7,11 97:25	35:11 36:23
p 8:1,1 10:1	parts 29:22	perform 45:23	37:7 40:13
p.m. 97:16,19	party 25:4 99:5	period 4:12	41:19 43:5,6
101:14	pass 79:12	5:21 6:2 25:3	49:5
page 52:18	passionate	27:2 30:3 38:3	place 60:15,20
70:25 106:3	80:5	74:3 77:12	72:8
paid 87:11	pay 33:19 36:2	88:15 89:1,9	plain 10:23
89:24 90:2	36:3,3 43:1,24	permission	plaintiff 1:14
papers 96:18	51:6 63:22	72:9	1:22 2:5,13
96:18,19	64:1,6 68:24	permit 96:4	plaintiffs 97:5
parallel 12:15	payback 56:10	person 10:12	100:24
35:21	paying 28:10	20:20 60:9,10	plan 4:13 6:25
parent 12:25	35:24 37:22	96:5 97:20,21	10:16,19,24,25
_	81:6,9,11,14	97:23	13:2,3,8 14:15
13:2,12,16 23:17	payment 11:15	person's 63:9	14:18,20 18:5
park 8:13 9:3	13:9 44:23	personal 56:3	21:18 26:13,13
park 8:13 9:3 part 17:12 86:3	46:22 56:4	65:21 66:3	26:14,16,23,25
86:6	payments	78:7	27:4,22 28:7
00.0	17:16 62:19		28:10,22 31:6
	Voritort I ac		

[plan - pro] Page 28

	10015		
33:12,20 34:2	102:17	69:7	prepping
34:8,15,18,23	pointed 45:13	post 56:17	90:23
35:3,6,10,13	49:2	pot 68:15	present 16:23
36:17 37:10	points 58:25	potential 36:19	presentation
41:10 45:4	60:17 66:23	45:14,15 55:1	88:7
47:1 73:2,3,18	policy 51:7	64:6 79:3	presenter
75:4,15 78:1	52:9,10,13,20	84:21 85:15	16:18
80:14 84:6	53:11,25 55:7	potentially	presently
85:9 86:3,6	55:16,23 57:2	22:7 53:3	34:18
98:1	57:6,14 58:8	57:14 84:2	preserves
plans 36:16	58:10,21 59:16	power 19:16	35:25
platform 41:7	61:20 62:25	29:12 58:7	pressure 81:16
82:10 84:16	63:24 66:19	practicing 66:9	82:13 83:8
play 56:5 63:23	68:9	pre 6:12,21	pretty 52:25
playing 31:22	portfolio 35:15	18:24 19:9	53:3
45:24	36:14 41:5	precedent	prevents 35:25
please 10:2	portion 24:20	85:21	previous 54:19
16:19 17:3	38:7 50:24	precipice 25:15	82:17
18:17 33:8	90:1,2	precise 57:3	price 5:6,14
75:7 79:5 86:9	posed 31:9	prefer 97:21	24:16 25:5,9
87:6 90:20	position 20:1	104:21	34:25 70:10
pleased 11:19	24:21 25:24	preference	72:14,22,24
26:19 33:2	42:15,20 51:22	17:9 32:14	73:1 81:8,17
pleasure 28:19	60:21 74:1,4	preferred	81:24 82:2
plenty 11:25	74:10 76:23	26:19	84:22,24 97:10
plus 40:22	77:13,16,19	prejudice	prices 21:4
88:17	79:13,16,16	50:18 76:1	87:11
point 14:12	80:8,14 81:6,9	78:15 87:20	prime 20:23
29:12,23 30:4	82:3,6 83:2,14	premature	21:3,6
41:20 49:25	83:18 85:1	73:17 74:24	prior 11:17
50:1 53:8 57:9	94:6 98:23	76:1 78:15	14:24 28:2
57:15,22 59:13	101:3 102:7	87:13	30:18 31:6
59:20 60:12,23	positions 71:14	prepare 15:24	32:19 57:19
61:21 63:20	80:11 81:4,20	prepared	78:23
65:13 67:2	82:2,25	15:13 73:11,23	private 24:10
76:18 77:2,14	possible 15:10	74:19 80:13	pro 51:21 52:9
77:16 93:9	15:14 66:16		53:11 54:7

[pro - purchased]

Page 29

II - I - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			C
57:8 58:20	proceeds 11:16	programs 11:5	protected 25:3
59:5 63:19,20	54:18 55:7	progress 27:25	58:9
64:22 65:12,17	56:12,14,19,20	28:7 29:11	protection
66:24 68:6	57:5 58:10,21	promptly	23:10,10
69:21,25 73:10	58:21 59:19	14:19,21 15:14	protections
74:5 77:1,9,9	60:7 61:1,6,23	34:7,16 35:10	54:22
77:19,23 78:22	62:17,21,25	proof 63:13,16	protracted
99:4 100:12	63:1,7,12	65:23 67:14	25:2 80:11
103:15	64:24 65:24	73:19	prove 44:17
probably	66:14,20 67:7	prop 70:23	proven 62:9
68:10 75:10	67:20	property 4:1,2	81:4,21,25
problem 55:3,4	process 12:19	20:15 21:6,10	82:20
56:15 62:18	17:4,6 18:8,12	21:12,15,15,21	provide 14:5
63:4,9 64:23	23:20 33:21	21:24 54:18	18:17 19:25
65:20 66:7	35:11 40:12	63:23	28:14 40:2
68:8,17	47:15 60:15,20	proposal 13:7	53:11 72:7
procedure 4:4	73:19 75:5	25:5 29:2 31:6	91:12
20:17	79:2 81:12	37:6,13 49:3	provided 17:25
procedures	88:21	49:15 50:7,16	22:4 52:10,11
20:20 82:12	processed 18:5	55:19 70:12	providers 51:4
proceed 12:15	18:11	79:6 85:9	provides 14:16
12:19 13:8	processing	proposals 57:3	62:13
15:5,13	17:20	propose 16:12	providing 21:1
proceeding 6:6	productive	33:25 61:4	45:19
6:10,14,19 7:3	32:2	65:1 104:12	provision 56:4
20:23,24 21:3	professional	proposed 14:5	provisions
23:16,19 66:2	5:20,21 6:1,2	15:12 44:19	53:12
92:17,22,25	11:20 39:23	45:23 52:4	public 35:4,19
98:10,11	42:7,10,19,21	54:6 65:3	81:5
100:11 103:22	43:14,17 45:20	67:22 69:6	punitive 44:6
104:14	46:7,24 49:12	proposes 84:6	purchase
proceedings	50:6	proprietary	24:16 25:5
23:7 82:17	professionals	41:7	84:22 85:2,3
93:7 102:1	42:18 43:19	propriety	85:16,23
103:24 104:5	45:22 46:4	45:12	purchased
104:15 105:8	50:4 88:21	protect 23:9	24:14
107:4		58:22	

purchaser	quick 18:23	rationale 70:16	49:14 50:12
45:14 47:13	19:8 20:1,2	reach 11:19	reasons 32:21
purchases 71:2	quickly 15:10	12:25 27:8,15	54:8
pure 17:8,12	34:16,25 43:6	33:9 85:12	rebuttable
purpose 62:6,7	43:9 49:6	reached 14:14	72:25
72:12	52:25 53:3	26:17 29:19	recall 20:21
purposes 39:15	103:3,20	32:24 71:23	98:14 99:6
53:23 65:25	quite 33:2	86:3	receipt 64:15
73:2	68:18 73:25	reaching 21:17	receive 17:15
pursuant 4:2	101:2	28:8 31:3	36:7 38:24,25
4:13 11:17	r	reacting 56:16	55:7,23 59:15
12:12 20:16	r 3:1 8:1 10:1	read 14:25	62:25 63:6
23:4 46:7 90:2	16:20 107:1	15:7,8,9 48:11	67:19 74:16
put 15:6,8	radar 103:1	58:12,14 72:15	83:23
29:13 33:8	raise 66:4 73:5	ready 35:10,17	received 22:8
40:19 53:10	73:14 82:12	35:19 40:12	24:1 25:4,19
54:6,21 60:15	raised 16:21	41:10,18,25	27:5 28:1 29:1
64:22 82:18	28:21 73:12	48:3,17 86:25	29:4 37:9,17
83:6 100:24	75:1,20 77:20	93:9	49:21 56:8,14
101:13 104:11	raises 67:15	real 49:21	56:18,20 62:17
104:18 106:9	79:3 99:16	64:22	62:20 63:1
puts 63:23	raising 76:12	realize 80:2	71:13 73:25
q	78:3	82:14	103:7
qualified 36:12	raj 82:22	really 29:19	receives 64:13
question 45:12	range 18:14	32:22 33:11,12	receiving 31:17
49:10 50:5	40:20	33:12 38:15	56:12 57:5
51:22 61:19	ranged 24:17	39:25 46:14,17	62:21 63:11
63:18 79:12	rapidly 66:14	47:4,8 49:3	64:24
questioned	rata 52:9 53:11	51:10 57:13	recent 21:4
83:5	57:9 58:20	61:15 68:6	59:8 79:17
questions	59:5 63:19,20	73:23 78:6,25	recently 71:21
16:11 30:5	64:22 65:12,17	79:3 94:21	recognize 23:6
34:20 38:6,8	66:24 68:6	reason 14:17	29:21
46:17 47:21	rate 24:15 54:8	30:2 34:3,8,14	recommenda
49:22 83:6	91:22,23	34:18 37:16	88:19
89:1,4 91:24	rather 15:8	reasonable	record 22:25
94:15	37:23	36:19 41:14	26:9 77:17
	***	ral Solutions	

[record - request]

Page 31

79:10 107:4	regularly	relative 19:8	repeat 19:1,2
record's	10:13	relatively	reply 37:8,21
102:23	regulation	18:22 33:15	40:24 46:19
recorded 71:3	81:1	relevant 22:5	52:4 67:5
records 70:24	regulations	44:12 71:9	report 52:5,6
recover 11:11	23:4	relief 4:8,14,20	70:25 72:11,15
13:1 84:5	regulatorily	4:24 5:9,17	82:8,21 84:20
recoveries 11:4	33:25	6:25 7:9 14:9	reported 70:23
recovery 11:1	regulators	21:2 22:13	reporting
11:1,6 75:17	34:20 74:16	23:8,24 24:2	51:18,24 52:3
79:4 84:10	76:17,21,22	25:20 32:11	54:4,22 59:11
reduce 37:3,6	86:14	51:5,22 62:2,4	65:20 66:7,21
70:10	regulatory	62:5,12 63:13	88:12 89:1
reduced 36:23	33:23	69:14	reports 11:5
36:24	reimburse	reluctant 73:9	represent
reduction	46:23 64:9	rely 44:4	86:20 97:10
19:14 70:13	reimbursement	remain 17:15	representation
reference 67:5	37:2,4 40:23	25:17	91:13
94:11	44:1 47:5	remaining	representative
referenced	64:13 89:7	17:11 18:2	4:8 23:3 87:9
72:14	reimburseme	45:8 57:18	106:7
references	47:7 49:24	remarks 26:11	representatives
38:11	reiterate 68:5	remedies 23:13	65:7
reflected 22:3	reject 51:22	23:23 61:2	represented
22:10 25:6	rejected 44:6	reminded	20:25 75:18
reflecting	72:3	102:14	82:4 97:5
20:19	rejection 19:21	reminder	representing
regard 33:5	19:24	18:23 19:8	18:6,20 19:5
36:10 100:18	relate 56:19	20:2	65:11 70:6
regarding	related 4:8,14	remote 98:1	71:19,24 76:21
11:10 47:22	5:9,16 6:25 7:9	reorganization	100:13
63:8 70:24	56:14 84:17,21	21:19 28:7	represents
71:14	85:13 103:7	80:15	25:10
regardless 13:4	relates 56:20	reorganizing	republic 4:19
regards 95:21	102:12	45:6	request 5:6,14
regrettably	relating 35:13	repaid 83:22	28:13 44:13
52:24 53:2			54:7 70:17

[request - sale] Page 32

87:15	resolving	retained 39:9	96:24 97:7
requested	100:6	39:17 42:13	98:5 103:2
22:14 25:20	resources	43:2,10,16	105:1
71:1	59:18	45:20,23 46:6	rights 54:25
require 39:18	respect 12:20	49:12 50:3,13	61:5
42:6,9 53:21	14:2 32:11	retains 55:5	rigs 19:6,22
64:16 68:14	33:11 54:17,20	retention 39:9	rise 47:20
required 21:5	54:22,25 55:1	42:9,19,24	risk 30:23 46:4
28:5 43:15	55:2,5,8,15,21	69:6	risks 24:9
requirement	55:22 56:2,13	return 14:21	road 107:21
39:8 53:13,17	57:2,5,5,8 59:1	21:3 36:11	room 31:11
56:12 58:3,3	60:13,14 61:12	84:19	rough 67:3
59:11	61:19 63:11,18	revenue 19:11	round 88:10
requirements	63:20,24 64:23	review 15:22	rule 4:3 20:16
43:18 51:18,24	65:21 66:12	46:6	44:14 62:16,17
51:24 54:4	67:13,13,19	reviewed 57:25	65:8 73:10,11
56:9 58:8,21	72:14 73:6	96:18	74:19 94:10
65:21 66:24	74:17 84:16	reviewing	rules 4:4 20:17
70:9	85:13,14 87:21	46:23	39:21
requires 35:12	91:20,25 94:13	revised 50:16	ruling 63:4
38:20	94:17 98:10,22	52:4 54:6	64:11 72:4
requiring 55:4	100:18 102:8	revisit 30:4	106:3
reserve 11:17	102:22 104:14	right 10:2	rulings 54:19
reserved 60:14	respectfully	11:11 13:24	run 55:17 67:6
reserving 12:3	28:13	16:22 22:20	running 35:20
reside 95:18	respond 37:19	26:4 28:16	41:15
resolution	73:8	32:15,16 40:4	rush 29:5,25
11:20 12:19	response 22:17	50:22 54:11,13	29:25,25
32:20,23 93:10	29:5 73:4,12	60:11 61:9	S
resolve 11:18	responsibility	64:20 65:5	s 8:1 10:1
72:1 84:7	60:25	67:11,25 69:3	16:20
94:10,17 99:11	result 23:15	69:19 75:25	s.c. 6:2
99:18 104:16	24:19 25:2,8	79:22 80:9	s.d.n.y. 46:21
resolved 73:16	55:20 83:15	83:20 84:18	sake 90:21
73:21 84:8	resulted 19:14	86:1,1 90:11	sake 90.21 sale 7:8 11:16
resolves 12:13	retain 42:7	91:20 92:15,21	44:24 75:20
	50:3,6	95:13,24 96:15	83:8 85:2,3,23
		ral Calutions	03.0 03.2,3,23

[sales - served] Page 33

sales 58:16	scratch 34:15	85:2,23,24	self 23:12,23
72:21	41:20	86:17 87:10	sell 24:5 69:20
salle 8:5	screen 17:23	see 19:19 31:13	70:18,23,24
sandbag 77:7	76:8 94:20	34:18 44:7	71:2,9,11,14
santichi 88:5,6	103:1	47:9 54:1	71:15,20,23,24
90:14,15,16	scrutiny 33:23	64:25 66:24	71:25 72:5,6
91:8,11,15	se 51:21 69:21	69:4 71:22	72:14,22 73:5
92:1,7,8	69:25 73:10	79:5 84:1 92:2	73:6 74:6,18
santos 69:24	74:5 77:1,9,9	94:19 99:15,21	75:17 76:3
77:22 82:19	77:19,23 97:25	99:21 100:4,22	78:18 80:7
106:9	99:4 100:12	102:3 105:5	81:17 83:2,15
save 36:22	103:15	seek 14:19,20	83:17,22 84:2
saves 43:7	seated 10:2	23:6,12,22	84:4,7,10,13
saw 56:1 76:7	sec 74:14 79:15	24:24 26:24,25	selling 81:21
saying 62:5	103:7	43:9 69:13	82:5
66:3 68:21	second 17:22	80:17	sells 25:16
75:13 81:20	29:10,12 44:24	seeking 7:7	send 71:16
says 53:21	77:25 85:19,20	15:18 21:20	sense 27:22
87:10	85:22 88:17	46:25 52:8	sent 71:11
scenario 83:21	section 4:3,13	54:24 56:7	separate 11:17
schedule 12:4	39:23 60:11	62:12 65:23	13:12,15 68:15
33:8 88:18	65:14 73:7	75:17 76:7,18	68:23 69:13
95:4 96:1,3	75:21 83:16	91:21	78:25,25 84:1
100:18,23,25	sections 20:16	seeks 89:6	84:2,17
102:5 104:17	secure 35:24	seem 66:4	separately
104:22	secured 14:16	73:14	101:3 102:7
scheduled	51:12	seemed 30:25	september
19:14 26:20	securing 36:20	seems 55:12	27:2
95:25 98:16	securities 26:1	69:15	series 11:9,10
scheduling	58:15 66:10	seen 52:11	12:13,16 13:10
14:24 15:5	72:16 74:11,13	96:17	26:18
95:21	74:16,18 75:20	sees 31:13	serious 46:5
scheme 54:8	76:3,4,21	segregated	serve 35:7
schreiber	79:14 83:15	21:10,15,21	37:24 38:2
38:14	84:3,8 86:20	selected 10:15	65:20
schreiber's	security 73:5	14:15 35:2	served 93:13
49:1	78:19 84:13	49:3	
	<u> </u>		

service 22:3,6	100:23 102:3	signature	solicit 4:12
services 36:24	shara 9:13	107:7	14:20 26:25
41:24 45:18,19	32:7 43:22	significant	27:21,21
45:23 46:14	share 16:17	11:21 13:23	solicitation
ses 78:22	71:8	20:25 24:20,21	27:1
session 26:20	shared 24:16	24:23 30:22	soliciting 27:24
26:21 28:12	71:7	similar 23:22	solution 31:22
set 11:25 22:6	shares 7:8 24:5	23:22 95:16	61:4
31:21 33:10	24:7,13,13,19	103:20	solutions
52:17 67:15	24:23 25:3,5	similarly 47:3	107:20
93:20 96:11	25:12	simply 27:4	somebody
98:16 100:18	sharing 64:2	53:9,10	56:25
settle 20:22	70:8	sine 102:23	sonchi 5:21
86:4	sheet 25:18	single 65:16	sonya 7:25
settlement 11:8	70:5 89:23	80:25	107:3,8
11:24 12:5,7	shirt 103:16,17	sir 87:2 94:21	soon 69:6
12:10,12,13,24	short 15:17	95:23 96:10	sophisticated
13:7,9,25 14:4	28:4 35:19	situated 47:3	73:9,10 75:23
14:7,10 17:13	71:14 81:4,14	six 21:11 38:2	sorry 11:3
17:19,24 27:10	81:19 82:2,6	size 13:21	17:22,23 19:3
27:12 29:13	83:2	82:12	53:1,15 58:23
31:1 71:23	shorter 30:2	slide 18:16	76:9 86:24
84:9 85:13	show 39:18	19:4,24	87:17 89:22
86:3,5 102:16	49:13	slides 16:15,17	96:8 98:25
102:20	showed 84:20	slightly 79:18	101:20 103:18
settlements	showing 29:13	79:19	sort 31:1 57:20
26:18 28:8	shown 30:14	slow 11:2	59:5,11 64:2
seven 77:8	49:5 65:19	18:25	73:25
shanks 1:13	shows 29:18	slowly 19:2	sought 21:2
6:6 92:16,21	72:7 89:8,9,10	small 23:18	27:1 65:17
93:7,24 94:19	90:7,9	smoothly	sound 22:1
94:21 95:8,9	shy 11:7	88:21	25:18
95:17,23 96:1	side 60:13	sny 47:11	sounds 48:5
96:7,8,10,14	73:10,11 80:5	sold 45:7 82:11	53:5
96:16,22 97:16	81:7 82:2	sole 62:6	south 8:20
97:24 98:10,20	sides 52:19	solely 44:5	southern 1:2
99:2,5,15	96:19		

	•		C
space 41:8	stage 73:24	92:15,20 101:8	structure
speak 89:3	stakeholders	102:19	40:10 49:6
speaking 48:8	37:19	stay 4:20,24	structured
speaks 37:20	stand 41:10	23:11 50:24	36:6 40:7
special 8:12	standard 22:5	51:5 54:17	structures 34:9
20:8,13 61:14	standpoint	59:3 60:19	subject 13:8
99:17	75:24	62:2,4,20 63:2	21:6,12,14,21
specific 66:23	start 19:4	64:3 69:14	21:24 33:23
76:5	26:12 29:16	102:15,16	53:22 55:7
specifically	31:24 34:14,17	stc 87:10	75:21 86:2
13:20 44:11	55:16 60:16	steadily 19:22	submission
65:2	78:23	steel 46:20	55:20 64:21,23
speculation	started 20:3	stein 47:10	67:13 69:4
59:22	28:15 29:8,24	step 35:21 48:3	100:7
spell 16:19	starting 41:19	48:17	submit 5:7,15
spend 14:23	60:16	stepping 86:22	22:13 55:9
spoke 77:22	state 21:15	stipulation	56:12 61:24
99:4	74:9,13 76:21	20:22,25 21:5	63:7,15,16
spoken 22:19	86:14	21:7,9,13	64:16 65:25
31:7 32:9 99:2	stated 54:16	102:22 103:4,6	69:6 71:17
sponsor 6:25	70:25 71:13	stop 75:5,13	74:17 91:4
10:16 14:16	statement	76:6 87:19	103:8,10
26:13 27:4	10:21,22 11:22	101:21,22,25	104:17
33:20 35:3,6	14:18,19 15:1	stored 21:9	submits 21:16
35:10 37:10	15:9,12,22	storing 24:10	submitted
spot 81:14 83:2	26:14,16,24	strategies	44:21 52:3
83:8	28:8,10 31:12	35:14	57:12 58:1
spreadsheet	34:15 37:14	strauss 8:11	59:23 103:6
71:5	44:5 75:15	20:11	subordinated
square 29:16	statements	street 8:5,20	73:7 75:22
59:7	89:23	9:10	78:19 83:17,23
squarely 63:23	states 1:1 2:19	strike 80:10	84:5 85:7
stadler 88:6,9	9:8 23:8 32:7,8	strong 101:3	subordinating
88:11 89:17,21	32:11 43:22	stronger 102:7	86:21
90:4,6,8,11	70:3 75:9	strongly 52:13	subordination
91:17,19,21	status 6:8,16	74:5 77:2,15	77:25
92:5	7:5 17:3 58:17		

[subsequent thin	,		8
subsequent	92:2	takes 64:5	75:8 78:12
23:5	supported	talk 33:3 76:20	79:21 80:19
substantial	26:17 74:20	93:24 102:2,3	83:10,11 87:24
47:10,20	supporting 5:7	talked 33:3	88:9 91:16
substantive	5:15 50:7 74:1	77:24	92:5,6,6,8,14
12:8,11,21	74:4 77:12	talking 41:4	93:5 96:12,14
13:6 14:1,2,6	supports 25:19	76:5 89:17,19	96:15,16,22,22
99:9	42:23 51:17	target 27:3	96:24 101:6,7
succeed 41:13	supposed 70:6	tax 18:24 19:9	101:17 102:10
successful	sure 13:17	team 30:17	103:2,11,14,18
32:20 40:14	31:16 33:24	tell 17:3 43:13	104:25 105:3,4
49:18	34:1 35:9,16	59:12 93:2,3	105:6
sufficient	35:18 40:6	telling 82:5	thanks 17:5
38:15 39:1	41:10,25 48:2	ten 57:16	theory 62:18
49:25	67:6 68:22	tens 18:13	thing 65:15
suggest 53:20	69:12 70:18	term 19:18	73:22 87:5
67:11 102:21	76:9 91:6 92:3	terminated	104:9
suggested 16:5	94:19	27:18	things 55:16
suggesting	surprised	terms 21:8	83:4 100:6,7,8
53:9 68:7 80:7	71:22	29:2 52:10,13	think 12:17,21
suggestion	suspicious 83:1	73:18 94:5,11	15:17,21 16:4
52:14	svb 51:19 59:8	98:22	16:5,20 27:22
suit 58:13	59:18,21	tested 44:24	28:21 30:2,12
suite 8:21	sweat 41:13	texas 74:12,13	31:5,12 32:1
107:22	t	thank 16:2,8,9	32:22,24,25
summary	t 107:1,1	16:14 20:6,7,9	33:5,10,13
10:22,25 18:12	table 30:22	22:16,22,23	37:17 39:5
89:23	table 30.22 take 24:20,22	24:4 26:6,8	41:24 42:8,17
summons	27:2,11 31:14	30:7 32:4,14	43:6,18 48:19
93:13	35:3,10,19	33:18 43:20,21	48:20,23 49:2
supply 93:8	39:7 54:3 69:4	43:23 48:1	49:4,4 50:23
support 30:11	76:23 79:13,15	50:21 51:1	51:20 54:19
30:24,25 38:10	80:14 81:9	54:11,12 61:7	55:4,16,24
38:16 39:1,3	85:4 94:15	61:8 65:4,5,10	57:4,23 58:25
44:3,23 80:4	taken 33:13	67:1,9,23,25	59:10 60:18,24
82:19 86:4	78:18 79:16	69:2,8,9,17,18	61:25 62:6
89:15 91:9	/0.10 / 9.10	69:24 72:9	63:19,22 64:1
			1

[think - treat] Page 37

64:2,21 65:13	92:20 97:5	together 31:9	totaling 17:9
65:19,22 66:21	102:1	31:11,20 40:19	toward 28:7
67:5,18,20	threshold 17:9	65:1 67:4	towards 11:22
72:13,16 73:16	tie 25:3	98:18 99:23	37:14
73:24,25 74:18	time 19:12	100:10	tower 52:16
74:20,21,24	21:8 24:15,20	token 5:3,13	57:16 59:19
75:24,24 76:1	24:23 25:3,15	69:20 70:18,23	60:16 66:13
76:25 77:19	26:6,24 27:5	70:25 71:2,9	trade 24:7,18
78:15 81:25	27:21 28:9,10	71:11,14,20,23	traded 25:12
82:8,8,11,18	28:14,20 29:11	71:24 72:5,6	82:24
82:21 83:5	30:3 31:23	72:14 73:5,6	trading 41:7
84:15,18 85:20	33:13,15 38:3	75:18 78:18	80:24 82:23
85:21 87:3,14	38:15 40:8,13	80:8 81:15,20	tranche 17:13
90:14,16,17	57:12 65:14	81:25 82:9,13	tranches 24:14
91:1,5 98:14	77:23 87:14,14	83:2,17 84:2,4	transacting
99:18 100:24	95:19,21,21,21	84:10,13,18,19	41:17
100:25 101:1,2	96:1,9 97:15	84:22,23 85:6	transaction
101:7 102:5,6	99:12	85:24 86:17,21	25:14 26:2
102:17,18,19	timekeepers	tokens 71:25	33:25 34:3,6,9
104:9,22	91:23	72:23 74:6,18	34:13 35:21
thinking 81:8	timeline 36:22	75:20 76:3	36:1,21 37:25
86:20	times 10:6	81:21,22 82:4	transactions
third 4:11 25:4	48:11	82:7,10 83:15	10:25 71:3,10
thought 27:10	timing 79:6	83:22 84:7	71:19
32:18 58:24	today 20:14	86:18 87:22	transcribed
64:11 76:17	25:9 31:19	told 29:4 38:11	7:25
90:24,25,25	39:5 43:24	48:6 82:16	transcript
93:17	47:22 69:25	took 30:15	48:10 107:4
thoughtful	72:15,22 74:10	72:8 82:25	transfer 4:1,2
60:22	74:11,14,24	top 54:22 68:9	17:8 20:15
thoughts 94:17	77:17 80:25	102:13	21:14,20,20,24
thousands	87:4,14 94:8	topic 12:1	22:5,10,15
18:14	95:5 97:24	79:24	102:12 106:5
threatened	103:19,22	total 37:7	transferred
11:14	105:2	52:19,20 89:9	21:6,11
three 28:20	today's 11:24	89:9	treat 70:1
74:3 77:10	37:2 74:8		

treated 18:4	tuesday 96:3	under 11:13	56:6 59:23
70:18	tune 82:6	13:2,2 14:13	68:5
treatment 5:4	turn 16:12	18:4 21:5 28:5	undoubtedly
5:8,15 23:24	20:7 27:12	36:17 37:6	28:6
31:11 70:16	38:6 50:23	40:16 41:9	unfortunately
72:5	87:25	46:8,11,22	27:13 70:25
trial 6:12,21	turned 31:1	51:7 52:9,10	union 46:25
trickier 60:23	34:22	54:25 64:21	unique 30:15
tried 40:7,9	turning 18:15	69:4 73:7	85:24
61:3 66:15	two 14:6 17:16	83:16,20 85:7	uniquely 36:12
95:1	24:14 28:21	85:9 94:14	united 1:1 2:19
trouble 90:20	34:9 52:7	100:7	9:8 23:8 32:7,8
true 46:21 58:2	54:21 63:25	underlie 44:11	32:11 43:22
107:4	74:2,10,13	underlying	75:9
truly 30:15	77:9,10,12	94:11	universe 57:23
45:18 49:15	80:11 84:1,2	understand	59:25 85:15
trust 20:23	85:22 88:14,17	38:21,22 39:6	unnecessary
21:3,6 24:6,7,7	98:14 99:19	39:20 49:1	68:21
24:13,25 25:12	101:25 104:4	50:6,11 51:20	unprecedented
56:17	u	53:8 55:14	30:14
trustee 9:9	u.k. 23:3,6,9,13	56:24 57:1	unsecured 2:4
32:7,8,12	23:14,23	58:6,10 60:4,5	5:8,16 6:20
37:18 43:22	u.s. 3:3 9:9	60:23 63:2	8:19 25:23
52:6 75:9	21:23 37:18	83:14 89:12	30:11 42:5
try 19:2 26:23	52:6	90:12 91:6	51:14 52:2
27:8 66:16,20	ucc 17:25	92:2 94:1,7	61:11 83:17,21
68:19 69:5	25:19 66:1	95:18	unsuccessful
77:7 78:22	70:1,6 71:5,9	understanding	37:22
100:22	71:13,21 72:2	57:12 70:3	unwind 24:21
trying 33:9	82:9 83:4,6	86:14,16 94:8	update 18:18
39:20 56:23,24	ultimately	understands	20:1
58:6,11 63:4,5	27:11 30:17	32:12	updated 10:18
80:10 89:12	unable 27:11	understood	20:19
90:12 94:21	unacceptable	50:14,19 69:2	updating 16:12
95:18,20 97:22	49:24	101:4	uptime 19:12
101:13	uncontested	underwriters	19:14
	20:14	4:18,19 51:5	
		rol Colutions	

urge 66:6,8	73:2 78:20	waived 36:25	104:7,19
68:14	80:7 84:4	walk 66:22	we've 10:6,13
urged 53:13,17	vanguard 4:19	wallets 21:10	14:14,16 17:7
urging 68:25	vantol 65:10	21:22 24:11	22:18 25:25
use 21:14,24	65:11 67:1,9	want 15:7,9	26:12,14,15,17
63:5 94:5,12	67:23 69:10,16	30:8 31:23	27:1,13,19,25
98:23	varick 9:10	32:21 33:24	29:15 31:9
used 21:12	variety 43:25	42:3 43:13	34:19,20 35:5
60:12 68:20	various 23:11	47:24 55:12	36:2,6 49:14
70:22 72:8	28:8	59:12 67:16	58:24 60:13
76:24	vast 13:18	69:4,12 74:25	87:3,14 88:10
users 17:13,19	vaults 21:22	75:6 76:22,25	94:12
18:9 22:7	verbatim 98:20	77:6 78:8	wednesday
71:18 82:25	verifying 71:10	79:15 80:16	28:21
using 71:2	veritext 107:20	81:16 90:13	week 77:12
usually 100:7	version 10:20	91:6,25 94:7	103:6
uswa 47:3	vgx 87:9	96:20,21 97:20	weekend 15:22
utc 71:7	view 33:11	99:20 100:10	31:13
utilizing 41:6	74:5,17 75:16	102:5 103:12	weeks 10:19
v	views 45:12	wanted 12:1	27:8 38:10
v 1:15,23 2:6	violation 72:5	14:25 15:4	98:14
2:14 6:6,10,14	virtual 97:20	46:18 57:15	weinberg 97:9
6:20 7:3 92:16	virtually 21:2	78:1 83:9	went 41:23
92:24 101:9	43:23	102:17 103:20	51:18
102:8	virtue 62:20	wants 54:13	westlaw 46:20
valuable 37:25	64:24	61:9 65:6 68:1	whatsoever
valuation	voluck 51:3	warranted	58:4
69:20 70:8	volumes 81:23	22:14 27:25	whichever
71:12 87:21	votes 27:24	wash 72:21	45:19 80:14
value 10:17	voting 24:24	watching	white 8:18
17:21 18:3,6	voyager 34:22	81:18	wide 18:14
18:12,13 24:18	34:23 49:4	way 13:5 15:5	wiles 26:20
25:10,25 31:2	W	15:7,9 29:15	29:17 31:21
34:11 35:16	wager 87:9	34:5,10,14	33:2 93:21
36:7,14,20	wait 31:23	36:6 40:10	willingness
37:12 46:10	waive 66:5	43:4 67:6	33:7
48:21,24 73:1		94:17 103:1	

[wind - zoom] Page 40

witnesses 2:17 106:1 63:22 y wonderful 16:24 102:24 103:2 yeah 17:5 18:19 19:3 20:2 53:7	ar 14:13 0:21 35:7 7:11 59:20 ars 28:20 oterday 37:9 7:21 58:14 2:15 ck 1:2 2:21 :14 9:4,11 8:13 97:19 8:1 z ceh 97:8,9 7:10,13,18 8:3 99:14,24 00:23 101:10 01:11,13,17 02:2,5 ne 86:22 om 10:14 6:21 96:5 7:6
103:2 18:19 19:3	